Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readible, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



Rothamsted Report for 1938



Full Table of Content

Other Experiments at Woburn

Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1939) *Other Experiments at Woburn*; Rothamsted Report For 1938, pp 155 - 165 - **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-86

SUGAR BEET

WORITRN

Effect of agricultural salt, muriate of potash and of superphosphate, ploughed in in December, or broadcast in January, broadcast in March, broadcast at sowing, and of dung WS—Butt Close, 1938

Plan and yields in lb.

10		g, I		to a	Roots (dirty)	Tops	Sugar per cent.	us ster Crum 1940	16			Roots (dirty)	Tops	Sugar per cent.	
1	_	_	_	_	M_3 494	442	16.56	-	Na	_	K	M ₃ 530	513	16.50	33
	D	-	-	K	M ₄ 576	565	16.85	-	_	P	K	M ₄ 421	430	16.76	-
	D	Na	_	-	$M_1 570$	594	16.67	D	-	_	K	M ₁ 556	619	16.07	
	D	Na	-	_	$M_3 568$	538	17.34	_	-	-	_	M ₂ 524	410	16.82	
	-	Na	_	K	M ₂ 518	450	16.79	-	Na	-	K	M ₁ 606	512	16.76	
	_	Na	P	_	$M_4 525$	449	16.64	_	_	P	K	M ₂ 508	399	16.79	
	D		P	_	M_2 538	535	16.82	D	_	_	K	$M_3 548$	533	16.82	
	_	Na	P		M_2 520	418	17.02	-	Na	P	_	$M_1 520$	402	16.93	
	-	-	P	K	$M_3 518$	467	16.85	D	-	P	-	M_3 550	609	16.01	
	D	NT-	-	K	M_2 571	500	16.79	D	Na	-	-	M_4 561	554	16.39	
	-	Na	-	K	M ₄ 469	459	16.62	D	Na	P	K	M_2 570	653	16.33	
	D	Na	P	K	M_3 537	539	17.31	D	-	P	-	$M_1 554$	527	16.56	
W	D	_	P	_	M ₄ 566	533	17.19	D	Na	_	-	M_2 568	597	17.28	
4	D	Na	P	K	M ₁ 474	421	16.79	-	Na	P	-	M_3 502	430	17.77	
1	D	Na			M ₁ 523	570	16.70	-	-	_	_	M_4 431	392	16.24	
					M ₁ 418	380	17.04	D	Na	P	K	M ₄ 460	520	16.04	
	-	Na	-	_	$M_1 507$	556	16.68	_	Na			M ₂ 466	520	16.90	
	D	Na	-	K	M_4 572	567	16.36	_	Na	P	K	M ₄ 485	385	16.73	
	D	Na	P	-	M_2 512	599	16.07	D		-	_	$M_4 502$	527	16.87	
	-	-	P		M_4 438	457	15.90	D	Na	P	_	$M_3 487$	530	16.10	
	D	-	P	K	M_3 512	544	16.24	D	100	-	-	M_2 481	476	16.50	
	_	Na	P	K	$M_1 491$	439	15.92	_	Na	_	-	$M_4 394$	362	16.68	
	-	-	-	K	M_2 472	453	16.82	D	Na	P		$M_1 459$	507	16.42	
	D	-	P	K	M ₁ 525	552	16.33	D	-	P	K	M_2 466	496	16.73	a tile
	21.5	Na	-	K	M ₄ 498	479	16.59	-	-	P	-	$M_1 427$	385	16.33	
	D	Na	D D	-	M ₃ 460	451	17.02	D	Na	_	K	$M_1 438$	461	16.50	2 50
		Na	P	V	M ₄ 498	450	15.75	-		P	=	M ₃ 424	377	16.33	
	D	-		K	M ₃ 486	464	16.39	_	Na	P	K	M ₂ 476	341	17.02	
	D	Na	_	K	M ₃ 488 M ₂ 531	509 577	16.85 16.88	D	NIC	-	K	M ₃ 393	401	16.58	
	_		P		M ₂ 469	425	16.88	D	Na	D	K	M ₃ 398	474	16.10	
32	D	_	-	_		534		D	_	P	K	M ₄ 438	449	15.84	01
02					$M_1 518$	004	16.53	_		_	K	$M_1 391$	367	17.05	64

System of Replication: 4 randomized blocks of 16 plots each. Certain interactions partially confounded with block differences.

confounded with block differences.

