Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readible, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



Report for 1937

Herbarrottel Experimental Station
Harpendon
Statio constitution lines

REPORT
for
1937

19 arrange land de handen
station commission lines
station

Full Table of Content

Potatoes

Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1938) *Potatoes*; Report For 1937, pp 45 - 47 **- DOI:** https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-69

	19	32	19	33	1934		
Ma te destrict	Dung		Dung		Dung		
THE PARTY OF THE P	Absent	Present	Absent	Present	Absent	Present	
Response to: Sulphate of ammonia Potash salt	$+11.74^{1} +5.79^{1} +6.18^{2} +5.08^{2}$ $(1) +0.690$		+2.28 +4.90			+1.39 +4.38	

As in the Rothamsted experiments both sulphate of ammonia and potash salt produced increases in the presence of dung, while in the absence of dung larger (in some cases considerably larger) increases were obtained.

POTATOES

For the past thirteen years experiments on the manuring of potatoes have been made at Rothamsted and Woburn and on potato growing farms in different parts of the country: some of the recent results are collected in Table XXXII.

TABLE XXXII Main Crop Potatoes. Summary of Experiments 1932-371 Mean Yields and Mean Increases, Tons per Acre

	Yield without nitrogen	Increase for N ₁ N ₂	Yield without phosphate	Increase for P ₁ P ₂	Yield without potash	Increase for K ₁ K ₂
MINERAL SOILS No dung Light (1 expt.) Medium (1 expt.) Heavy (2 expts.)	11.84 12.25 10.61	+0.60 +0.84 +1.03 +1.91 +1.19 +1.47	12.42	+0.80 +1.63	12.34 12.87 11.59	$\begin{array}{c c} -0.08 & +0.03 \\ +0.23 & +0.85 \\ -0.21 & -0.08 \end{array}$
With Dung Light (2 expts.) Medium (2 expts.) Heavy (1 expt.)	7.16 10.86 10.24	$\begin{array}{c cccc} -0.20 & -0.17 \\ +1.32 & +1.50 \\ +2.34 & +3.22 \end{array}$	11.49	+0.60 +0.32	6.98 11.55 12.07	$\begin{array}{c c} -0.07 & +0.24 \\ +0.53 & +0.21 \\ +0.16 & -0.10 \end{array}$
FENLAND SOILS No Dung Light (6 expts.) Heavy (5 expts.)	7.01 10.11	+1.11 +2.10 +3.13		$\begin{array}{ c c c c c }\hline +1.23 & +1.56 \\ +2.54 & +3.26 \\\hline \end{array}$	6.16 11.00	+2.08 +0.28 +0.46
With Dung Light (2 expts.) Heavy (1 expt.)	8.08 12.73	+1.16 +1.59 +2.50	8.43 13.60	+0.36 +0.55 +0.99		$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c }\hline +0.75 & +1.55 \\ +0.58 & +1.29 \\\hline \end{array}$

They show that one dose of the fertilizer usually gives a good result even when farmyard manure is also supplied but the double dose may not give a sufficiently greater increase to pay for the extra manure. Nitrogen (sulphate of ammonia) has given the most consistent increases both on mineral and on fenland soils, whether dung is added or not. Phosphate and potash have given marked increases on fenland soils, greater indeed than on the mineral soils.

¹ Dressings per acre: $\begin{array}{l} N_1=i\frac{1}{2} \ \mathrm{cwt.\ sulphate\ of\ ammonia} \ (0.3 \ \mathrm{cwt.\ nitrogen}). \\ N_2=3 \ \mathrm{cwt.\ sulphate\ of\ ammonia} \ (0.6 \ \mathrm{cwt.\ nitrogen}). \\ P_1=4\frac{1}{2} \ \mathrm{cwt.\ superphosphate} \ (0.75 \ \mathrm{cwt.\ P_2O_5}). \\ P_2=9 \ \mathrm{cwt.\ superphosphate} \ (1.5 \ \mathrm{cwt.\ P_2O_5}). \\ K_1=1\frac{1}{2} \ \mathrm{cwt.\ sulphate\ of\ potash} \ (0.75 \ \mathrm{cwt.\ K_2O}). \\ K_2=3 \ \mathrm{cwt.\ sulphate\ of\ potash} \ (1.5 \ \mathrm{cwt.\ K_2O}). \end{array}$

The results thus resemble those for sugar beet in that the effects of phosphatic and potassic manures vary considerably from soil to soil: attempts are being made in the Chemical Department to find some chemical method of ascertaining beforehand whether the soil is or is not likely to respond. This is well illustrated by the following pair of results obtained in our " $3 \times 3 \times 3$ " experiments, one obtained on a light, the other on a heavy fen soil; both soils responded to nitrogenous fertilizer; the light soil responded to potash but not to phosphate while the heavy soil responded to phosphate but not to potash.

