Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readible, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



Report for 1934

Rechards Exercised Factor
However, was
The PORT
for
1934
The state of the state
The state o

Full Table of Content

Other Experiments at Woburn

Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1935) *Other Experiments at Woburn*; Report For 1934, pp 195 - 205 - DOI: https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-66

BARLEY

WOBURN

Residual value of poultry manure applied in 1933, sulphate of ammonia, applied in 1934, being used as a standard for comparison

WB-Lansome, 1934

Plan and yields in lb., green weights

1 V	NP 41.9	S 57.3	NM 55.6	S 64.6	S 72.6	NM 57.3	S 54.4	O 49.9	N 54.4	S 54.4	P 53.3	S 60.1	1
	PM 49.0	S 62.9	O 51.6	S 64.1	S 79.4	PM 57.8	NP 59.5	S 60.7	S 70.3	NPM 76.0	S 75.4	M 62.9	
	S 60.8	M 57.3	S 67.5	NPM 62.4	S 68.6	P 54.4	S 78.2	NPM 66.3	S 73.7	PM 67.5	NM 69.7	S 83.3	
7	P 50.1	S 63.5	N 54.4	S 69.2	M 57.3	S 69.7	N 65.2	S 73.7	O 57.3	S 68.0	S 78.8	NP 68.6	4

System of Replication: 1933: 6 randomised blocks of 4 plots each, the second order interaction being confounded with block differences. 1934: plots split for sulphate of ammonia.

AREA OF EACH SUB-PLOT: 0.00643 acre $(17\frac{1}{2} \text{ ft.} \times 16 \text{ ft.})$.

TREATMENTS: 1933: All combinations of:

- (a) No poultry manure, and poultry manure at the rate of 0.6 cwt. N per acre with addition of superphosphate at the rate of 0.116 cwt. P₂O₅ per acre, to give a total of 0.6 cwt. P₂O₅ per acre (M).
- (b) No sulphate of ammonia, and sulphate of ammonia at the rate of 0.6 cwt. N per acre (N).
- (c) No superphosphate, and superphosphate at the rate of 0.6 cwt. P2O5 per acre (P).
- 1934: No sulphate of ammonia, and sulphate of ammonia at the rate of 0.2 cwt. N per acre (S).

BASAL MANURING: Muriate of potash at the rate of 1.0 cwt. K2O per acre, applied in 1933.

Cultivations, etc.: Dug: February 10-24. Seed sown: April 9. Sulphate of ammonia applied: April 11. Cultivated at various dates with the Planet Junior hand hoe. Harvested: July 11. Variety: Plumage Archer. Previous crop: Brussels sprouts.

STANDARD Errors: Per whole plot: 6.99 cwt. per acre or 7.97%; per sub-plot: 6.17 cwt. per acre or 7.04%.

Individual treatments. Green weights, cwt. per acre

		Sub-blo	ocks A	BA		Sub-blo	cks B		Mean
	0	NM	NP	MP	N	M	P	NMP	
No. Sulph. Amm. 1934 Sulph. Amm. 1934	73.5 86.3 79.9	84.5 102.1 93.3	78.7 91.1 84.9	80.7 100.0 90.4	80.5 91.3 85.9	82.2 95.3 88.8	73.0 89.0 81.0	94.7 100.0 97.4	81.0 94.4 87.7
Mean (± 4.03)		+17.6							+13.4

The figures in the last row are from differences of the two halves of the same plots, and are not affected by the confounding.

Responses to treatments, cwt. per acre

				D	ifferent	tial respon	nses		
Fertiliser	Mean response	Sulph. Ar Absent	nm. 1933 Present	S. Amn Abs.	1. 1934 Pres.	Superph Absent	osphate Present	Poultry Absent	
Sulph. Amm. 1933	$+5.4^{1}$	10.10		+7.23	$+3.5^{3}$	+5.34	+5.54	+5.04	+5.84
Sulph. Amm. 1934 Superphosphate Poultry Man.	$+13.4^{2} + 1.4^{1} + 9.6^{1}$	$+15.3^{3}$ $+1.3^{4}$ $+9.2^{4}$	$+11.5^{3}$ $+1.5^{4}$ $+10.0^{4}$		$+1.3^{3}$ $+9.9^{3}$	$+13.6^{3}$ -8.2^{4}	$+13.2^{3}$ $+11.0^{4}$	$+13.0^{3}$ 0.0^{4} $-$	$+13.8^{3}$ $+2.8^{4}$

Standard errors: (1) ± 2.85 , (2) ± 1.78 , (3) ± 2.52 , (4) ± 4.03 .

