Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readible, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



# Rothamsted Experimental Station Report for 1968 -Part 2



Full Table of Content

# Take-all on Broadbalk Wheat, 1958-1967

## **Judith Etheridge**

Judith Etheridge (1969) *Take-all on Broadbalk Wheat, 1958-1967*; Rothamsted Experimental Station Report For 1968 - Part 2, pp 137 - 140 - DOI: https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-2

#### BROADBALK DISEASES: TAKE-ALL 1958-67

### Take-all on Broadbalk Wheat, 1958-1967

By JUDITH ETHERIDGE

Since 1958 the incidence of take-all on Broadbalk has been estimated each year on plot 7 (N<sub>2</sub>PKNaMg). Diagnosis was by a more sensitive method than previously, so some increase in the recorded incidence of take-all is to be expected. Despite this, amounts found in the first seven years (1958–64) were small, even in years when take-all was prevalent and severe in nearby fields. Seasonal variations in the incidence of take-all on Broadbalk were also small, smaller than the difference between sections in any one year. However, from 1965 to 1967 take-all was much more prevalent during spring and summer on all sections except that after fallow (Table 6·17) and infected plants had a larger proportion of roots infected. This

TABLE 6·17

The incidence of take-all on Broadbalk wheat, plot 7, in the years 1958–67

		Continuous wheat			
	1	2	3	4	(Section IA)
7 years, 1958-64					
	1	4	10	8	14
% plants infected in May % straws* infected in July	0	8	15	12	13
3 years, 1965-67					
% plants infected in May	4	14	37	28	31
% plants infected in May % plants* infected in July	4	37	69	78	68

<sup>\*</sup> In wheat after wheat, mean straws per plant,  $1965-67 = 1\cdot1$ .

sudden increase is unexplained but as take-all was not unusually prevalent in other fields in these years, it is unlikely that the increase was caused by especially favourable weather. Nor is it easy to ascribe the increase to changes in the husbandry of Broadbalk. Since 1964 herbicides have been used on all sections except VA, but the incidence of take-all was no less on VA than on sprayed sections. Moreover, herbicides have been used on section IA since 1957, but take-all did not become prevalent until 1965. Equally puzzling is the fact that the greater prevalence of take-all was not associated with smaller yields. The mean yields for 1965–67 were as large as in the previous seven years, whereas in other experiments where take-all was prevalent, yields were closely correlated with its incidence. Although the amount of fertiliser applied to plot 7 is generous, the grain yields were small, much less than the potential of Squarehead's Master at Rothamsted, so it is likely that factors other than take-all limited yield on Broadbalk.

Effect of fertilisers. In three years (1965–67) take-all was estimated on eight plots of section IA (continuous wheat since 1952) (Table 6·18). The

#### ROTHAMSTED REPORT FOR 1968, PART 2

incidence of take-all differed considerably with different fertiliser treatments, but was less on plots 3 (unmanured) and 5 (PKNaMg) than on plot 7 (N<sub>2</sub>PKNaMg). This conflicts with the generally accepted and well documented idea that take-all is most prevalent when nutrients, especially

TABLE 6·18

The percentage plants with take-all in July in Broadbalk wheat,

Section IA (continuous wheat), 1965–67

Plot number	Treatment	Total infection	Moderate + severe infection
3	None	45	16
5	P K Na Mg	52	18
7	N <sub>2</sub> P K Na Mg	63	33
10	$N_2$	64	25
11	N <sub>2</sub> P	36	11
13	N <sub>2</sub> PK	58	27
16	N <sub>2</sub> *P K Na Mg	72	32
20	N <sub>2</sub> K Na Mg	62	27

N Nitrogen as ammonium salts.

N\* Nitrogen as sodium nitrate.

nitrogen, are deficient. We cannot explain this, but it is further evidence that the epidemiology of take-all on Broadbalk wheat is unusual.

Development of take-all. The small incidence of take-all on Broadbalk before 1965 led us to postulate the presence of a factor inhibitory to O. graminis in Broadbalk soil, possibly produced by the continual cropping with wheat only (Glynne, Salt & Slope, 1956; Cox, 1963). This idea was supported by earlier observations on the Continuous Wheat experiment at Woburn (Glynne, 1935) and, more recently, by results from short-term experiments at Rothamsted (Slope & Cox, 1964; Cox, 1965). Elsewhere in this account of the Broadbalk experiment (p. 181) we report on an unsuccessful attempt to identify micro-organisms inhibitory to O. graminis in the rhizosphere or rhizoplane microflora of Broadbalk wheat. In an effort to define more exactly the occurrence of inhibition, plants of Cappelle wheat with two seminal roots naturally infected with O. graminis were transplanted from Highfield into small plots on Broadbalk (headland of plot 7) on May 10, 1963. On May 23, June 7, June 27 and July 10 these plants had 5, 15, 35 and 58% crown roots infected, whereas plants uninfected when transplanted remained largely uninfected. These amounts of infection were very similar to those in a drilled wheat crop on Great Field, but were much greater than in the drilled crop on Broadbalk (2nd after fallow). Hence any factor inhibitory to take-all on Broadbalk is either destroyed by the process of transplanting or is not effective in preventing further development on already infected plants.

