Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readible, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



Report for 1934



Full Table of Content

Other Experiments at Rothamsted

Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1935) *Other Experiments at Rothamsted ;* Report For 1934, pp 181 - 194 - **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-66

181

WHEAT

Effect of sulphate of ammonia applied at seven different times RW-Long Hoos VII, 1934

Plan and sample weights in grammes, grain above, straw below

	5	7	1	2	3	0	4	6
l	1,552	1,870	1,716	1,695	1,667	1,604	1,483	1,435
	2,693	2,579	2,998	2,474	2,465	2,141	2,020	2,060
ľ	6	3	0	7	2	85 1	5	4
١	1,408	1,879	1,821	1,727	1,797	1,696	1,698	1,588
	2,154	3,026	2,666	2,339	2,585	2,298	2,236	2,270
ľ	0	6	2	1	4	7	3	5
	1,778	1,701	1,587	1,663	1,636	1,454	1,536	1,883
	2,825	2,561	2,407	2,732	2,626	2,072	2,137	2,569
ľ	3	4	7	5	1	6	2	0
ı	1,816	1,730	1,595	1,814	1,585	1,894	2,077	1,134
	2,848	2,990	2,492	2,653	2,332	2,617	2,769	1,786
•	1 /	2	4	6	0	5	7	3
ı	1,634	1,909	1,834	1,794	1,387	1,472	1,693	1,609
	2,411	2,987	3,098	2,833	2,015	2,067	2,270	2,425
	4	0	5	3	6	2	1	7
١	1,801	1,579	1,838	1,944	1,530	1,578	1,688	1,798
	2,668	2,674	2,858	3,034	2,099	2,640	2,640	2,851
-	7	1	6	4	5	3	0	2
1	1,917	1,776	1,753	1,567	1,685	1,879	1,715	1,780
	2,954	2,637	2,484	2,508	3,031	2,601	2,399	2,726
-	2	5	3	0	7	4	6	1
	1,641	1,661	1,519	1,856	1,885	1,728	1,329	1,870
-	2,504	2,438	1,561	2,694	2,689	2,566	1,894	2.840

System of Replication: 8×8 Latin square.

SYSTEM OF REPLICATION: 8 × 8 Latin square.

AREA OF EACH PLOT: 0.01928 acre (30 ft. × 28 ft.).

TREATMENTS: No sulphate of ammonia (O) and sulphate of ammonia at the rate of 0.3 cwt. N per acre, applied on October 12 (1), December 7 (2), January 18 (3), March 1 (4), April 12 (5), May 10 (6), and June 7 (7).

CULTIVATIONS, ETC.: Ploughed: September 26-30. Harrowed: October 10. Seed sown: October 12. Harrowed: April 7. Rolled: April 16. Harvested: August 6. Plots harvested by sampling method (24 metre lengths per plot, drills set 6 ins. apart). Variety: Victor, Previous crop. Beans

Victor. Previous crop: Beans.

STANDARD ERRORS PER PLOT: Grain: 3.94 cwt. per acre or 10.7%. Straw: 7.07 cwt. per acre or 12.9%.

Summary of results: cwt. per acre

A grain and N and N	No N	Oct. 12	Dec.	Jan. 18	Mar.	Apr. 12	May 10	June 7	Mean of all N	St.
GRAIN (±1.39) Increase (±1.97)	35.0	37.1 + 2.1				37.0 +2.0		37.9 + 2.9		±0.525
STRAW (±2.50) Increase (±3.54)	52.3	56.9 + 4.6	100000000000000000000000000000000000000			55.9 +3.6				±0.945

Conclusions

The average increase due to sulphate of ammonia is not significant, nor is there any evidence that the effect varies with time of application.

POTATOES

Effect of time of ploughing and dung application Comparison of fresh and rotted dung Effect of sulphate of ammonia

> RP—Long Hoos III, 1934 Plan and yields in lb.