Percentage dry matter (bulked replicates)

No sulphate of ammonia, 1934	45.0
Sulphate of ammonia, 1934	43.9

Conclusions

Of the three 1933 treatments, poultry manure (0.6 cwt. N per acre) alone gave a significant increase in yield, the increase being 9.6 cwt. per acre. The 1934 sulphate of ammonia (0.2 cwt. N per acre) gave a significant increase in yield of 13.4 cwt. per acre. There were no significant interactions.

WHEAT WOBURN

Effect of sulphate of ammonia applied at six different times WW-Butt Furlong, 1934

Plan and sample weights in grammes, grain above, straw below

3	2	4	0	6	1	5
227	300	310	343	332	528	647
913	924	914	627	628	1140	1161
4	0	2	5	1	6	3
253	242	311	259	260	573	647
847	902	837	702	1040	1362	1088
0	6	5	1	3	2	4
320	370	242	391	272	502	572
1699	820	629	907	990	1233	1319
1	5	0	6	4	3	2
254	345	320	390	390	471	728
1079	1017	720	926	1125	1584	1634
2	4	6	3	0	5	1
295	231	367	387	504	772	862
972	844	784	937	1127	1435	1888
6	3	1	2	5	4	0
265	255	351	367	628	698	698
835	987	1130	975	1413	1609	1596
5	1	3	4	2	0	6
224	227	268	321	411	518	696
724	894	930	904	1197	1330	1383

System of Replication: 7×7 Latin square.

Area of Each Plot: 0.01012 acre (44 lks.×23 lks.).

Treatments: No. sulphate of ammonia (0) and sulphate of ammonia at the rate of 0.3 cwt. N per acre, applied on Dec. 4 (1), Jan. 15 (2), Feb. 26 (3), Apr. 9 (4), May 7 (5) and June 4 (6).

Cultivations, etc. Ploughed: Nov. 1-2. Harrowed: Nov. 2 and 6. Seed sown: Nov. 6. Hand hoed: May 5-10. Harvested: July 31-Aug. 1. Plots harvested by sampling method (12 metre lengths per plot, drills set 9.1 ins. apart). Variety: Victor. Previous crop: Potatoes.

Standard errors per plot: Grain: 2.06 cwt. per acre or 17.4%; straw: 5.76 cwt. per acre

or 18.7%.

Summary of results: cwt. per acre

		Dates	of application (0.3	ation of s		of ammon	ia	Mean of	St.
	No N	Dec. 4	Jan. 15	Feb. 26	Apr. 9	May 7	June 4	all N	error
GRAIN (±0.777) Incr. (±1.10)	12.08	11.78 -0.30	11.95 -0.13	10.37 -1.71	11.38 -0.70	12.79 + 0.71	12.28 +0.20	11.76 -0.32	$\pm 0.317 \\ \pm 0.835$
STRAW (±2.18) Incr. (±3.08)	32.82	33.14 + 0.32	31.88 -0.94	30.47 -2.35	31.02 -1.80	29.05 - 3.77	27.64 -5.18	30.53 -2.29	±0.890

Conclusions

The average effect of sulphate of ammonia showed no sign of significance either in grain or straw. There are indications of a linear regression of the yield of straw on the date of application, but this, when tested, was not significant.

SUGAR BEET

WOBURN

Effect of varying spacing of rows, of sulphate of ammonia and of ploughing or harrowing in mineral fertilisers.

> WS-Butt Furlong 1934 Plan and yields in lb.

