Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readible, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



Yields of the Field Experiments 1964



Full Table of Content

64/W/WLA/B/4 Ley and Arable Rotations

Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1965) *64/W/WLA/B/4 Ley and Arable Rotations*; Yields Of The Field Experiments 1964, pp 77 - 90 - **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-160

LEY AND ARABLE ROTATIONS

(WLA)

Woburn Stackyard 1964 - the 27th year.

For history, treatments etc., see 'Details 1962'.

Barley and carrots: The varieties are now respectively Maris Badger and Autumn King.

Lucerne: In view of the failure to control stem eelworm (Ditylenchus dipsaci) this crop is now replaced with common sainfoin (Onobrychus sativa), starting with the first year leys in 1964. Inoculated seed at 56 lb.

Corrective K dres	sings (in cwt)	K2O):-			
Continuous rota		Fertiliser plots		Dung pl	Lots
Rotation				0.1	
Arable		3		3	
Arable with	hay	3 4		3	
Lucerne		3		3	
Grazed ley		3		0	
Alternating ro	tations				
Last 2 rotation		Fertiliser plots		Dung pl	ots
Arable with		3		3	.005
Ley/Arable		3		2	
Arable/Lucer		3		2	
Lucerne/Aral		3		2	
		t except where stated)		-	
11012000 11111 021		and time of application	N	P205	K20
Grazed ley		, superphosphate and	14	120)	הבט
1st year		otash, all in seedbed	0.4	1.5	1.0
iso year		equal dressings in	0.4	107	1.0
	early and lat		0.8		0.8
2nd and		equal dressings in	0.0		0.0
3rd year	coming con	equal dressings in	10		
	spring, earl	y and late summer*	1.2		1.2
Sugar beet Test fer	4140000				
and lucerne		and muriate of potash			
		superphosphate to	0.05		
rotations	plough furror	· Clark templated the party	0.35	0	0
		A lately w my myberedes i	0.7	1.5	0.9
Arable and	As above for	grazed ley etc.	0.7		0
Arable with			1.05	1.5	0.9
ley rotation					
	As above for	test fertilisers	0.7	0.9	0.9
lisers					

- NOTES: (1) The basal fertilisers include 500 lb MgSO4 7H2O.
 (2) In 1964 plots not receiving dung received 3.7 cwt K2O, the K equivalent of the dung used.
- * Any dose may be omitted or reduced in wet seasons at the Farm's discretion.

Cultivations, etc.:

Treatment crops.

- Ley 1st year. Ploughed twice: Sept 24, 1963 and Jan 3, 1964. Seedbed fertilisers applied: Apr 1. Seed sown: Apr 8. Sprayed with MCPB at 1.9 lb in 40 gals: May 15. Compound fertiliser applied: July 10 and Aug 27. Grazed 5 circuits: June 14 Oct 16.
- Ley 2nd year. Compound fertiliser applied: Mar 20, June 15 and Aug 28. Grazed 6 circuits: May 2 Oct 24.
- Ley 3rd year. Compound fertiliser applied: Mar 20, June 10 and Aug 27. Grazed 4 circuits: May 10 Oct 20.
- Sainfoin 1st year. Ploughed twice: Sept 24, 1963 and Jan 3, 1964. Fertilisers applied: Apr 1. Seed drilled at 56 lb: Apr 8. Sprayed with MCPB at 1.9 lb in 40 gals: May 27. Cut twice: July 23 and Oct 26.
- Lucerne 2nd year. Sprayed with paraquat at 1.6 lb in 40 gals: Feb 10, 1964. Fertilisers applied: Mar 20. Sprayed with diquat (Reglone at 4 pints in 60 gals): June 9. Cut 3 times: June 8, July 23, Sept 3.
- Lucerne 3rd year. Sprayed with paraquat at 1.6 lb in 40 gals: Feb 10, 1964. Fertilisers applied: Mar 20. Sprayed with diquat (Reglone at 4 pints in 60 gals): June 9. Cut 3 times: June 8, July 23, Sept 3.

Arable rotations.

- Potatoes. Ploughed twice: Sept 24, 1963 and Jan 3, 1964.

 Fertilisers applied: Apr 23. Potatoes machine planted: Apr 29.