5	- F ASL 208	28	— F ASL 224	1	ASE 178
	N ₂ — SL 168		N ₁ R ASL 274		N ₁ R SL 233
	N ₁ F SL 247		N ₂ — ASL 248		N SL 235
	N2 R ASL 249		N ₁ — SL 219		N ₂ R ASE 256
	N ₁ R ASE 264		N2 R ASE 292		N ₁ F ASE 282
	N ₂ F ASE 295		_ R SL 233		- R ASL 241
	N ₁ — ASL 232		N ₁ F ASE 269		N ₂ F ASL 260
	- R SL 251		N ₂ F SL 238		N ₁ — ASL 209
	- ASE 205		ASE 200		_ F SL 235
	1212 0000		2020		
	N ₂ R ASE 306		N, F ASL 282		N ₂ F ASE 304
	_ F ASE 237		N ₂ R ASL 296		N ₁ — ASE 22
	- R ASL 243		N ₂ — SL 251		N ₂ — ASL 239
-	SL 188		N ₁ — ASE 231		- R ASE 23
	N ₂ — ASL 262		N ₂ F ASE 303		N ₁ F SL 28
	N ₁ - ASE 249		- F SL 263		- F ASL 25
	N ₁ F ASL 341		N ₁ R SL 301		N ₁ R ASL 280
- 1	N. F SL 325		ASL 241		N ₂ R SL 30
	N ₁ R SL 317		— R ASE 298		SL 20
	N ₂ — ASE 233		N ₂ — ASE 251		- R SL 24
	N ₂ R SL 284		N ₁ F SL 269		N ₂ F SL 26
	N ₁ F ASE 278		N1 - ASL 220		ASL 19
	_ F SL 252		_ F ASE 252		N ₁ F ASL 29
	N ₁ R ASL 292		- R ASL 257		- F ASE 25
	ASL 197		N, R ASE 280		N ₂ — ASE 24
	- R ASE 259		N ₂ F ASL 312		$N_1 - SL 24$
	N ₁ — SL 251		N ₂ R SL 297		N ₂ R ASL 313
1	N ₂ F ASL 300	54	SL 204	27	N ₁ R ASE 29
			1 at 200 at 1000 at		The state of the state of

System of Replication: 9 randomised blocks of 9 plots each. Certain high order interactions partially confounded with block differences.

Area of Each Plot: 1/100th acre (95.2 lks. × 17.5 lks., 5 rows per plot, of which the 3 middle rows were harvested).

TREATMENTS: All combinations of:

- (a) Land ploughed in autumn and spring, dung applied in autumn (ASE), ploughed in autumn and spring, dung in spring (ASL) and ploughed in spring, dung in spring (SL).
- (b) No dung (—), 20 tons per acre fresh dung (F) and rotted dung derived from 20 tons of fresh dung (R).
- (c) No sulphate of ammonia (—), sulphate of ammonia at the rate of 0.4 cwt. N per acre (N₁) and 0.8 cwt. N per acre (N₂).

BASAL MANURING: 0.5 cwt. P₂O₅ per acre as superphosphate and 1.0 cwt. K₂O per acre as sulphate of potash.

Cultivations, Etc.: Autumn fresh and rotted dung applied: November 22. Autumn ploughed: November 24-25. Spring rotted dung applied: April 10. Spring fresh dung applied: April 12. Spring ploughed: April 13-14. Disc harrowed: April 19. Tooth harrowed: April 19. Rolled: April 25. Ridged: April 28 and 30. Artificials applied: April 30 and May 1. Potatoes planted: May 1-2. Harrowed: May 19. Grubbed: May 28, June 6 and July 6. Earthed up: June 18 and July 10. Lifted: October 12, 13 and 15. Variety: Ally. Previous crop: Oats.

STANDARD ERROR PER PLOT: 0.824 tons per acre, or 7.21 %.