		Root	s Top	s Sugar	Plan	t						
		(dirt	y) -		num-							
		MANAGE !		cent.								
-												
1	S20 - BL	592	558	17.60	431							
	S20 N1 -	614	582	17.25		-						
	S ₁₅ N ₂ —	704	734		617	the same						
	S10 N2 BL	788	750	17.19								
	S ₂₀ N ₂ BE	630	648		409	1						
	S ₁₀ — —	856	802	17.31	985							
	S ₁₅ — BE	802	787	16.33	628			Posts	Tone	Curan	Dlant	
	S ₁₀ N ₁ BE	833	957	16.54						Sugar		•
	S ₁₅ N ₁ BL	778	820		977			(dirty)	per		
	5 ₁₅ 14 ₁ DL	110	020	16.41	613					cent.	ber	
	S10 N2 -	802	717	17 90	000		S20 - BL	570	eee	16 20	419	37
		748	649	17.89	928			578	666	16.30	413	31
	S ₁₅ N ₁ — S ₂₀ N ₁ BE	612	514	17.37 16.91	593		S ₂₀ N ₂ BE	564	658	15.87	411 972	
	S ₁₅ N ₂ BE	716	688		409		S ₁₀ N ₂ —	738	842	15.81	928	
	S ₁₀ — BE	679		16.39	555		S ₁₀ N ₁ BL	800	806	17.17		
			561	17.74	986		S ₁₀ — BE	834	744	17.05	983	
-	S ₂₀ — —	623	466	16.85	412		S20 N1 -	626	586	19.44	398	
	S ₂₀ N ₂ BL	566	502	17.19	401	-	S15	640	591	17.31	562	
	S ₁₀ N ₁ BL	663	598	16.88	921	W	S ₁₅ N ₁ BE	708	770	16.99	565	1
	$S_{15}-B_L$	724	614	17.66	571	1	S ₁₅ N ₂ BL	704	842	16.62	553	
	S ₁₅ N ₁ BE	708	550	16.56	563		C N Dr	699	502	90.09	401	
	S ₁₀ — BL	673	464	16.82	936		S ₂₀ N ₁ BE	622 640	593	20.02	401	
	S ₂₀ — BE	542	336	16.76			S 20		546	20.31		
	S ₁₅ — —	619	390	16.39	406		S10 N1 -	820	713	17.16		1
	S ₁₀ N ₂ BE	660	514		578	1	S ₁₅ N ₂ —	662	624	19.16	590	
		529	248	16.42	926	1	S ₁₀ N ₂ BE	615	590	19.59		
	S ₂₀ N ₁ BL S ₁₀ N ₁ —	696	406	16.96	391		S ₁₅ — BE	771	562	20.02	605	
	S N Br	694	574	16.99	892		S ₁₀ — BL	672	490	17.11	998	1
	S ₁₅ N ₂ B _L S ₂₀ N ₂ —			16.59	589		S ₂₀ N ₂ BL	605	582	16.10	403	
	D ₂₀ 142	688	370	17.64	369		S ₁₅ N ₁ BL	766	675	17.14	990	54
	S ₁₅ N ₁ —	665	527	16 00	F00		-					
		736	506	16.99	582							
	S ₁₀ — —			17.22	977							
	S ₂₀ — BE	676	495	17.83	404							
	S ₁₅ — BL	780	559	17.48	604							
	S ₂₀ N ₂ —	610	545	17.05	412							
7 . 7	S ₂₀ N ₁ BL	617	518	17.31	405	- 7						
	S ₁₅ N ₂ BE	697	578	17.08	554							
	S ₁₀ N ₁ BE	761	512	17.14	974	1						
36	$S_{10} N_2 BL$	728	568	17.08	940							

System of Replication: 6 randomised blocks of 9 plots each. Certain second order interactions are partially confounded with block differences.

Area of Each Plot (after rejecting edge-rows): 10-inch spacing: 0.01515 acre; 15-inch spacing

0.01364 acre; 20-inch spacing: 0.01212 acre. Plots actually 15.2 links × 120 links rows. TREATMENTS: All combinations of:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Rows 10 ins. apart } (S_{10}) \\ \text{Rows 15 ins. apart } (S_{15}) \\ \text{Rows 20 ins. apart } (S_{20}) \end{cases} \times \begin{cases} \text{No sulph. amm. } (-) \\ 1.4 \text{ cwt. sulph. amm. } (0.3) \\ \text{cwt. N) } (N_1) \\ 2.8 \text{ cwt. sulph. amm. } (0.6) \end{cases} \times \begin{cases} \text{No basal manures } (-) \\ \text{Basal manures applied } \\ \text{early } (BE) \\ \text{Basal manures applied } \\ \text{late } (BL) \end{cases}$$

The basal manures consisted of 0.5 cwt. P2O5 as superphosphate and 1.0 cwt. K2O as 30% potash manure salt.