AREA OF EACH PLOT (after rejecting two edge rows of each plot): 1/60 acre. Plots actually 1/40 acre. (183.8 lks. × 13.6 lks.).

TREATMENTS: 4 × 2⁴ factorial design.

Dung: None, 10 tons per acre ploughed in, in December (D).

Agricultural salt: None, 5 cwt. per acre (Na).

Muriate of potash: None, 1 cwt. K₂O per acre (K).

Superphosphate: None, 0.5 cwt. P₂O₅ per acre (P).

Minerals ploughed in in December (M₁), broadcast in January (M₂), broadcast in March (M₃),

broadcast at sowing (M₄).

Minerals ploughed in in December (M₁), broadcast in January (M₂), broadcast in March (M₃), broadcast at sowing (M₄).

BASAL MANURING: Sulphate of ammonia at the rate of 0.6 cwt. N per acre.

CULTIVATIONS, ETC.: Dung applied: Dec. 1. Minerals (M₁) applied: Dec. 1. Ploughed: Dec. 8. Minerals (M₂) applied: Jan. 3. Minerals (M₃) applied: March 29. Harrowed: April 13. Drilled and minerals (M₄) applied: April 13. Rolled: April 13. Harrowed: April 14. Sulphate of ammonia applied: April 14. Hand hoed: May 12-18. Singled: May 19-June 7 and June 15-30. Lifted: Oct. 17-31. Variety: Kleinwanzleben E. Previous crop: Barley. Barley.

STANDARD ERRORS PER PLOT: Total sugar: 3.15 cwt. per acre or 7.99%. Tops: 1.14 tons per acre or 8.73%. Mean dirt tare: 0.112.

Effects of mineral manures

		1				Miner	als				
		200	None	Salt	Mur. of pot.			Salt and super.	Mur. of pot. and super.	All	Mean
ir.	PE.A	1	E TEN S	TOTA	AL SUGA	AR: cwt.	per acre	(±2.23)			
M ₁ M ₂ M ₃ M ₄	10.0 10.0 25.0 37.0		38.61	42.5 42.1 42.9 37.8	37.3 41.9 37.6 43.0	41.0 42.4 36.1 39.7	38.5 39.5 36.2 39.1	39.3 40.7 38.2 39.2	39.5 38.4 41.4 33.2	40.0 41.8 41.9 37.2	39.7 ² 41.0 ² 39.2 ² 38.5 ²
					ROOTS	(washed)	: tons p	er acre			
M ₁ M ₂ M ₃ M ₄	10 to 42 to	::	11.58	12.72 12.31 12.47 11.46	11.32 12.48 11.25 12.86	12.31 12.59 11.06 12.04	11.69 11.88 11.21 11.75	11.77 12.28 11.25 12.08	11.93 11.44 12.50 10.17	12.25 12.55 12.40 11.36	12.00 12.22 11.73 11.67
			in liek		TOPS:	tons per	acre (±	0.802)			
M ₁ M ₂ M ₃ M ₄	100 to 10	::	12.298	15.40 14.96 13.25 12.27	13.21 12.76 12.51 13.98	13.03 13.75 13.22 13.74	12.21 12.86 13.21 13.26	12.17 13.62 12.86 12.04	13.03 11.99 13.54 11.77	13.51 13.31 13.43 12.12	13.224 13.324 13.144 12.744
					SUGA	R PERC	ENTAG	E			
M ₁ M ₂ M ₃ M ₄		::	16.68	16.68 17.09 17.18 16.54	16.56 16.80 16.70 16.72	16.63 16.84 16.30 16.49	16.44 16.59 16.17 16.54	16.68 16.54 16.94 16.20	16.56 16.76 16.54 16.30	16.31 16.68 16.85 16.38	16.55 16.76 16.67 16.45
			Standard						(4) ±0.30	3.	

M₁=Minerals ploughed in in December. M₂=broadcast in January.

M₃=broadcast in March.

M4=broadcast at sowing.