 Earthed up: June 12. Sprayed with mancozeb at 1.6 lb in 50 gals:

 June 25 and again at 1.2 lb in 40 gals: July 30. Sprayed with diquat (Reglone at 4 pints in 40 gals)*: Sept 2. Lifted:

 Sept 9.
- * Plots 67 and 68 were not sprayed as the haulm was already dead.
 - Rye. Ploughed: Oct 8, 1963. Seed combine drilled at 3 bushels with PK compound: Oct 18. 'Nitro-Chalk' applied: Mar 26, 1964. Seeds hay mixture undersown on 4 plots: Apr 8. Combine harvested: Aug 25.

Seeds hay. Seeds undersown in rye at 30 lb: Apr 19, 1963. 'Nitro-Chalk' and PK compound applied: Mar 20, 1964. Compound fertiliser applied: June 5. Cut twice: June 4 and July 28.

Carrots. Ploughed twice: Oct 3, 1963 and Jan 6, 1964.
Fertilisers applied: Apr 30. Seed drilled at 3 lb: May 4.
Sprayed with menazon at 0.5 lb in 60 gals: May 27 and again twice at 0.5 lb in 50 gals: June 24 and July 29. Lifted: Sept 24.

Test crops.

Sugar beet. Dung equivalent K and half corrective K applied:
Oct 2, 1963. Dung applied, all plots ploughed: Oct 4.
Half corrective K, basal superphosphate, muriate of potash and test superphosphate applied: Mar 9, 1964. Basal magnesium sulphate, test 'Nitro-Chalk' and muriate of potash applied: Apr 10. Seed drilled at 5 lb: Apr 14. Sprayed with DDT at 0.68 lb in 40 gals: May 21. Singled: May 27. Sprayed with menazon at 0.25 lb in 50 gals: July 27. Lifted: Oct 26.

Barley. Ground chalk applied at 44 cwt: Dec 23, 1963. Ploughed: Jan 3, 1964. Balancing muriate of potash, basal superphosphate and 'Nitro-Chalk' applied: Feb 21. Seed drilled at 2.75 bushels: Mar 10. Sprayed with mecoprop/2,4-D (Methoxone Extra at 6 pints in 40 gals): May 12. Combine harvested: Aug 20.

NOTES: (3) The 3rd year lucerne was infested with stem eelworm and grew poorly throughout the season. The yields are not included in the summary.

(4) Abbreviations for Rotation: Ley = Grazed ley Lu = Lucerne AH = Arable with hay AR = Arable with roots.

Standard errors per plot: Sugar beet. Roots

Roots

Whole plot: 0.673 or 4.3% (4 d.f.)

1/2 plot: 1.136 or 7.3% (4 d.f.)

1/4 plot: 0.747 or 4.8% (24 d.f.)

1/16 plot: 1.590 or 10.2% (32 d.f.)

Whole plot: 3.04 or 4.9% (4 d.f.)

1/2 plot: 5.27 or 8.6% (4 d.f.)

1/4 plot: 3.18 or 5.2% (24 d.f.)

1/16 plot: 7.00 or 11.4% (32 d.f.)

1/16 plot: 0.344 or 3.8% (4 d.f.)

1/2 plot: 0.376 or 4.2% (4 d.f.)

1/4 plot: 0.426 or 4.7% (24 d.f.)

1/2 plot: 0.376 or 4.2% (4 d.f.)
1/4 plot: 0.426 or 4.7% (24 d.f.)
1/16 plot: 0.949 or 10.5% (32 d.f.)
Whole plot: 1.34 or 3.1% (4 d.f.)
1/2 plot: 1.95 or 4.6% (4 d.f.)