183

Yields of separate treatments (block effects eliminated): tons per acre

		1	No dun	g	F	resh du	ng	Ro	tted di	ing
Times of ploughing	Dung applied	Sulph.	amm. (0	cwt.N) 0.8	Sulph 0.0	amm. (0	cwt.N)	Sulph 0.0	amm. (0	(wt.N)
Autumn & spring	{ Autumn Spring	9.28	10.06	10.85	10.47 10.66	12.88 13.00	13.41 13.20	11.54 10.88	12.56 12.77	12.88 12.74
Spring	Spring	8.30	10.80	10.08	11.23	12.10	12.11	11.29	12.37	13.09

Main effects and interaction of dung and sulphate of ammonia, tons per acre (± 0.275)

Sulphate of Ammonia	None	Dung Fresh	Rotted	Mean (±0.159)	(± 0.225)	
0.0 cwt. N	8.95	10.79	11.24	10.32		
0.4 cwt. N	10.30	12.66	12.56	11.84	+1.52	
0.8 cwt. N	10.60	12.91	12.90	12.14	+0.30	
Mean (± 0.159)	9.95	12.12	12.23	11.43	3 4 6	
Increase (± 0.225)	3 2/2 /	+2.17	+2.28	DIA F		

Main effects of times of ploughing and interaction with dung, tons per acre (± 0.275)

Times of Ploughing	Dung			Dung	Mean of dung
	applied	No dung	Fresh	Rotted	(± 0.194)
Autumn and Spring	Autumn	10.061	12.25	12.33	12.29
	Spring		12.29	12.13	12.21
Spring	Spring	9.73	11.82	12.25	12.04

¹ Standard error: ±0.194.

Interaction of times of ploughing with sulphate of ammonia, tons per acre

Times of ploughing	Sulph 0.0 cwt. N	0.4 cwt. N	monia 0.8 cwt. N	Standard error
Autumn and spring	10.35	11.88	12.32	$\pm 0.194 \\ + 0.275$
Spring	10.27	11.76	11.76	

Conclusions

There was a significant increase of 1.52 tons per acre to the first dressing (0.4 cwt. N per acre) of sulphate of ammonia. The small additional increase of 0.30 tons per acre to the second dressing was not significant and was significantly less than the response to the first dressing. The dressing of dung (20 tons per acre) gave a significant increase of 2.23 tons per acre, but there was no difference in effect of fresh and rotted dung, or of the autumn and spring applications. The additional ploughing in autumn had little effect either in the presence or absence of dung, the weighted mean of the increases due to it being 0.23 tons per acre with a standard error of ± 0.213 .

SUGAR BEET

Effect of spring ploughing in addition to autumn ploughing, of potassium and sodium chlorides applied before spring ploughing and at sowing, and of intensive inter-row cultivation.

RS—LONG HOOS 1, 1934 Plan and yields in lb.

				(e.21_0	Roots (dirty)		Sugar per cent.	Plant num- ber						Roots (dirty)	Tops		Plant num- ber	
32				L- LC	605 542	582 525	17.48 17.25						E- LC	566 581	551 518	16.73 17.74	471 438	64
				EC	577	508	17.74	466	93	S	-	-	LC	527	474	17.57	414	
	S			L-	610	554	17.89	451	8-3	-			L —	777	440	17.86	455	
	-			LC	539	475	17.86			S	K		<u>r</u> —	616	486	17.86	431	
	T			E—	631	490	17.94			S			E -	630	478	18.06	470	
	9			EC	568 533	418	18.45			0			EC	555	473	17.86	435	
	3		IVA	EC	933	411	17.71	444		0	V	INA	EC	596	512	17.48	461	
				L-	662	535	18.12	506					LC	545	535	17.34	422	
			_	E —	562	492	17.05	455					L-	672	584	17.40	429	
				LC	621 597	502 552	17.80	479					E -	610	462	17.89	446	
				E-	656	538	17.14 17.94	494 458				NA	EC E-	553 648	469 478	17.63	471	
				LC	608	582	16.93	467					L —	667	581	17.92 17.84	474	
				EC	585	532	17.51	468					EC	632	538	17.37	492	
				EC	561	510	17.86	488	E				LC	610	541	17.40	458	
		K		E	632	544	17.94	487	1	0		NT.	EC	-4-	100	15.54	-1-	
				LC	542	451	17.16	456					E —	547 600	466	17.74 17.02	517 484	
				L —	566	454	18.12	473			K		LC	516	458	17.40	517	
		_		EC	524	390	17.14	435					LC	551	480	17.97	502	
	S		NA	L-	608	482	17.68	479	1				E-	564	456	18.32	517	
	-	_	NA	E —	499	546	18.06	462				_	EC	486	430	17.08	511	
				LC	514	453	17.48	424		S	_	_	L-	565	538	17.42	478	
	S	K	NA	EC	555	447	18.20	434		-	-	NA	L —	555	526	17.94	492	
	_	_	NA	L-	499	438	17.48	401			K	NA	E —	534	416	18.58	468	
				E -	558	417	17.97	436				_		545	402	18.35	467	
		_		EC	524	386	17.77	421					EC	351	358	18.00	485	
				L -	579	435	18.35	400			_		L-	553	446	18.32	469	
				EC	500	430	17.71	451					LC	369	488	18.46	477	
				E —	587	494	17.71	435					L —	684	458	17.89	478	
				LC	483	454	17.71	408				_	LC	471	385	17.60	493	
	S	V	INA	LC	535	550	17.14	456		S	-	-	EC	500	379	17.74	465	33