Differential responses to fertilizers

	1		Differential	l responses	
olomun kanese sq	Mean response		Salt Absent Present	Muriate of potash Absent Present	Superphosphate Absent Present
тот	AL SUGA	R: cwt. per acr	re (±1.11. Mea	ns: ±0.788)	
Dung Salt	+1.4 +0.1	$\begin{array}{c cccc} -& -& -& -& -& -& -& -& -& -& -& -& -& $	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} +4.2 & +1.8 \\ +1.2 & +1.6 \\ -0.1 & +0.3 \\ \hline & - & - \end{array}$
		ROOTS (washe	d): tons per acr	e	
Dung Salt Muriate of potash Superphosphate	$\left egin{array}{c} +1.00 \\ +0.38 \\ +0.08 \\ -0.17 \end{array} \right $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+1.65 +0.34 $-0.13 +0.03$ $-0.21 -0.13$	$\begin{array}{c} +1.25 & +0.74 \\ +0.44 & +0.33 \\ -0.17 & -0.16 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	TOPS: t	tons per acre (+	0.402. Means :	+0.284)	
Dung Salt	$ \begin{array}{r} +2.85 \\ +0.58 \\ +0.10 \\ -0.39 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+3.05 + 2.64 $-0.26 - 0.06$ $+0.03 - 0.82$	+2.95 +2.74 +0.74 +0.42 -0.35 -0.44	$\begin{array}{c} +2.48 & +3.22 \\ +1.00 & +0.15 \\ +0.14 & +0.06 \\ \hline \end{array}$
		SUGAR PE	RCENTAGE	Total Control	
Dung Salt Muriate of postash Superphosphate	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.16 \\ +0.06 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.17 \end{array} $	+0.16 -0.04 -0.01 -0.09 -0.07 -0.28	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} -0.05 & -0.26 \\ -0.06 & -0.16 \\ -0.20 & -0.14 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{cccc} -0.12 & -0.20 \\ +0.17 & -0.06 \\ \hline -0.26 & -0.08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.05 & -0.26 \\ +0.03 & +0.08 \\ -0.14 & +0.04 \\ -\end{array}$

Conclusions

Dung produced significant increases of 3.0 cwt. per acre in sugar and 2.85 tons per acre in tops. The response to dung was 5.4 cwt. per acre of sugar in the absence of salt and only 0.6 cwt. per acre in the presence of salt, there being a significant negative interaction.

Minerals gave no significant results in either sugar or tops, though the responses to salt almost reached significance in both cases. The times and methods of application of the minerals had no apparent effect.

SUGAR BEET

WOBURN

Effect of sulphate of ammonia, dung, treated town refuse, superphosphate and muriate of potash

WS-Butt Close, 1938

Plan and yields in lb.

Roots (dirty), tops, sugar percentage, plant number and percentage bolters in descending order

67	H1M1	D1M0	S1M2	D2M0	S0M0	D1M2	D0M0	D2M2	H0M1	91
	252	287	263	257	222	251	208	230	215	
	174	195	200	170	133	167	118	145	122	
	17.83	17.51	17.97	16.99	17.60	17.74	17.28	17.89	18.18	
W	353	368	352	358	368	362	361	343	368	200
1	7.4	1.6	1.7	3.9	4.1	2.5	2.2	3.8	1.9	artu)
	номо	S0M1	D2M1	н1М0	ном2	Н2М1	D1M1	H1M2	н2М0	1000
	237	273	277	275	227	308	257	243	244	
	154	166	199	184	143	221	161	142	184	
1	17.60	17.16	18.03	17.37	17.08	17.34	17.80	18.06	17.22	
	380	378	357	354	365	345	354	340	324	339
	4.2	1.3	5.6	4.5	4.4	5.5	3.1	4.7	6.2	
	н2М2	S2M0	D0M2	S1M1	D0M1	S2M2	S1M0	S2M1	S0M2	11-1711
	310	323	252	258	233	304	270	266	210	
	243	279	166	190	157	265	197	211	132	
	17.54	17.08	17.83	17.63	17.97	17.05	18.03	17.25	17.28	
	353	348	370	350	355	339	357	354	345	MEST
65	6.8	5.5	3.0	4.9	2.5	7.4	2.2	3.1	2.6	89

SYSTEM OF REPLICATION: 3 randomized blocks of 9 plots each.

AREA OF EACH PLOT (after rejecting edge-rows): 0.0101 acre. Plots actually 0.0108 acre.

TREATMENTS: 3 × 3 × 3 factorial design.

Nitrogenous fertilisers: None (0), single dressing (1), double dressing (2), of sulphate of ammonia (S), treated town refuse *(H), dung (D).

