Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readible, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



Yields of the Field Experiments 1967



Full Table of Content

67/R/RP12/1/DD/11 and 67/R/RP12/21/DD/11 Potatoes - Transmission of Scab - 2 Sites

Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1968) 67/R/RP12/1/DD/11 and 67/R/RP12/21/DD/11 Potatoes - Transmission of Scab - 2 Sites; Yields Of The Field Experiments 1967, pp 333 - 334 - DOI: https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-157

67/Dd/10.1

POTATOES

(RP 11/1)

Varieties, N and scab - Pastures 1967.

Design: 4 blocks of 3 plots, split for N.

Area of each sub plot: 0.0120. Area harvested: 0.0028.

Treatments: All combinations of:Whole plots: 1. Varieties: Majestic (M), King Edward (E),
Pentland Dell (D).
Sub plots: 2. N: None (NO), 1.0 (N1), 2.0 (N2) cwt N
as 'Nitro-Chalk'.

Basal applications: 670 lb (0:14:28). Weedkiller: Linuron at 0.75 lb plus paraquat at 0.5 lb ion in 37 gals. Fungicide: Mancozeb at 1.2 lb in 30 gals on three occasions.

Cultivations, etc.: Rotary cultivated: Sept 16, 1966. Ground chalk applied at 50 cwt: Jan 6, 1967. Ploughed: Jan 11.

Basal PK compound applied: Apr 4. 'Nitro-Chalk' applied:

Apr 18. Plots rotary cultivated, potatoes machine planted:

Apr 21. Weedkiller applied: May 18. Fungicide applied: June 29,

July 21, Aug 4. Sprayed with undiluted BOV at 15 gals: Aug 23.

Lifted: Sept 15. Previous crops: Spring wheat 1965, fallow 1966.

NOTE: Samples were taken during June and July for estimates of the incidence of scab and for the degree of tuberisation.

Whole plot: 1.195 or 6.9% (6 d.f.)
Sub plot: 1.219 or 7.1% (18 d.f.)

67/Dd/10.2

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

	NO	Nl	N2	Mean	
AUT EVE	TOTAL TUBERS				
		(1) and (2)		(±0.598)	
м	15.61	19.48	20.97	18.69	
E D	14.90 12.25	17.80 16.59	19.51	17.40	
Mean (±0.352)	14.25	17.96	19.47	17.23	

^{(1) (±0.778)} For use in vertical and diagonal comparisons (2) (±0.610) For use in horizontal and interaction comparisons

		% WARE		
M E D	95.0 89.7 97.6	96.6 92.9 97.6	96.8 92.1 97.6	96.1 91.6 97.6
Mean	94.1	95.7	95.5	95.1