CULTIVATIONS, ETC.: Cultivated with tractor and harrowed: November 4th-6th. Three bushels per acre of rye drilled: November 7th. Harrowed: November 7th. Rye ploughed in: March 26th-April 4th. Harrowed: April 11th. Cambridge rolled: April 12th. Spring tine harrowed, and harrowed: April 13th-14th. Cambridge rolled: April 16th. Harrowed: April 27th. Seed sown: April 28th-30th. Cambridge rolled: May 3rd. Horse-hoed: May 14th and 17th. Singled (9 inches apart): May 31st-June 4th. Horse-hoed: June 4th. Hand-hoed: July 21st-24th. Lifted: November 20th-27th. Variety: Kleinwanzleben E. Previous crop: Potatoes.

STANDARD Errors Per Plot: Roots (washed): 1.28 tons per acre or 7.0%. Tops: 2.25 tons per acre or 11.4%. Sugar percentage: 0.943. Plant number: 1.33 thousands per acre, or 2.9%. Mean dirt tare: 0.1818.

199
Yields of Separate Treatments (block effects eliminated)

ROOTS (washed): tons per acre

	10	0 inch spa	cing	1	5 inch spa	cing	20 inch spacing		
Basal Minerals applied		hate of an (per acre) 0.3cwt.N	nmonia 0.6cwt.N	(hate of an per acre) 0.3cwt.N	CO.		phate of a (per acre) [0.3cwt.N	
None Early Late	18.85 18.31 16.48	18.54 18.88 17.71	18.63 15.63 17.94	16.95 20.37 20.74	19.53 19.06 19.98	17.60 19.53 18.82	19.34 19.03 16.63	17.69 18.91 17.94	20.23 17.00 17.96

Main Effects. Interactions of Sulphate of Ammonia with Spacing and Basals

	Space	ing (inch	es)		Basals		Mean	Increase
	10	15	20	None	Early	Late	Meun	Increase
ROOTS (W	Vashed) : to	ns per acr	e (±0.522	. Means	: ±0.302	: Increase	s: ±0.4	26)
0.0 cwt. N	17.88	19.36	18.34	18.36	19.22	17.99	18.52	
0.3 cwt. N	18.37	19.52	18.18	18.62	18.92	18.53 18.21	18.69 18.15	+0.17 -0.54
0.6 cwt. N	17.40	18.64	18.40	18.80	17.43			-0.01
Mean	17.88	19.17	18.31	18.59	18.52	18.24	18.45	
Increase		+1.29	+0.43		-0.07	-0.35		-
TOPS: t	ons per acre	(±0.918	Means:	$\pm 0.530.$	Increases	: ±0.749)	
0.0 cwt. N	17.52	19.11	18.83	17.99	18.87	18.60	18.49	1
0.3 cwt. N	19.60	21.78	18.67	19.08	21.21	19.75	20.02	+1.53
0.6 cwt. N	19.55	22.04	20.29	20.68	20.34	20.85	20.63	+0.61
Mean	18.89	20.98	19.26	19.25	20.14	19.73	19.71	
Increase		+2.09	+0.37		+0.89	+0.48		
SUGA	R PERCEN	TAGE (+	-0.385. M	leans: ±	0.222. In	creases :	±0.314)	
0.0 cwt. N	17.21	17.53	17.61	17.56	17.63	17.16	17.45	
0.3 cwt. N	16.98	16.91	17.98	17.53	17.36	16.98	17.29	-0.16
0.6 cwt. N	17.33	17.13	16.77	17.41	17.02	16.80	17.08	-0.21
Mean	17.17	17.19	17.45	17.50	17.34	16.98	17.27	1
Increase		+0.02	+0.28		-0.16	-0.52		
	-	TOTAL	SUGAR:	cwt. per	acre		1000	
0.0 + N	61.6	68.1	64.7	64.6	67.9	61.9	64.8	1
0.0 cwt. N 0.3 cwt. N	62.4	66.0	65.4	65.3	65.6	62.9	64.6	-0.2
0.6 cwt. N	60.1	63.8	61.7	65.4	59.1	61.2	61.9	-2.7
0.0 CW L. 11	00.1				-			-
Mean	61.4	66.0	63.9	65.1	64.2	62.0	63.8	1 818
Increase		+4.6	+2.5		-0.9	-3.1	l transcen	
PLANT NUM	ARER: thou	sands per	acre (±0	543 Me	$ans: \pm 0$	314. Incr	reases: +	0.444)
			33.9	1 46.7	1 47.9	1 47.2	1 47.3	1
0.0 cwt. N	64.5	43.4	33.9	46.0	46.4	46.0	46.1	-1.2
0.3 cwt. N 0.6 cwt. N	62.3	42.3	33.1	46.4	45.5	45.8	45.9	-0.2
0.0 CWt. 14	02.3	12.0	00.1	10.2	20.0	-		
Mean	63.1	42.9	33.4	46.4	46.6	46.3	46.4	
		-20.2	-29.7		+0.2	-0.1		