The single dressing was 0.4 cwt. N per acre for sulphate of ammonia and 0.8 cwt. for treated town refuse and dung.

Minerals: None (M0), single dressing (M1), double dressing (M2), the single dressing being 0.4 cwt. P_2O_5 and 0.5 cwt. K_2O per acre.

Basal manuring: Nil.

Cultivations, etc.: Ploughed: December, 1937 and April 1. Town refuse and dung applied: April 1. Harrowed: April 13. Rolled: April 13. Drilled: April 13. Artificials applied: April 14. Hand-hoed: May 19. Singled: May 26-30 and June 30. Horse-hoed: June 20-30. Lifted: Nov. 2. Variety: Kleinwanzleben E. Previous crop: Barley.

SPECIAL NOTE: * Town refuse screened, and fermented in silos.

STANDARD ERRORS PER PLOT: Total sugar: 2.57 cwt. per acre or 7.45%. Tops: 0.597 tons per acre or 7.60%. Mean dirt tare: 0.133.

Main effects and interactions of amount of nitrogen with kind of nitrogenous manures and minerals

Amount of nitro	gen	Sulph. of amm.	Treated town refuse	Dung	None	Minerals Single dressing	Double dressing	Mean	Increase
		TOT	AL SUG	AR: cw	t. per ac	re (±1.49)		
None			30.51		29.6	32.7	29.3	30.51	
Single dressing		36.8	35.3	36.3	38.7	34.8	35.0	36.11	+5.62
Double dressing		37.7	38.0	34.9	35.8	38.0	36.8	36.9^{1}	$+0.8^{2}$
Mean		37.23	36.73	35.63	34.71	35.11	33.71	34.5	
Increase			-0.54	-1.64			1.42	01.0	
		Standar	rd errors	: (1) ±().857, (²)	± 1.21 , ($^3) \pm 1.06,$	(4) ±1.	48.
None		111111111111111111111111111111111111111	8.69	(washed)	8.46		0.40	0.00	
Single dressing		10.28	9.95	10.28	10.96	9.21 9.80	8.40 9.75	8.69	1 1 10
Double dressing		11.00	10.94	9.90	10.47	10.83	10.55		$+1.48 \\ +0.45$
			10.01	0.00	10.11	10.00	10.00	10.02	T 0.20
Mean		10.64	10.44	10.09	9.96	9.95	9.58	9.83	
Increase			-0.20	-0.55	-	-0.01 -	0.37		
		TO	DC						
None		10	PS: to	ns per ac			0.45	0 0 75	
Single dressing		8.61	6.31 ⁵ 7.33	7.67	5.94 8.45	6.53 7.70	6.47 7.47	6.315	
Double dressing	::	11.07	9.51	7.54	9.29	9.26	9.58		$+1.56^{6} + 1.50^{6}$
Double dressing		11.01	0.01	1.01	0.20	3.20	9.00	9.01	+1.50
Mean		9.847	8.427	7.617	7.895	7.835	7.845	7.85	
Increase				-2.238			-0.016		
		Standar	rd errors	: (5) ±0	.199, (6)	± 0.281 , ($^{7}) \pm 0.244$	\pm , (8) \pm 0	.345.
			CIIC	AR PER	CENTA	20			
None			17.55	IN PER	17.49	17.77	17.40	18 55	
Single dressing		17.88	17.75	17.68	17.64	17.75	17.92	17.55	+0.22
Double dressing		17.13	17.37	17.64	17.10	17.54	17.49		-0.39
					A.F. T		11110	11.00	0.00
Mean		17.50	17.56	17.66	17.41	17.69	17.60	17.57	
Increase			+0.06	+0.16	+	-0.28 $-$	0.09		
		DT A3	TT NITTA	men.					
None		PLAI	NT NUM 36.0	BER:	36.4	ls per acr		20.0	
Single dressing	::	34.8	34.4	35.6	35.5	34.7	35.5 34.6	36.0 34.9	-1.1
Double dressing		34.2	33.6	34.8	33.8	34.7	34.0	34.2	-0.7
-					00.0	01	01.0	01.2	0.,
Mean		34.5	34.0	35.2	35.2	35.2	34.7	35.0	
Increase			-0.5	+0.7		0.0	0.5		
		DED	CENTAC	E OF B	OT TED				
None		PERC	2.91°	E OF B	3.50	S (±0.852		0.010	THE REAL PROPERTY.
Single dressing	::	2.93	5.53	2.40	2.77	5.13	3.33	2.919	+0.7110
Double dressing		5.33	6.17	4.43	5.20	4.73	6.00		$+0.71^{10} + 1.69^{10}$
				1.10	0.20	2.70	0.00	0.01	71.00
Mean		4.1311	5.8511	3.4211	3.829	3.929	4.109	3.95	
Increase				-0.71^{12}		-0.1010 -	+0.1810		
		Standar	d errors	: (a) ∓().492, (10)	± 0.696 ,	(11) ±0.	803, (12)	± 0.853