System of Replication: 8 randomised blocks of 8 plots each. Certain interactions are partially confounded with block differences.

Area of Each Plot: 0.015 acre after rejecting edge rows. Plots actually 12.6 links × 198.4 links rows.

TREATMENTS: All combinations of:

BASAL MANURING: 0.5 cwt. P₂O₅ as superphosphate and 0.6 cwt. N as sulphate of ammonia, per

Cultivations, etc.: Ploughed: November 4th-7th. Early manures applied: March 28th. Spring ploughed: April 3rd-4th. Drag-harrowed: April 24th. Cultivated with tractor: May 2nd. Late manures applied: May 8th. Harrowed: May 9th. Rolled: May 10th. Seed sown: May 10th. Harrowed: May 12th. Rolled: May 15th. Hoed: June 4th. Singled: June 29th-July 3rd. Plants 10 inches apart. Motor-hoed (intensive cultivation plots): July 7th, 17th and 27th, August 8th, 18th and 31st. Hoed: July 31st. Lifted: November 16th-27th. Variety: Kleinwanzleben E. Previous crop: Spring oats.

STANDARD ERRORS PER PLOT: Roots (washed): 1.07 tons per acre or 6.99%. Tops: 1.24 tons per acre or 8.64%. Sugar percentage: 0.385. Plant number: 1.35 thousands per acre or 4.38%. Mean dirt tare: 0.0909.

Yields of Separate Treatments (block effects eliminated) ROOTS washed—tons per acre

	N	No potassi	um chlori	de	an a	Potassium	chloride	risino .	
	The second secon	odium oride	Sodium Chloride			odium oride	Sodium chloride		
0.1 - 2.5 - 1 2.0 - 2.1 - 3	Ordinary cultiva- tion.	Intensive cultiva- tion.	Ordinary cultiva- tion.	Intensive cultiva- tion.	Ordinary cultiva- tion.	Intensive cultiva- tion.	Ordinary cultiva- tion.	Intensive cultiva- tion.	
Not spring ploughed— Minerals early Minerals late	15.58	14.03	14.60 14.73	13.20 14.58	17.47 16.13	14.08 14.61	16.71 18.45	13.52 15.59	
Spring ploughed— Minerals early Minerals late	15.59	14.26	16.03 18.93	14.91 15.02	16.05 15.88	15.45 15.10	16.40 16.44	15.46 13.35	

Responses to Treatments

MEAN YIELDS: Roots (washed): 15.36 tons; Tops: 14.36 tons; Sugar percentage: 17.72; Total sugar: 54.5 cwt.; Plant number: 30.9 thousands.

