Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readible, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



Yields of the Field Experiments 1981



Full Table of Content

81/R/BE/10 Times of Applying Erynia - S. Beans

Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1982) 81/R/BE/10 Times of Applying Erynia - S. Beans; Yields Of The Field Experiments 1981, pp 308 - 309 - DOI: https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-35

81/R/BE/10

SPRING BEANS

TIMES OF APPLYING ERYNIA

Object: To study the effects of rates and times of applying the aphidpathogenic fungus Erynia neoaphidis (formerly Entomophthora aphidis) on the numbers of black aphids (Aphis fabae) and on the yield of s. beans - Fosters Corner.

Sponsor: N. Wilding.

Design: 3 randomised blocks of 7 plots.

Whole plot dimensions: 2.13 x 2.67.

Treatments:

APH CONT Chemical & biological aphid control: NONE None Pirimicarb at 0.14 kg in 340 1: Applied early, on 5 June, 1981 PIRIM E Applied later, on 19 June PIRIM L Erynia neoaphidis applied as a powder of mummified aphids: Applied at 0.5 mg per plant early, on 5 June E NEO1 E E NEO1 L Applied at 0.5 mg per plant later, on 18 June E NEO2 E Applied at 5.0 mg per plant early, on 5 June E NEO2 L Applied at 5.0 mg per plant later, on 18 June

NOTE: Basal irrigation was applied as follows (mm water):

Basal applications: Manures: Chalk at 7.5 t. Weedkiller: Simazine at 1.2 kg in 250 l.

Seed: Minden, sown at 240 kg.

Cultivations, etc.:- Chalk applied: 3 Dec, 1980. Ploughed: 10 Dec. Spring-tine cultivated twice: 18 Feb, 1981. Seed sown: 20 Feb. Weedkiller applied: 8 Apr. Combine harvested: 8 Sept. Previous crops: W. wheat 1979, s. barley 1980.

81/R/BE/10

NOTES: (1) Visual estimates of aphid numbers were made weekly in June and July and samples of 50 live aphids per plot were examined for infection with Erynia, other fungi and parasites.

(2) Because of an error in weighing, one plot with treatment

PIRIM L was lost.

An estimated value was used in the analysis.

GRAIN TONNES/HECTARE

***** TABLES OF MEANS *****

APH CONT NONE PIRIM E PIRIM L E NEO1 E E NEO1 L E NEO2 E E NEO2 L MEAN

> 3.60 5.15 4.77 3.70 2.99 3.90 3.13 3.89

**** STANDARD ERRORS OF DIFFERENCES OF MEANS *****

APH CONT SED 0.537

**** STRATUM STANDARD ERRORS AND COEFFICIENTS OF VARIATION ****

STRATUM DF SE CV%

BLOCK.WP 11 0.657 16.9

GRAIN MEAN DM% 89.0

PLOT AREA HARVESTED 0.00024