Interaction of kind of nitrogenous manures with minerals (adjusted for block differences)

20.2 40.20 10.00 1	None	Minerals Single dressing	Double dressing	None	Minerals Single dressing	Double dressing
Sulphate of Ammonia Treated Town Refuse Dung	39.6 36.2 35.8	OTAL SUG. t. per acre (= 36.0 37.4 35.7	AR: ±1.66) 36.2 36.4 35.1	11.26 10.46 10.41	OOTS (washed tons per acr 10.32 10.64 9.98	10.32 10.24 9.88
2446	TOPS:	tons per acr	e (±0.385)		R PERCE	NTAGE
Sulphate of Ammonia	10.48	9.24	9.81	17.55 17.28	17.43 17.60	17.52 · 17.80
Treated Town Refuse	8.50 7.60	8.26 7.94	8.49 7.28	17.26	17.91	17.80
Dung		ANT NUM	BER:	PERCE	NTAGE OF	BOLTERS
	th	ousands per		195	(± 0.955)	
Sulphate of Ammonia	34.6	35.2	33.8	4.24	3.94	4.20
Treated Town Refuse	33.9	34.0	34.0	5.30	6.09	6.15
Dung	35.4	35.0	35.2	2.40	4.74	3.09

Conclusions

It should be noted that sulphate of ammonia was applied at half rate per unit of N as compared with town refuse and dung.

The nitrogenous fertilizers produced significant increases in total sugar and tops. For sulphate of ammonia and treated town refuse, the double dressing proved as effective as the single dressing per unit of N applied in tops, but somewhat less effective in sugar. For dung, there was no additional response to the double dressing either in sugar or tops.

The average responses in sugar to sulphate of ammonia and treated town refuse were about the same, the response to dung being lower, though not significantly so. The average response in tops to sulphate of ammonia was significantly greater than the responses to the other two fertilizers, and the response to town refuse was significantly greater than that to dung.

All three nitrogenous fertilizers produced significant increases in the percentage of bolters, the average increase being significantly greater with treated town refuse than with sulphate of ammonia or dung.

There were no significant effects of minerals.

KALE

WOBURN

The effects of roots and tops of mustard, tares and lupins used as green manures

> WK-Lansome, 1937 Plan and yields in lb.

1	TAR	MR	LO	TA2TR	LR	MTR	F	LR	F	MR
	28	48	57	84	62	36	26	20	30	12
	M2TR	LTR	TATR	F	F	LO	M2TR	F	TAO	TAR
	38	84	78	42	45	17	33	16	10	10
	TAO	L2TR	F	MO	MTR	MO	LTR	L2TR	TA2TR	
	44	105	69	51	51	28	90	73	59	28
	LTR	TAO	LR	мо	F	LTR	TATR	F	F	TA2TR
	55	14	46	37	37	68	60	44	35	30
I	F	MTR	LO	F	TATR	LO	TAO	TAR	LR	MO
	26	19	28	14	38	30	26	41	21	6
	TA2TR	M2TR	MR	L2TR	TAR	M2TR	MR	MTR	F	L2TR
1	19	14	15	62	12	37	17	24	14	44

System of Replication: 4 randomized blocks of 15 plots each.

System of Replication: 4 randomized blocks of 15 plots each.

Area of Each Plot: 0.00478 acre.

Treatments: Green manures: Fallow (F), tares (TA), lupins (L), mustard (M). Plants pulled up after growing (O), plants cut and removed, but roots left in ground (R), plants ploughed in as grown (TR), plants ploughed in and additional tops from (R) plots also buried (2TR).