ERI washing to	Mean	6.911		Diffe	rential 1	esponse	S		
	response	chlo	ssium ride Present	Journali			ing thing Present		vation Intensive
ROOT	ΓS (wash	ned): to	ns per ac	re (±0.37	9. Mea	ns: ±0	.268)	geoiff u	ning2.
Potassium chloride		exi ti o e		+0.73	+0.49	+1 28	-0.06	+0.86	+0.36
Sodium chloride	+0.26	+0.38	+0.14		_		+0.54	+0.55	-0.03
Spring ploughing	+0.36	+1.03	-0.31	+0.09	+0.64	0.02	- 0.01	+0.21	+0.5
Intensive cultivation	-1.79	-1.54	-2.04	-1.50		-1.95	-1.63	7 0.21	TO.0.
ndomi diw boliv	TOP	S: tons		(1 0 420				and the	295
	101	J. tons	per acre	(±0.438.	Means	± 0.31	0)	ALERIA I	
Potassium chloride	+0.27	Dend-a	DOIL S	+0.45	+0.10	-0.02	+0.57	-0.11	1000
Sodium chloride	+0.34	+0.52	+0.17	+0.40	+0.10	$-0.02 \\ -0.01$			+0.66
Spring ploughing Intensive	+0.38	+0.08	+0.67	+0.02	+0.73	-0.01	+0.70	$+0.13 \\ +0.12$	$+0.56 \\ +0.64$
cultivation	-0.53	-0.94	-0.14	-0.73	-0.31	-0.78	-0.26	Alleria de	ACCEPT ACCEPT
	SU	GAR PE	RCENT	AGE (±0	136. M	Teans: 1	0.096)	a until n	Iso1/
nnated)	litte 85	k effec	pold) e	Hopograph (Te	12 30	h and a	0.000)	dull	
Potassium chloride Sodium chloride	+0.18	9700	nd Engl	+0.19	+0.16	+0.15	+0.20	+0.23	+0.13
Spring ploughing	+0.12	+0.14	+0.10		_		+0.14	+0.13	+0.11
Intensive	-0.07	-0.10	-0.04	-0.09	-0.05	-		-0.08	-0.06
	0.00		3	lineldo m	eestog	54			
cultivation	-0.27	-0.22	-0.32	-0.26	-0.28	-0.28	-0.26	_	-
	TO	TAL SU	GAR: c	wt. per ac	re	chiotes	- 4		
Potassium chloride	+2.7	TEALTH ()	artensive	Tanana Tanana	1	pd over some	paci		
Sodium chloride	+1.3	+1.7	+0.9	+3.1	+2.3	+5.0	+0.4	+3.8	+1.6
Spring ploughing	+1.1	+3.4	-1.2	0.0	101	+0.3	+2.4	+2.4	+0.2
Intensive	1 -12	1 0.1	-1.2	0.0	+2.1		-	+0.5	+1.7
cultivation	-7.2	-6.1	-8.3	-6.1	-8.3	-7.8	-6.6		
22.81 [1.87	14 08	17.47	02.81	100.1	-0.5	-1.0	-0.0		LIST A
PLAN	T NUMI	BER: th	ousands	per acre (10 479	Magu		20)	DUI
26 01 11 21		20 01	Judanus	per acre (±0. 478	. wiean	s. ±0.3	00)	Santite
Potassium chloride	+0.5	96-91	-0.01	+0.9	+0.1	+0.7	+0.3	0.0	+0.9
Sodium chloride	+0.4	+0.9	-0.1			$+0.1 \\ +0.4$	+0.4 +0.4	+0.1	$+0.9 \\ +0.8$
Spring ploughing	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.2	-0.2	_	_	-0.3	-0.1
Intensive cultivation	-0.1	-0.5	+0.4	-0.4	+0.3	-0.2	0.0		J.1