Interaction of Spacing and Basals

I	Basals		Sp	acing (inches	3)	∥ Sp	acing (inche	es)
para les			10	15	20	10	15	20
	10301	18.0		(washed): to		TOPS: to	ns per acre	(±0.918)
None			18.67	18.02	19.09	19.58	19.18	19.00
Early			17.61	19.65	18.31	19.04	21.47	19.91
Late			17.37	19.85	17.51	18.05	22.28	18.87
		SUGA	R PERCEI	NTAGE (±0	0.385)	TOTAL SU	GAR : cwt.	per acre
None		1	17.06	17.36	18.09	63.7	62.6	69.0
Early			17.41	17.23	17.36	61.2	67.8	63.6
Late			17.04	16.98	16.91	59.2	67.5	59.2

Basals	5	Spacing (inches)	
	10	15	20
PL	ANT NUMBE	R: thousands per	acre (±0.543)
None	63.0	43.1	33.0
None Early	63.0 63.8	43.1 42.4	33.0 33.5

Conclusions

The 15-inch spacing gave significantly higher yields than the 10 or 20-inch spacings, the last two not being significantly different. The interaction of spacing with basals was significant, the above effect appearing only on the plots receiving basals. The average effect of basals, on the other hand, was small and not significant.

Spacing did not appreciably affect the sugar percentage, and where basal minerals were present, the increase in total sugar of the 15-inch spacing over the mean of the other two spacings was 6.85 cwt. per acre or 11 per cent.

Sulphate of ammonia significantly increased the yield of tops and decreased the plant number.

There were no significant differences between the early and late applications of basals.

The general level of yield was unusually high.

KALE

WOBURN

The effect of Lupins as green-manure

Wk-Lansome, 1934 Plan and yields in lb. (Green weights)

1		1			-1
1	R 63	PT 169	P 134	O 64	4
NW	P 116	O 52	PT 169	R 36	
	O 72	P 132	R	PT	
	PT	R	66 O	160 P	
13	240	111	121	201	16

System of Replication: 4×4 Latin square.

AREA OF EACH PLOT (after rejecting edge-rows): 0.00973 acre. Plots actually 0.0107 acre. TREATMENTS: Lupins were grown over the whole area.

O=Whole plant removed.

R=Tops removed, roots only buried.

P=Whole plants buried.

PT=Whole plants and additional tops from plots receiving treatment (R) buried.

CULTIVATIONS, ETC.: Lupin seed sown: May 16. Lupins cut and buried: Aug. 3-13. Rolled: Aug. 18. Harrowed: Aug. 18. Kale sown: Aug. 20. Rows 18 inches apart. Thinned: Sept. 17, 24 and 29 and Oct. 3. Plants 6 inches apart in the rows. Harvested: Apr. 23. Variety: Thousand headed. Previous crop: Plots 1-12, Wheat; Plots 13-16, Fallow.

Special Note: It is proposed to sow kale on this ground again next year (1935) to determine the residual effect of the lupins as green-manure.