Dung was applied at the rate of 10 tons per acre to blocks I and IV.

Basal Manuring: Nil.

Cultivations, etc.: Ploughed: Feb. 3, 10 and 11. Harrowed, mustard, tares or lupins drilled: April 12. Applied dung: April 13. Tares redrilled: April 21. Mustard redrilled: May 6. Additional mustard and tares drilled: May 10. Green manure crop cut: July 8 and 9. Ploughed: July 10. Harrowed: July 12. Rolled and kale drilled: July 16. Hoed: Aug. 24-31. Singled and transplanted: Sept. 10-13. Kale harvested: Feb. 3 and 4. Variety: Thousand head. Previous crop: Kale.

Thousand head. Previous crop: Kale.

STANDARD ERRORS PER PLOT: Area with no dung: 1.19 tons per acre or 41.3%. Area with dung: 1.37 tons per acre or 31.8%.

Nitrogen buried: lb. per acre

		1	N	o dung		II	Du	ing	
		Fallow*	R	TR	2TR	Fallow*	R	TR	2TR
Mustard Lupins Tares	 	9	2 18 6	20 156 43	44 295 104	18	2 16 7	31 162 61	61 289 117

^{*} Nitrogen content of weeds on fallow plots.

Summary of Results: Kale: tons per acre

	Fallow	0	R	TR	2TR	Mean
			No Dung	g (+0.842)		
Mustard		3.04	1.26	2.58	2.20	2.272
Lupins	9 941	2.10	3.08	6.78	6.31	4.572
Tares		1.12	1.02	3.08	3.65	2.222
Mean (±0.486)	2.34	2.09	1.79	4.15	4.05	2.88
Mean (± 0.486)	2.01		Dung	(± 0.969)		
Mustard		2.66	3.04	3.50	3.50	3.184
	9 003	4.06	3.88	7.10	6.96	5.504
Lupins Tares		3.27	3.22	6.45	5.32	4.564
Mean (±0.559)	3.88	3.33	3.38	5.68	5.26	4.31

Standard errors: (1) ± 0.486 , (2) ± 0.421 , (3) ± 0.559 , (4) 0.484.

Conclusions

The yields of kale were very poor. Where whole plants or tops were removed, the growing of green manures produced a small though not significant decrease in yields. The burying of whole plants, with or without extra tops, gave significant increases over fallow of 3.7 tons per acre with lupins and 1.5 tons per acre with tares but no increase with mustard. There was, however, no extra response to the double dressing of tops with either lupins or tares.

Dung applied to whole blocks increased the yields by 1.4 tons per acre. There were no significant differences between the effects of the green manures on the dunged and undunged blocks.

KALE

WOBURN

The effects of roots and tops of mustard, tares and lupins used as green manures

> WK-Butt Furlong, 1938 Plan and yields in lb.

1	TAR	MR	LO	TA2TR	LR	MTR	F	LR	F	MR
	98	68	94	97	116	75	108	101	94	74
	M2TR	LTR	TATR	F	F	LO	M2TR	F	TAO	TAR
	89	102	88	94	98	86	101	91	93	105
	TAO	L2TR	F	MO	MTR	MO	LTR	L2TR	TA2TR	
	93	(82)	107	91	83	61	124	(100)	92	110
	LTR	TAO	LR	мо	F	LTR	TATR	F	F	TA2TR
	91	86	101	78	94	118	92	90	97	108
	F	MTR	LO	F	TATR	LO	TAO	TAR	LR	MO
	94	76	89	94	90	104	107	101	94	78
	TA2TR	M2TR	MR	L2TR	TAR	M2TR	MR	MTR	F	L2TR
	91	86	64	(71)	84	91	78	86	98	(102)

System of Replication: 4 randomized blocks of 15 plots each.

AREA OF EACH PLOT: 0.00478 acre.

TREATMENTS: Green manures: Fallow (F), tares (TA), lupins (L), mustard (M). Plants pulled up after growing (O), plants cut and removed, but roots left in ground (R), plants ploughed in as grown (TR), plants ploughed in and additional tops from (R) plots also buried (2TR). BASAL MANURING: Nil.

Cultivations, etc.: Ploughed: March 23. Harrowed, rolled and lupins drilled: March 25.