Main Effects and Interactions of Times of Application of Minerals

Minerals applied	No spring Plough- ing	Spring plough-ing	Ordinary cultiva- tion	Intensive cultivation	Sodium chloride	Potas- sium chloride	Both chlorides	Mean	Increase
		I	ROOTS (w	ashed):	tons per a	cre			
Early Late	14.81 15.56	15.58 15.51	15.88 16.64	14.51 14.42	14.68 15.82	15.76 15.43	15.52 15.96	15.32 15.74	+0.42
St. error	2007	±0	.268		T THE	±0.379	12225	± 0.219	±0.310
130	1000		7	OPS: to	ons per acr	e			
Early Late	13.98 14.37	13.78 15.32	14.29 14.96	13.46 14.73	13.94 15.03	14.48	13.98 15.19	14.13 14.85	+0.72
St. error	88.51 77	±0	.310	Augus	T I I I I	±0.438	A PRO	± 0.253	±0.358
1198	MEGET S	10 TO SEE	SU	GAR PE	RCENTA	GE	27.6		
Early Late	17.74 17.77	17.78 17.59	17.87 17.84	17.66 17.51	17.84 17.56	17.76 17.74	17.98 17.75	17.86 17.68	-0.18
St. error	Electric Section 1	±0	0.096	9-91	and the	±0.136	ag (ET)	±0.078	±0.111
FREE	SENT V	12 01/4	TOTA	L SUGAL	R: cwt. p	er acre	65 - 50a	H (2)	
Early Late	52.5 55.3	55.4 54.6	56.8 59.4	51.2 50.5	52.4 55.6	56.0 54.7	55.8 56.7	54.7 55.7	+ 1.0
982	00.11	PLAN	IT NUMB	ER: tho	usands pe	r acre.		92	7 H B
Early Late	31.2 30.8	31.0 30.7	31.2 30.7	31.0 30.8	31.0 31.2	31.3 31.0	31.3 31.1	31.2 31.1	-0.1
St. error	19.81	±	0.338	18.	The state of	±0.478	DE DEE	±0.276	±0.390

In the above table, the effects of early and late application of minerals have been estimated as straight means over the plots receiving NaCl. KCl and both chlorides. The interactions of the effect of time of application with spring ploughing and intensive cultivation are partially confounded with blocks. In showing these effects in the above tables the method of adjustment for block differences has been to include the plots receiving neither chloride. To estimate the interactions, the values obtained by the usual process should therefore be multiplied by four-thirds.

Conclusions

Potassium chloride gave a significant increase in the roots and an almost significant increase in sugar percentage. Both increases were quite small, however, the corresponding increase in total sugar being 2.7 cwt. per acre or 5 per cent. The interaction between potassium chloride and the additional spring ploughing was significant, spring ploughing having apparently nullified any increase given by potassium chloride. This effect, however, appeared only in a small group of plots—those receiving sodium chloride applied late—so that its reality is doubtful.

There were no significant responses to sodium chloride.

The application of manures at sowing instead of before the spring ploughing significantly decreased the sugar percentage but produced very little difference in the yield of total sugar.

The additional spring ploughing had no effect.

Intensive cultivation proved much inferior to ordinary, giving significant decreases in both roots and sugar percentage. The resultant decrease in total sugar was 7.2 cwt. per acre or 12 per cent.

SUGAR BEET

Effect of spacing of rows, of application of sulphate of ammonia and dung, and of additional heavy rolling of the seed-bed.

RS-LONG HOOS I, 1934

Plan and yields in lb.