TABLE XXXIII

Effect of Phosphate

			jeer oj	z moopme	****			
Yields, tons per acre ±0.354 Heavy Soil (Little Downham, 1934) Marked response						ons per Soil (Tho No respo	rney, 19	
Super- phosphate cwt.	No sulphate of ammonia			Mean ±0,204	No sulphate of ammonia			Mean ±0.560
per acre		1½ cwt.	3 cwt.			1½ cwt.	3 cwt.	
0 41 9	10.0 13.8 14.8	12.3 15.8 16.7	12.9 16.8 18.4	11.7 15.5 16.6	6.3 5.5 8.6	7.1 8.4 7.3	9.3 9.1 8.9	7.6 7.7 8.2
Mean ±0.204 Mean ±0.560	12.9	14.9	16.0	14.6	6.8	7.6	9.1	7.8

TABLE XXXIV Effect of Potash

Yields, tons per acre ±0.354 Heavy Soil (Little Downham, 1934) No response					Yields, tons per acre ±0.970 Light Soil (Thorney, 1934) Clear response			
Sulphate of potash, cwt.	No Sulphate of ammonia			Mean ±0.204	No Sulphate of ammonia		hate monia	Mean ±0.560
per acre		1½ cwt.	3 cwt.			1½ cwt.	3 cwt.	
$\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1\frac{1}{2}\\3\end{smallmatrix}$	12.3 13.2 13.1	14.5 15.4 15.0	15.8 16.0 16.4	14.2 14.8 14.8	5.0 7.9 7.5	5.9 8.2 8.8	9.5 8.4 9.5	6.8 8.1 8.6
Mean ±0,204 Mean ±0,560	12.9	14.9	16.0	14.6	6.8	7.6	9.1	7.8

The contrast is shown perhaps more clearly in Table XXXV when all levels of nitrogen are grouped together so as to show only the potash and phosphate effects:—

TABLE XXXV

Yiel Heavy	Light	Yields, tons per acre ±0.970 Light Soil (Thorney, 1934) Potash response						
Sulphate of potash, cwt.	No Super- phosphate	Sup		Mean ±0.204			er- phate	Меан ±0.560
per acre		4½ cwt.	9 cwt.			4½ cwt.	9 cwt.	
0 1½ 3	11.3 12.1 11.8	14.8 16.0 15.6	16.5 16.4 17.1	14.2 14.8 14.8	7.0 8.0 7.8	6.5 8.1 8.4	6.9 8.2 9.6	6.8 8.1 8.6
Mean ±0.204 Mean ±0.560	11.7	15.5	16.6	14.6	7.6	7.7	8.2	7.8

Interactions. It not infrequently happens that a fertilizer acts better in presence of another than when it is used alone. Occasionally the reinforcement is very pronounced as in the following experiments on potatoes at Thorney, Isle of Ely, in 1933:—

47

TABLE XXXVI

Mean yield, tons per acre	+	ven by sul- monia, tons acre	Mean yield, tons per acre	phate of an	iven by sul- imonia, tons acre
	Used alone	With potassic fertilizer		Used alone	With phosphatic fertilizer
9.00 10.17	0.43 0.41	1.72 1.86	14.52 14.11	1.05 0.47	4.00 3.33

The figures in the upper line are in presence of farmyard manure: those in the lower line in absence of farmyard manure.

The total number of interactions of this kind obtained up to the present (1925-1937 inclusive) is shown in Table XXXVII.

TABLE XXXVII

	Nitrogen and potash interaction	Nitrogen and phosphate interaction	Phosphate and potash interaction
Total number of experiments	55 35	40 29	39 27
No interaction or negative	20	11	12

Most of the interactions, however, are not statistically significant

but all significant results are positive.

The proportion of ware. Mr. Garner has recently collected all the results relating to the percentage of ware and finds that fertilizers have a very marked effect in raising the proportion of ware in cases where the percentage without manure is low, but not where it is high.

TABLE XXXVIII

Percentage Ware

Mean Effects of Nutrients and Organic Manures Grouped according to Initial Percentage Ware

Initial percentage			Increase due to							
(no manure)		N	P	K	Organic	Dung	NPK	Total		
Over	90		-0.4	-1.1	+0.6	-0.3	-	_	9	
	80		+1.2	-1.1	+1.5	+0.7	_	-	34	
	70		+2.6	+3.6	+8.7	-1.0	+5.5	+4.0	29	
	60		+0.7	+6.8	+8.4	+2.8	+15.2	+4.4	29	
	50		+16.8	+5.9	+15.8		+25.9	+22.4	9	
Under	50		-	-	+20.3	-	+34.2	-	3	
Weighted mean		+2.0	+2.1	+7.6	+1.2	+15.3	+6.9	113		

KALE

Marrow stem kale is one of the most useful of fodder crops and one of the best converters of cheap fertilizer nitrogen into valuable