Vetches drilled: March 26. Tares, lupins and mustard drilled: May 3-5. Green crops cut:

July 11. Ploughed: July 12 and 13. Harrowed, rolled and kale drilled: July 16. Hoed:

July 29. Singled and kale transplanted: Aug. 10 and 11. Kale harvested: March 7, 11 and

April 14, 1939. Variety: Thousand head. Previous crop: Sugar beet.

Special Notes: The yields in brackets in the above plan were seriously affected by heavy snow in December. The tares crop was a failure; the yields of kale are given above, although all the tares plots received the same treatment.

Standard Error per Plot: 0.756 tons per acre or 8.859/

STANDARD ERROR PER PLOT: 0.756 tons per acre or 8.85%.

Nitrogen added: lb. per acre

Mustard	12	3	40	83
Lupins	 Vitrogen conten	11	104	186

Summary of Results: Kale: tons per acre (± 0.378)

	Fallow	0	R	TR	2TR
Mustard Lupins	9.041	7.18 8.68	6.62 9.64	7.50 10.17	8.56
Mean (±0.267)	9.041 Standard e	7.93 errors: (1):	8.13 ±0.218, (2) =	8.84 ±0.378	8.562

Conclusions

The growing of a green manure crop of mustard, removing the tops or whole plant, significantly reduced the yields of kale as compared with fallow. Where tops were buried the yields of kale were increased, but even with the burying of the double dressing of tops the yields did not equal those after fallow. Lupins gave about the same yields of kale as fallow when tops were carted off, but a significant increase of 1.1. tons per acre over fallow when tops were buried.

KALE

WOBURN

Effect of sulphate of ammonia, poultry manure, soot, rape dust and dung WK-Lansome, 1938 (5th year) Plan and yields in lb.

									-
1	D ₄ 85 D ₂ 53 S ₂ 88	N ₂	D ₂ 76 O 41 R ₂ 84	N ₁ 79 0 31 0	D ₂ 67 O 43 N ₂ 107	D ₂ 54 M ₂ 70 R ₂ 90	0	S ₂ 90 D ₄ 68 O 27	8
	85	116	76	79	67	04	40	90	
	D.	0	0	0	0	\mathbf{M}_2	O	D ₄	
NW	53	40	41	31	43	70	38	68	
1	S	M.	R.	0	N.	R,	N ₁	0	
1	88	116 O 40 M ₂ 78	84	41	107	90	40 O 38 N ₁ 66	27	
	00								-
	0	D.	M.	0	N ₂ 139 O 54 D ₂ 82	D ₄ 84 S ₂ 103 O 50	O 45 M ₂ 89 N ₁ 97	O 39 R ₂ 112 D ₂ 81	
	O 31 O 23 N ₁ 61	D ₂ 52 N ₂	M ₂ 89 O 47 S ₂ 96	O 43 D ₂ 53 D ₄ 91	139	84	45	39	
	91	N	0	D.	0	S.	M.	R.	
	00	100	47	53	54	103	89	112	
	23	109	41	D	D	100	N	D	
	N ₁	R ₂	S ₂	D ₄	D2		07	91	45
41	61	109 R ₂ 90	96	91	82	90	91	91	- +

System of Replication: 4 randomized blocks of 12 plots each.

AREA OF EACH PLOT (after rejecting edge rows): 1/192 acre. Plots actually 1/160 acre (25 lks. x 25 lks.).

TREATMENTS, 1938: None, sulphate of ammonia at 0.4 cwt. and 0.8 cwt. N per acre (N₁, N₂) half in seed bed and half as top dressing, poultry manure (M₂), soot (S₂), rape dust (R₂) all at 0.8 cwt. N per acre and applied in seed bed, and dung at 0.8 cwt. and 1.6 cwt. N per acre (D₂, D₄) ploughed in.

Basal Manuring: Superphosphate and muriate of potash applied to every plot to give a total of 1.0 cwt. P₂O₅ and 1.0 cwt. K₂O per acre, including the P₂O₅ and K₂O in the organic fertilizers

CULTIVATIONS, ETC.: Dung applied: Feb. 17. Ploughed: Feb. 21 and 22. Rolled and harrowed: June 7. Manures applied (sulphate of ammonia at half rate): June 7. Seed sown: June 7. Second half sulphate ammonia applied as top dressing: July 12. Cut: Dec. 6, Jan. 10, 12 and 13. Variety: Thousand head. Previous crop: Kale (see 1937 Report, p. 167).