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

		ATMENT CROPS					
	LEY, SHEE	P DAYS OF GRAZ	ING				
	1st year 2nd year 3rd year						
	1253	1512	1012				
	1st cut	2nd cut	3rd cut	Total			
120	SAINF	OIN, DRY MATTE	R				
1st year Dung in 1959		en out ist					
•	22.2	10.4		32.6			
D Difference	24.6	-1.8		+0.6			
	is to tellion	Bask utd da		33.2			
Lu AH	22.2	11.0 8.0		32.8			
Au				22.0			
Mean	23.5	9•5		33.0			
	LUCER	ENE, DRY MATTER	}				
2nd year Dung in 1958							
- 1970	9.2	12.2	8.8	30.2			
D	13.0	14.4	11.2	38.6			
Difference	+3.8	72.02					
Lu	9.1	9.7	8.0	26.8			
AH	13.2	17.0	12.0	42.0			
	11.2	13.4	10.0	34.5			

LUCERNE, DRY MATTER

MART-	1st cut	2nd cut	3rd cut	Total
3rd year Dung in 1960	- 05	9.36	20.50	
_ D		10.5	6.6	17.1
Difference		6.9 -3.6	5.4 -1.2	12.3
Lu		5.8	4.0	9.8
AH		11.6	8.0	9.8
Mean		8.7	6.0	14.7

TREATMENT CROPS

	POTATOES		RY	E	
	TOTAL TUBERS:	% WARE	GRAIN:	STRAW	
Dung					
-	10.64	94.8	39.7	36.6	
D*	11.36	94.0	43.0	39.6	
Difference	+0.72	-0.8	+3.3	+3.0	
Ley	12.34	94.0	42.2	38.8	
Lu	11.87	94.0 96.4	40.0	37.4	
AH	9.68	92.0	40.9	37.2	
AR	10.12	95.3	42.3	38.8	
Mean	11.00	94.4	41.4	38.1	

HAY

YIELD, DRY MATTER

	1st cut	2nd cut	Total
Dung in 1960			
-	44.6	17.0	61.6
D	46.8	17.7	64.5
Difference	+2.2	+0.7	+2.9
Lu	43.6	15.9	59•5 66•6
AH	47.8	18.8	66.6
Mean	45.7	17.4	63.1

^{*} Dung applied: Potatoes for test crop sugar beet in 1962 Rye for test crop sugar beet in 1961

Mean D.M. %: Rye, Grain: 84.7 Straw: 87.3

CARROTS

	Roots	Tops
Dung in 1960	Wilter on Augusta	
D Difference	15.59 15.08 -0.51	4.56 4.06 -0.50
Ley AR	17.74 12.93	5•30 3•33
Mean	15.34	4.31

1ST TEST CROP

SUGAR BEET

ROOTS

		Ley	Lu	AH	AR	Mean
Mean	(±0.476)	17.47	15.27	13.45	16.39	15.65
- D Differen	(±0.741)* nce (±1.136)	17.79 17.15 -0.64	14.92 15.63 +0.71	13.04 13.86 +0.82	15.92 16.86 +0.94	15.42 15.87 +0.45
Response D	e to additional N	+0.52 +0.45		•528) +1.11 +0.84	-1.13 +0.62	(±0.264) +0.24 +0.25
Respons K20	e to additional	+0.44	(±0 +0.98 +0.87	•528) •0•23 +0•60	+0.79 -1.65	(±0.264) +0.50 -0.12

^{*} For use in horizontal and diagonal comparisons only

1ST TEST CROP

SUGAR BEET

SUGAR %

	Ley	Lu	AH	AR	Mean
Mean	19.6	19.9	19.4	19.5	19.6
D Difference	19.8 19.4 -0.4	20.1 19.8 -0.3	19.6 19.3 -0.3	19.6 19.4 -0.2	19.8 19.5 -0.3
Response to additional N					
_ D	-0.4 -0.5	0.0 -0.4	-0.5 -0.5	-0.6 -0.6	-0.3 -0.5
Response to additional K20					
_ D	+0.1	0.0	-0.1 +0.4	0.0	-0.1 +0.1

1ST TEST CROP

SUGAR BEET

TOTAL SUGAR

		62	Ley	Lu	AH	AR	Mean
Mean	(±2.15)		68.5	60.9	52.2	63.9	61.4
D Difference	(±3.40)* ce (±5.27)	6.6	70.4 66.6 -3.8		51.0 53.4 +2.4	62.5 65.4 +2.9	61.0 61.8 +0.8
Response - D	to additional	L N	+0.6	+1.8	+3.3 +1.6	-6.6 +0.4	(±1.13) -0.2 -0.6
Response K20 - D	to additional	L Cod	+2.1		2.25) -1.0 +3.6	+2.8	(±1.13) +1.9 0.0