STANDARD ERROR PER PLOT: 0.405 tons per acre or 7.42 per cent.

Treatment.	acre	added per (lb.). As roots.		
0	_	7		
R	_	11.31		
P	122.34	11.31		
PT	244.77	11.31		

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Lupins dug in.	Yield, tons per acre.	Increase over no dressing.
Mean	5.46	
None	3.54	
Roots only	3.16	-0.38
Whole plants Whole plants	6.69	+3.15
and extra tops	8.47	+4.93
St. error	±0.203	±0.287

Conclusions

Where whole plants were dug in, lupins proved very successful as a green manure, the increase in yield being 3.15 tons per acre or 89 per cent. The increase was apparantly due to the tops, for the digging in of roots alone produced a slight, though not significant, decrease in yield, whereas with whole plants and double tops a further increase of 1.78 tons per acre was obtained. The yield of kale was small owing to necessarily late sowing after lupins.

CARROTS

WOBURN

Effect of sulphate of ammonia, poultry manure, soot, and rape dust WN-Lansome, 1934

Plan and yields in lb. roots (dirty) above, tops below

1	Sı	0	R ₁	N ₁	S ₂	R ₁	R ₂	0	1
	270	274	282	300 -	316	301	334	358	1
	101	102	120	114	144	106	147	168	
	R ₂	N ₂	M ₂	S ₂	N ₂	0	S ₁	M,	1
	270	278	319	314	313	344	333	362	1
W	106	129	128	112	140	130	131	148	
, [0	0	0	M ₁	0	0	N ₁	M,	1
	310	284	322	303	310	326	322	347	
	120	112	112	107	130	124	136	152	
	S ₁	M ₂	0	M,	0	M,	R ₂	S,	1
	311	323	336	314	312	322	292	358	1
	143	136	120	106	119	117	122	143	
	R ₂	0	N ₂	S ₂	N ₂	0	0	0	1
	328	346	354	355	336	355	352	358	1
	146	130	136	150	149	125	127	128	
	N,	0	0	R ₁	S ₁	M ₂	N ₁	R ₁	1
41	311	318	346	316	315	305	310	332	
41	142	134	124	134	140	117	122	171	1

System of Replication: 4 randomised blocks of 12 plots each.

Area of Each Plot: 1/160 acre (25 lks. \times 25 lks.).

AREA OF EACH PLOT: 1/160 acre (25 lks. × 25 lks.).

TREATMENTS: No nitrogen (O), and sulphate of ammonia (N) half applied in seed-bed and the remainder as a top dressing, poultry manure (M), soot (S) and rape dust (R) applied at the rate of 0.4 cwt. N per acre (1) or 0.8 cwt. N per acre (2).

BASAL MANURING: Superphosphate at the rate of 1.0 cwt. P₂O₅ per acre, muriate of potash at the rate of 1.0 cwt. K₂O per acre and 12 tons of farmyard manure per acre, applied in June, 1933.

CULTIVATIONS, ETC. Ploughed: July 10, 1933. Cultivated: August 30. Harrowed: August 30.

Double harrowed: September 1 and 8. Ploughed: October 5-6. Double harrowed: October 14 and January 27, 1934. Harrowed: February 9, March 23, April 7 and 9. Flat Rolled: April 9. Double harrowed: April 24. Harrowed: May 8 and 10. Rolled: May 10. Seed sown: May 11. Manures applied (sulphate of ammonia at half-rate): May 11. Hand-hoed: June 5. Thinned: June 21-22. Rows 11 ins. apart. Plants 6 ins. apart in the row. Second half of sulphate of ammonia applied: July 9. Hand-hoed: July 14-20. Lifted: September 25. Variety: Garton's Intermediate. Previous crop: Fallow after wheat.

STANDARD ERRORS PER PLOT: Roots (washed): 1.29 tons per acre, or 5.85%. Tops: 0.985 tons per acre, or 10.7%. Mean dirt tare: 0.0355.

per acre, or 10.7%. Mean dirt tare: 0.0355.