STANDARD ERROR PER PLOT: 0.700 tons per acre or 11.8%. fertilizers.

Summary of results

cwt. N per acre	Nil	Sulphate of ammonia 0.4 0.8	Poultry manure 0.8	Soot 0.8	Rape dust 0.8	Dung 0.8 1.6
Kale: tons per acre	3.391 Standard	6.49 ³ 10.09 ³ errors: (1) ±0.	6.99^3 $175, (^2) \pm 0.$	8.08 ³ 247, (³) ±(8.06 ³	5.55 ² 7.03 ³

Conclusions

All dressings produced significant increases in yield. At the 0.8 cwt. N per acre level of dressing, sulphate of ammonia gave a significantly higher yield than any of the other manures; soot and rape dust gave significantly higher yields than poultry manure and poultry manure gave a significantly higher yield than dung.

At both levels of application, sulphate of ammonia proved significantly more effective than a dressing of dung containing twice the amount of N per acre.

LUCERNE

WOBURN

Influence of dung on effectiveness of inoculation Stackyard, 1938

Plan and yields of hay in lb. per plot

1	OD ₁ 60.4	ID ₁ 56.8	ID ₂ 38.4	OD ₀ 56.1	ID ₀ 44.7	OD ₂ 35.6	6
	OD ₀	ID ₂	ID	OD,	ID,	OD,	
	70.8	62.9	45.6	51.7	39.9	25.0	
- 31	OD ₂	ID_0	ID ₁	OD ₂	ID ₁	OD_0	
	64.7	61.2	57.4	50.9	24.1	24.2	
1998	ID ₁	OD ₂	OD ₀	ID,	ID,	OD,	
	76.3	87.0	71.3	64.4	40.9	64.5	
	ID ₀	OD_0	OD ₁	ID ₀	ID ₂	OD ₀	
	65.6	76.3	74.4	68.6	72.9	65.8	
	ID ₂	OD_1	OD ₂	ID_1	ID ₀	OD_1	
31	59.9	67.4	69.6	61.9	44.6	55.5	36

SYSTEM OF REPLICATION: 6 randomized blocks of 2 plots each, the plots being split for dung at the rates of 0, 5 and 20 tons per acre. AREA OF EACH SUB-PLOT: 1/100 acre.

TREATMENTS: (applied in 1937). 3 × 2 factorial design.

Inoculated (I), not inoculated (O).

Dung: None, 5 tons, 20 tons per acre (D₀, D₁, D₂).

BASAL MANURING: 10 cwt. lime per acre, applied Feb. 25, 1938.

CULTIVATIONS, ETC.: 1937: Ploughed: March 24 and April 22. Springtine harrowed: April 29, May 3 and 4. Rolled: May 3 and 4. Seed sown: May 4. Dung applied: May 4. Hoed: June 14-17, 20, 21 and July 7-20. Cut: Aug. 23. Variety: Provence. Previous crop: Wheat (1936) Wheat (1936).

1938: Harrowed: Jan. 7 and Feb. 21. Lime applied: Feb. 25. Harrowed: March 2 and 28. 1st Cut: July 18. Harrowed: July 20. 2nd Cut: Sept. 15. Harrowed: Sept. 16 and 22. 3rd Cut: Nov. 24.

SPECIAL NOTE: A preliminary cut was taken in August, 1937, the average yield being 6 cwt. hay per acre.

STANDARD Errors: Per whole plot: 4.01 cwt. per acre or 8.02%. Per sub-plot: 7.62 cwt. per acre or 14.9%.

Summary of Results

HAY: cwt. per acre (+2.84*, +3.11†)

	None		Dung 5 tons		20 tons	Mean (±1.64*)	<i>Increase</i> (±2.32*)
Not Inoculated Inoculated	54.2 49.2		49.8 47.2		55.4 50.4	53.1 48.9	-4.2
Mean $(\pm 2.20\dagger)$ Increase $(\pm 3.11\dagger)$	51.7	-3.2	48.5	+4.4	52.9	51.0	

^{*} For comparisons involving the mean of no dung and dung treatments.

Conclusions

Inoculation resulted in a decrease of 4.2 cwt. of hay per acre though this amount was not quite significant. Dung produced no significant effects,

[†] For comparisons involving the differences of no dung and dung treatments.