		Roo	ts Top	psSugar	Plant	t		Roots	Tops	Sugar	Plant	
		(dir	ty)	per	num	-		(dirty)	per	num-	
				cent	. ber	a many	Media			cent.	ber	
8	S15 N D -	427	492	16.99	548	1	S15 N D -	475	450	16.82	589	11
	S20 - D R	408	294	17.05	419		S20 N	426	351	16.93	373	
	S10 N	494	453	16.39	1,051		S10 N - R	490	408	16.88		
	S ₁₅ N — R	424	364	17.08	632		S20 R	339	198	17.17	370	1
	S ₂₀	376	231	17.02	410		S15 N - R	445	372	17.54	591	
	$S_{10} - R$	423	319	17.17	1,082		S ₁₀ - D R	467	378	17.05	1,052	
	$S_{15} - DR$	446	322	17.42	634		S15 - D R	486	322	17.22	583	
	S20 N - R	419	326	16.36	384		S ₁₅	424	248	17.17	536	
	S10 - D -	501	404	17.17	1,072		S ₂₀ N D R	470	446	17.02	370	
	S	472	320	17.34	589	E	S10 N D -	669	625	16.65	1,017	1
	S ₁₀ N D R	602	558	16.68	1,083	*	S20 - D -	489	298	17.37	364	
	S ₂₀ N D —	448	424	16.65	401		S ₁₀	543	353	17.14	987	
	S ₁₅ - D -	427	302	17.11	626		S ₂₀	435	256	18.00	366	
	$S_{10}N-R$	504	407	17.28	1,041	.	S20 N D -	553	424	17.17	365	
	S20 R	416	184	16.53	403	1	S_{15} — R	491	276	17.66	587	
	S ₂₀ N D R	494	402	16.27	384	RING	S ₁₅ - D -	542	344	17.42	589	
	S ₁₅ N D R	503	418	17.11	617		S ₁₀ N D R	627	483	17.02	1.134	
	S10 N D -	511	435	16.73	1,013		S10 R	470	282	17.42	1.137	
	$S_{10}-DR$	406	298	17.17	1,059		S20 - D R	465	233	17.40	584	
	S20 - D -	376	200	16.73	373		S ₁₀ - D -	566	322	16.91	1.046	
	S15 N	450	352	16.73	542		S ₁₅ N D R	564	413	17.25	580	
	S10	462	294	17.34	969		S ₁₀ N	641	468	16.96	957	
5	S_{15} — R	324	178	17.76	525		S ₁₅ N	534	348	16.73	498	8
	S20 N	381	332	16.27	324		S20 N - R	529	438	17.00	346	0

System of Replication: 4 randomised blocks of 12 plots each. Certain high order interactions are partially confounded with block differences.

Area of Each Plot (after rejecting edge rows): 10 inch spacing: 0.01515 acre; 15 inch spacing: 0.01364 acre; 20 inch spacing: 0.01212 acre. Plots actually 15.2 lks. x 120 lks. rows.

TREATMENTS: All combinations of:

$$\begin{cases} 10 \text{ inch spacing } (S_{10}) \\ 15 \text{ inch spacing } (S_{15}) \\ 20 \text{ inch spacing } (S_{20}) \end{cases} \times \begin{cases} \text{No sulph. amm.} \\ \text{Sulph. Amm.} \\ (0.6 \text{ cwt. N) } (\text{N}) \end{cases} \times \begin{cases} \text{No additional heavy rolling.} \\ \text{Additional heavy rolling} (R) \end{cases} \times \begin{cases} \text{No dung } (-) \\ 10 \text{ tons dung } (-) \\ \text{OD} \end{cases}$$

BASAL MANURING: 1.0 cwt. K₂O per acre as 30% potash manure salt and 0.5 cwt. P₂O₅ as superphosphate.

CULTIVATIONS, ETC.: Dung and basal manures applied: Feb. 14. Ploughed: Feb. 15-16. Sulphate of ammonia applied: May 8. Harrowed: May 9. Seed sown: May 14. Harrowed: May 14. Rolled: May 15. Horse-hoed (wide rows): June 2. Singled: July 3-11. Plants 10 ins. apart. Hoed: July 31. Lifted: Nov. 28-Dec. 7. Variety: Kleinwanzleben E. Previous crop: Spring oats.

STANDARD ERRORS PER PLOT: Roots (washed): 1.10 tons per acre or 7.86%. Tops: 1.23 tons per acre or 10.6%. Sugar percentage: 0.281. Plant number: 2.95 thousands per acre or 6.15%. Mean dirt tare: 0.1005.

189

Yields of Separate Treatments (block effects eliminated)

ROOTS (washed): tons per acre

Spacing	No su No addition heavy rol No dung. I	onal lling.	Addition heavy r	onal colling.	No addi heavy i No dung.	tional colling.	Addition heavy in No dung.	onal colling.
10 inches 15 inches 20 inches	13.47	13.65 13.99 14.94	11.34 11.72 13.12	12.06 14.00 13.85	14.55 14.21 13.98	16.13 13.56 15.97	13.66 13.08 15.09	15.80 15.43 16.58

Responses to Treatments

MEAN YIELDS: Roots (washed): 14.03 tons; Tops: 11.62 tons; Sugar percentage: 17.05; Total sugar: 47.8 cwt.; Plant number: 47.9 thousands.