Summary of results

	ROOTS (washed): tons per acre (±0.646)						TOPS: tons per acre (± 0.493)				3)	
	Sulph. Amm.	Soot	Poult. Man.	Rape dust	Mean	Incr.	Sulph. Amm.		Poult. Man.	Rape	Mean	Incr.
0.0 cwt. N 0.4 cwt. N 0.8 cwt. N	21.41	22. 21.17 23.13	61 ¹ 22.41 22.29	21.20 21.08		$-1.06^{2} + 0.59^{2}$		8.98 9.20 9.80	8.54 9.52	9.48 9.30	8.95 ³ 9.10 ³ 9.63 ³	+ 0.15 + 0.53
Mean Increase			$22.35^{2} + 0.61^{5}$		22.10		9.544	9.504	9.034	9.39^{4} -0.15^{6}	9.23	

Standard errors: (1) ± 0.323 , (2) ± 0.457 , (3) ± 0.246 , (4) ± 0.349 , (5) ± 0.646 , (6) ± 0.493 .

Conclusions

There were no significant effects. This was probably due to the previous year's fallow and the dressing of farmyard manure given in June, 1933.

PYRETHRUM

WOBURN

The effect of lime, fish manure, and artificial fertilisers on the yield of flowers, and their content of Pyrethrins

ROADPIECE-1934

Plan and yields. Dry stalkless heads (grammes) above, Pyrethrin I content per cent centre, total Pyrethrins per cent below

1	LOA1	LFO2	OFO2	LOOI	OOAI	L001	OOA2	0002	8
	1325	1614	1528	1326	816	1617	1294	1080	
	0.47	0.56	0.54	0.49	0.51	0.58	0.55	0.62	
	0.97	1.14	1.16	1.21	1.12	1.26	1.23	1.23	
	LF01	OOA2	OOA1	OFA2	OFO1	LOA2	LOAI	LFA1	
NW	1421	1582	1043	1608	1310	1789	1540	1272	
A	0.50	0.54	0.67	0.41	0.53	0.58	0.56	0.57	
1	1.15	1.06	1.39	1.02	1.16	1.19	1.16	1.32	
	LFA2	OFO1	LFA1	LOA2	LFO1	LOO2	LFO2	OFA2	
	1584	1764	1170	1341	992	1606	2033	1905	
	0.60	0.51	0.62	0.50	0.56	0.56	0.55	0.51	
	1.26	1.06	1.37	1.08	1.22	1.18	1.13	1.07	
1	0001	LOO2	0002	OFA1	OFA1	LFA2	0001	OFO2	
	1229	1472	1169	722	911	1278	1745	1687	
	0.58	0.60	0.47	0.54	0.46	0.64	0.48	0.52	
25	1.18	1.20	1.08	1.17	1.11	1.30	1.07	1.14	32

System of Replication: 2 randomised blocks of 16 plots each.

Area of each plot (after rejecting edge rows): 0.00560 acre. Plots actually 29.6 links × 22.7 links.

TREATMENTS: All combinations of:

Lime was applied in the first year only.

RATES OF APPLICATION: Lime, 2.88 tons of ground lime, equivalent to 4 tons CaCO3. Fish Manure: Where applied in first year only, 5 cwt. per acre (0.4 cwt. N); where applied

Fish Manure: Where applied in first year only, 5 cwt. per acre (0.4 cwt. N); where applied every year half this dressing is given per annum.

Artificials: Where applied in first year only, sulphate of ammonia (0.4. cwt. N), superphosphate (0.4 cwt.P₂O₅) and muriate of potash (0.5 cwt. K₂O); where applied every year half the above rates are given per annum.

CULTIVATIONS, ETC. 1933: Lime applied: April 24th. Raked in: April 27th. Mineral manures applied: May 24th. Pyrethrum planted: May 25-26. First half of nitrogenous manures applied: June 1st. Hoed: June 1st, August 3rd to 5th. Second half of nitrogenous manures applied: August 9th. 1934: Manures applied: April 17th, Harvested: July 4th to 6th. Previous crop: Grass with lucerne.

Special Note: This is the second year of the experiment and it is intended to continue it for several years.

several years.

STANDARD ERRORS PER PLOT: Dry stalkless heads: 0.807 cwt. per acre or 16.4%. Pyrethrin I. content per cent: 0.0568.

