

Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readable, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



ROTHAMSTED  
RESEARCH

# Numerical Results of the Field Experiments 1970

[Full Table of Content](#)



## 70/S/B/1 Barley Varieties, N Levels and Times of Application

### Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1971) *70/S/B/1 Barley Varieties, N Levels and Times of Application* ;  
Numerical Results Of The Field Experiments 1970, pp 261 - 263 - DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-59>

BARLEY

(70/S/B/1)

Varieties, N levels and times of application, Saxmundham,  
Grove Plot 1970.

Design: Two randomised blocks of 10 plots, split into 2 for  
fungicidal seed dressing.

Area of each sub plot: 0.0041. Area harvested: 0.0013.

Treatments: Untreated (2 plots per block, one for each variety split  
as above) and all combinations of:-

Whole plots: 1. Variety: Midas (M), Sultan (S).

2. Levels of N: 0.6 (N1), 1.2 (N2) cwt as  
'Nitro-Chalk'.

3. Times of application of N: In the seedbed (E),  
as a top-dressing in May (L).

Sub plots: 4. Ethirimol as a seed dressing at 24 oz: None (O)  
seed dressed (P).

Basal applications: 560 lb (0:20:20) broadcast. Weedkiller:  
Mecoprop at 36 oz and 2,4-D at 9 oz in 45 gals.

Cultivations, etc.: Ploughed: 30 Oct, 1969. Seed drilled at  
168 lb, seedbed N and basal PK applied: 23 Apr, 1970.  
Weedkiller and late N applied: 28 May. Harvested by sickle:  
12 Aug. Previous crops: Sugar beet 1968, barley 1969.

Standard errors per plot.

Grain, cwt: Whole plot: 2.05 or 7.7% (7 d.f.)

Sub plot: 1.20 or 4.5% (8 d.f.)

70/S/B/1

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

GRAIN: CWT

	E	L	M	S	O	P	Mean
	( $\pm 1.02$ )		( $\pm 1.02$ )		(1) and (2)		( $\pm 0.72$ )
N1	27.4	23.7	26.3	24.7	24.3	26.8	25.5
N2	29.6	25.7	27.6	27.7	26.2	29.0	27.6
			( $\pm 1.02$ )		(1) and (2)		( $\pm 0.72$ )
		E	28.3	28.7	27.3	29.7	28.5
		L	25.7	23.7	23.2	26.1	24.7
					(1) and (2)		( $\pm 0.72$ )
				M	27.2	26.7	27.0
				S	23.3	29.1	26.2
Mean ( $\pm 0.30$ )					25.3	27.9	26.6

NO Plots

M O 16.4  
M P 17.6  
S O 12.8  
S P 13.9

(1) ( $\pm 0.78$ ) For use in vertical and diagonal comparisons only

(2) ( $\pm 0.43$ ) For use in horizontal and interaction comparisons only

Mean D.M. %: 86.3

70/S/B/1

STRAW: CWT

	E	L	M	S	O	P	Mean
N1	29.7	24.5	28.2	26.0	28.2	26.0	27.1
N2	33.8	24.7	31.0	27.6	27.4	31.2	29.3
		E	31.7	31.9	31.1	32.4	31.8
		L	27.5	21.7	24.4	24.8	24.6
				M	29.7	29.4	29.6
				S	25.9	27.7	26.8
Mean					27.8	28.6	28.2

NO Plots

M O 17.4  
M P 16.0  
S O 13.3  
S P 14.8

Mean D.M. %: 83.8