From 1960 to 1965 the development of take-all was studied in detail in the third or fourth wheat crop on plot 7 and compared with the development on plots in an experiment on Great Field I (Table 6·19). In 1960 to 1963 development on Broadbalk was checked in late May and June 138

#### BROADBALK DISEASES: TAKE-ALL 1958-67

#### TABLE 6-19

Development of take-all on plants and crown roots in spring and summer: Broadbalk wheat (BB) plot 7 (N<sub>2</sub>PKNaMg) and Great Field I(GF) wheat plots, 1960-65

		Late May							
Year		Crop	April	Early May	or early June	Mid June	July		
			%	plants w	vith take-al	I			
1960	BB	4th	_	_	30		28*		
	GF	4th	59		72	_	73*		
1961	BB	4th		11	37	36	21		
	GF	4th	16	47	60		93		
1962	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}$	4th	3	10	24	_	13		
	GF	4th	50	41	69	_	67		
1963	BB	3rd	0	1	4	5	9		
	GF	4th	2 7	37	57	57	75		
1964	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}$	3rd	7	11	22	59	50		
	GF	4th	1	21	39	67	64		
1965	BB	4th	20	43	56	77	94		
	GF	4th	36	60	78	93	98		
		* % stra	aws with t	ake-all i	n 1960.				
			ot sample						
		%	crown roc	ots infect	ed on infec	ted plant	ts		
1960	BB	4th	0	0	31	27	40		
	GF	4th	2	0	31	58	75		
1961	BB	4th	_	18	7	10	18		
	GF	4th		8	20	33	39		
1962	BB	4th	0	0	3	_	2		
	GF	4th	0	0	8	_	12		
1963	BB	3rd	0	0	6	2	3		
	GF	4th	0	1	6	24	38		
1964	BB	3rd	0	3	9	21	28		
	GF	4th	Ö	Ö	3	9	20		
1965	BB	4th	O	4	11	13	31		
	GF	4th	0	4	9	14	37		

(Cox, 1963) but in 1964 and 1965 its progress did not differ appreciably from that on Great Field, suggesting that in some years O. graminis is inhibited on Broadbalk wheat but not in others.

#### REFERENCES

Broadbalk White Book 1843-1912.

Broadbalk White Book 1912-1957.

Broadbalk White Book 1912–1957.

Cox, J. (1963) Rep. Rothamsted exp. Stn for 1962, 117.

Cox, J. (1965) Rep. Rothamsted exp. Stn for 1964, 133.

Cox, J. & Cock, L. J. (1962) Survival of Cercosporella herpotrichoides on naturally infected straws of wheat and barley. Pl. Path. 11, 65–66.

Cox, J. & Slope, D. B. (1963) Rep. Rothamsted exp. Stn for 1962, 115.

Foëx, E. & Rosella, E. (1933) Quelques observations sur le piétin des céréales. Rev. Path. vég. Ent. Agric. Fr. 20, 172–187.

Glynne, M. D. (1931–1939) Fungus and other diseases at Rothamsted and Woburn. Rep. Rothamsted exp. Stn for 1930, 62–63; for 1931, 49–52; for 1932, 52–55; for 1933, 54–56; for 1934, 73–77; for 1935, 82–86; for 1936, 122–124; for 1937, 73–75; for 1938, 59–61.

Glynne, M. D. (1935) Incidence of take-all on wheat and barley on experimental plots at Woburn. Ann. appl. Biol. 22, 225–235.

Glynne, M. D. (1936) Some new British records of fungi on wheat, Cercosporella herpotrichoides Fron., Gibellina cerealis Pass., and Ophiobolus herpotrichus (Fr.)

herpotrichoides Fron., Gibellina cerealis Pass., and Ophiobolus herpotrichus (Fr.) Sacc. Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 20, 120–122.

#### ROTHAMSTED REPORT FOR 1968, PART 2

GLYNNE, M. D. (1951) Effects of cultural treatments on wheat and on the incidence of eyespot, lodging, take-all and weeds. Field experiments 1945-48. Ann. appl. Biol. 38, 665-688.

GLYNNE, M. D. (1953) Produ Br. mycol. Soc. 36, 46-51. Production of spores by Cercosporella herpotrichoides. Trans.