^{*} For use in horizontal and diagonal comparisons only

1ST TEST CROP

SUGAR BEET

TOPS

		Ley	Lu	AH	AR	Mean
Mean	(±0,243)	10.49	8.07	8.37	9.18	9.03
D Difference	(±0.308)* e (±0.376)	11.27 9.72 -1.55	7.72 8.42 +0.70	8.10 8.63 +0.53	9.54 8.82 -0.72	9.16 8.90 -0.26
Response D	to additional 1	+0.66	(±0. +0.91 +0.06	•301) +0.82 +0.74	-0.40 +0.02	(±0.151) +0.50 +0.30
Response K20	to additional	+0.58	(±0 +1.04 +0.62	•301) +0•10 +0•32	+0.52	(±0.151) +0.56 +0.09

^{*} For use in horizontal and diagonal comparisons only

1ST TEST CROP

SUGAR BEET

Plots receiving no additional N or K

		Ley	IIA Lu	AH	AR	Mean
	50.6	8 .0 5	ROOTS	0.8	J.01	(249.0
Mean	(±0.696)	17.07	15.18	12.54	16.76	15.39
_ D	(±0.984)*	16.73 17.41	14.63 15.73	12.55	15.95 17.56	14.96 15.81
Difference	(±1.459)	+0.68	+1.10	-0.01	+1.61	+0.85
		SUGAL	R PERCENT	AGE		
Mean		19.8	20.0	19.6	19.6	19.7
_ D		19.8 19.8	20.1	19.9	19.7 19.4	19.9 19.6
Difference		0.0	-0.2	-0.6	-0.3	-0.3
		TO	TAL SUGAR			
Mean	(±3.09)	67.7	60.6	49.1	65.6	60.8
- D	(±4.38)*	66.3 69.1	58.6 62.7	49.8	63.2 68.1	59.5 62.1
Difference	(±6.56)	+2.8	+4.1	-1.3	+4.9	+2.6
			TOPS			
Mean	(±0.340)	10.03	7.29	7.80	9.36	8,62
_ D	(±0,480)*	10 . 27 9 . 80	6.89	7.56 8.03	9.30 9.42	8.50 8.74
Difference	(±0.643)	-0.47	+0.80	+0.47	+0.12	+0.24

^{*} For use in horizontal and diagonal comparisons only

1ST TEST CROP

SUGAR BEET

	Ley	Lu	AH	AR	Mean
	R	DOTS	STARIO		
	3,04 S	(±	1.546)*		一(进河美)
- P	17.25 17.70	15.34 15.20	13.47 13.43	15.81 16.97	15.47 15.82
Difference (±0.562)	+0.45	-0.14	-0.04	+1.16	+0.35 (±0.281)
	SUGAR 1	PERCENTA	GE		
- P	19.6 19.6	20.0	19.4 19.4	19.5 19.5	19.6 19.6
Difference	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTA	AL SUGAR	±7.13)*		1
P P	67.7 69.3	61.3	52.2 52.1	61.7	60.8 62.0
Difference (±2.47)	+1.66	-0.8	-0.1	+4.5	+1.2 (±1.24)
		TOPS			
	1	(±0.575)*		
P	10.55 10.44	8.20 7.94	8.48 8.25	9.07 9.29	9.08 8.98
Difference (±0.335)	-0.11	-0.26	-0.23	+0.22	-0.10 (±0.168)

^{*} For use in horizontal and diagonal comparisons only

2ND TEST CROP

BARLEY

Previous rotation

	neeM	1 Ley	_{MA} Lu	AH LAL	AR	Mean
			GRAIN	POOR		
_ D	(±1.36)*	46.2 44.4	46.0 44.0	42.2 40.0	40.8 38.4	43.8 41.7
Mean	(±0.95)	45.3	45.0	41.1	39.6	42.7
Difference	(±1.95)	-1.8		-2.2	-2.4	-2.1 (±0.97)
			STRAW			
D 6		33.6 34.0	31.7 34.7	29.6 29.4	30.4 36.6	31•3 33•7

33.2

+3.0

29.5

-0.2

33.5

+6.2

32.5

+2.4

33.8

+0.4

Mean D.M.%: Grain 84.4 Straw 83.4

Mean

Difference

^{*} For use in horizontal and diagonal comparisons only