204

SUMMARY OF RESULTS (2nd year) Yields of separate treatments

	Manures applied	Neither	Artificials	Fish manure	Artificials and fish manure	Mean
	DRY STALK	LESS HEA	DS: cwt. pe	er acre.		
No lime	First year Both years	4.602	3.27 ¹ 5.06 ¹	5.41 ¹ 5.65 ¹	2.87 ¹ 6.18 ¹	$\frac{3.85^3}{5.63^3}$
Mean		4.602	4.162	5.532	4.522	4.744
Lime	First year Both years	5.30 ²	5.04 ¹ 5.50 ¹	4.24 ¹ 6.41 ¹	4.29 ¹ 5.03 ¹	$\frac{4.52^3}{5.65^3}$
Mean		5.302	5.272	5.322	4.662	5.084
Standard erro	ors: (1) ±0.571, (2) ±	0.404. (³)±	$0.330, (4) \pm 0.$.233.		1 342
100	PYRETH	RIN I. CON	TENT per ce	ent.		
No lime	First year Both years	0.542	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.59^{1} \\ 0.54^{1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.52^{1} \\ 0.53^{1} \end{array}$	0.50 ¹ 0.46 ¹	$0.54^{3} \ 0.51^{3}$
Mean		0.54^{2}	0.562	0.522	0.482	0.524
Lime	First year Both years	0.562	$\begin{array}{c} 0.52^{1} \\ 0.54^{1} \end{array}$	0.53 ¹ 0.56 ¹	0.60 ¹ 0.62 ¹	0.55^{3} 0.57^{3}
Mean		0.562	0.532	0.542	0.612	0.564
Standard erro	rs: (1) ±0.0402, (2) ±	±0.0284, (³)	±0.0232, (4)	±0.0164.	II III III III III III III III III III	
	TOT	AL PYRET	HRINS per	cent	Marie III	111
No lime	First year Both years	1.14	1.26 1.14	1.11 1.15	1.14 1.04	1.17 1.11
Mean		1.14	1.20	1.13	1.09	1.14
Lime	First year Both years	1.22	1.06 1.14	1.18 1.14	1.34 1.28	1.19 1.19
		1.22	1.10	1.16	1.31	1.19
Mean			All the same of th			
Mean	PRYET	HRIN I. CO	ONTENT—Ib	o. per acre	es dipolitica.	
	PRYETTE PRYETT	2.72	2.20 3.08	3.14 3.35	1.59	2.31 3.21
Nolime	First year		2.20	3.14		
Nolime	First year	2.72	2.20 3.08	3.14 3.35	3.21	3.21

Effects of artificals and fish manure

Manures appli	ed	Neither	Artificials	Fish manure	Artificials and fish manure	Mean	Increase
		DRY STA	LKLESS HE	EADS—cwt	. per acre		N. C. C.
First year Both years	::	4.94	4.16 5.28	4.82 6.03	3.58 5.60	4.19 5.64	+1.45
Standard errors		±0.285		±0.404	·	±0.233	±0.330
		PYRE	THRIN I. C	ONTENT P	per cent		
First year Both years	::	0.55	0.55 0.54	0.55 0.52 0.55		0.54 0.54	0.00
Standard errors		±0.0200		±0.0284		±0.0164	±0.0232
		TOTAL	PYRETHR	INS per cer	nt		
First year Both years	::	1.18	1.16 1.14	1.15 1.14	1.24 1.16	1.18 1.15	-0.03
		PRYETH	IRIN I. CON	TENT—lb.	per acre		
First year Both years	::	3.02	2.56 3.22	2.82 3.66	2.22 3.34	2.53 3.41	+0.88

CONCLUSIONS

The above tables refer to the yields during the second year of the experiment.

The yield of flowers in the first year was very small and was not recorded.

Where they were applied in the first year only, both artificials and fish manure depressed the yield of heads in the second year, the depression due to artificials being significant. When half the amounts were given in both years, the manures raised the yields, the increase due to fish manure being nearly significant. The difference between the mean yields due to fish manure and artificials was not quite significant.

The increase in yield of heads due to the liming was not significant.

There was no apparent treatment effect on pyrethrin I per cent. or on total pyrethrins per cent.