GLYNNE, M. D. (1959) Effect of potash on powdery mildew in wheat. Pl. Path. 8,

GLYNNE, M. D. (1963) Eyespot (Cercosporella herpotrichoides) and other factors influencing yield of wheat in the six-course rotation experiment at Rothamsted (1930-60). Ann. appl. Biol. 51, 189-214.

GLYNNE, M. D. (1965) Crop sequence in relation to soil-borne plant pathogens. In:

Ecology of soil-borne pathogens. Ed. K. F. Baker & W. C. Snyder. Berkeley &
Los Angeles: University of California Press, pp. 423-435.

GLYNNE, M. D. & Cox, J. (1959) Rep. Rothamsted exp. Stn for 1958, 108.
GLYNNE, M. D., DION, W. M. & WEIL, J. W. (1945) The effect of eyespot (Cercosporella herpotrichoides Fron.) on wheat and the influence of nitrogen on the disease. Ann. appl. Biol. 32, 297-303.

GLYNNE, M. D. & MOORE, F. J. (1961) Melanospora damnosa associated with Fusarium culmorum on cereals. Pl. Path. 10, 168.

GLYNNE, M. D. & RITCHIE, W. M. (1943) Sharp eyespot of wheat caused by Corticum (Rhizoctonia) Solani. Nature, Lond. 152, 161.

GLYNNE, M. D. & SALT, G. A. (1958) Eyespot of wheat and barley. Rep. Rothamsted

exp. Stn for 1957, 231-241.

GLYNNE, M. D., SALT, G. A. & SLOPE, D. B. (1954) Rep. Rothamsted exp. Stn for

GLYNNE, M. D., SALT, G. A. & SLOPE, D. B. (1956) Rep. Rothamsted exp. Stn for 1955, 103.

GLYNNE, M. D. & SLOPE, D. B. (1959) Effects of previous wheat crops, seed-rate and nitrogen on eyespot, take-all, weeds and yields of two varieties of winter wheat: field experiment 1954–56. *Ann. appl. Biol.* 47, 187–199.

KEENAN, A. (1867) Notebook No. 7.

LAWES, J. B. & GILBERT, J. H. (1864) Report of experiments on the growth of wheat for 20 years in succession on the same land. Jl R. agric. Soc. 1st Series, 25, 93

(and Rothamsted Mem. agric. Sci. 3, No. 4).

MEIJERS, P. G. (1937) Een onderzoek naar factoren die van invloed zijn bij het optreden van de oogvlekkenziekte van de tarwe 1935. (An enquiry into factors that influence the occurrence of eyespot in wheat) Versl. RijkslandbProefstns Groningen

A, 43, 271-311.

OÖRT, A. J. P. (1936) De oogvlekkenziekte van de granen, veroorzaakt door Cercosporella herpotrichoides (Fron.) (The eyespot disease of cereals caused by Cercosporella herpotrichoides (Fron.) Tijdschr. PlZiekt. 42, 170-234.

SALT, G. A. (1957) Effects of nitrogen applied at different dates and of other cultural treatments on eyespot, take-all and yield of winter wheat (field experiment, 1953). J. agric. Sci., Camb. 48, 326-335.

Salt, G. A. (1958) Rep. Rothamsted exp. Stn for 1957, 119-120.
Salt, G. A. (1959) Eyespot of wheat in ley-arable rotation experiments at Rothamsted, 1952-58. Pl. Path. 8, 59-61.

Sanford, G. B. & Broadfoot, W. C. (1931) Studies of the effects of other soil inhabiting organisms on the virulence of *Ophiobolus graminis* Sacc. Sci. Agric. 11, 512-528

SLOPE, D. B. (1961) Rep. Rothamsted exp. Stn for 1960, 122. SLOPE, D. B. (1963) Rep. Rothamsted exp. Stn for 1962, 116-117.

SLOPE, D. B. & Cox, J. (1964) Rep. Rothamsted exp. Stn for 1963, 108.

SNYDER, W. C. & NASH, S. M. (1968) Relative incidence of Fusarium pathogens in cereals in rotation plots at Rothamsted. Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 51, 417-425.

Sprague, R. (1931) Cercosporella herpotrichoides Fron., the cause of Columbia Basin footrot of winter wheat. Science 74, 51-53.

Sprague, R. & Fellowes, H. (1934) Cercosporella footrot of winter cereals. Tech. Bull. U.S. Dep. Agric. No. 428, 1-24.

Warington, K. (1958) Changes in the weed flora on Broadbalk permanent wheat field during the period 1930-1955. J. Ecol. 46, 101-113.

Weigert, J. & Weizel, H. (1935) Ertrags-und Güteminderung bei Getreide durch Fusskrankheiten. (Yield and quality depreciation in cereals through footrots.) Prakt. Bl. PflBau PflSchutz 12, 289–303.

https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-2