	68.00	20 7	Differenti	al responses.			
	Mean response.	Sulphate of ammonia. Ab- Present. sent.	Additional rolling. Ab- Present. sent.	Dung. Ab- Present.	Spaci 10	ng (incl	nes). 20
(8 67	RE PERIN	ROOTS (wa	shed)—tons per	racre	12/11/1		
Sulphate of Ammonia Additional rolling Dung	$ \begin{array}{c c} +1.61 \\ -0.45 \\ +1.26 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c c} -1.10 \\ +1.04 \\ +1.48 \end{array} $	$\begin{vmatrix} +0.96 \\ -0.90 \end{vmatrix} + \frac{2.26}{1.65}$	$\begin{vmatrix} +1.38 \\ -0.80 \\ -\end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} -0.09 \\ -\end{vmatrix}$	-1.32	-0.25	+0.23
Standard errors	±0.318	1,84	±0.450			±0.550)
tente or sugar	om m si	TOPS—tons	per acre	bert surage	to non	EHE?	Sill
Sulphate of Ammonia	$ \begin{array}{c c} +4.60 \\ -0.53 \\ +2.08 \end{array} $	-0.79 - 0.26	$\begin{vmatrix} +4.34 & +4.86 \\ -1.95 & +2.22 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} +4.10 & +5.10 \\ -0.66 & -0.39 \\ - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{vmatrix} +4.38 \\ -0.82 \\ +1.92 \end{vmatrix}$	$^{+3.67}_{-0.78}_{+2.47}$	$+5.76 \\ +0.02 \\ +1.86$
Standard errors	±0.355	10 22 12 10	±0.502	VE a COLOR NO.		0.615	1111
THE PERSON LESSES TO		SUGA	R PERCENTA	GE	en P To	5138 3	57 .19
Sulphate of Ammonia	$ \begin{array}{c c} -0.38 \\ +0.11 \\ -0.06 \end{array} $	+0.02 + 0.20	$\begin{vmatrix} -0.46 & -0.30 \\ -0.03 & -0.10 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{vmatrix} -0.46 \\ +0.15 \\ - \end{vmatrix}$ $\begin{vmatrix} -0.30 \\ +0.08 \\ - \end{vmatrix}$	$ \begin{array}{r r} -0.35 \\ +0.17 \\ -0.15 \end{array} $	+0.34	-0.17
Standard errors	±0.081	eliz şimil a	± 0.115	ne to sulphar	RETT	± 0.140	guill
strongly in total	1	TOTAL SUGAL	R—cwt. per acr	е			
Sulphate of Ammonia	+4.4	tno.it	+1.9 +6.8	+3.4 +5.3	+6.9	+1.7	+4.5
rolling	-1.2 + 4.1	$\begin{vmatrix} -3.7 \\ +3.2 \end{vmatrix} + 1.2 \\ +5.1 \end{vmatrix}$	$\left\ \frac{1}{+3.0} \right\ + \frac{1}{5.2}$	$\begin{vmatrix} -2.4 & -0.2 \\ - & - \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{vmatrix} -4.0 \\ +3.2 \end{vmatrix}$	$0.0 \\ +3.8$	$+0.3 \\ +5.5$
		PLANT NU	MBER—thousa	ands per acre			
Sulphate of Ammonia	-1.6	24 4	-1.5 -1.8	-1.2 -2.0	-0.7	-0.7	-3.5
Additional heavy rolling	+3.0 +2.4	$\begin{vmatrix} +3.2 \\ +2.8 \end{vmatrix} + 2.9 \\ +2.1 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{vmatrix} -1 \\ +2.4 \\ +2.5 \end{vmatrix}$	+3.0 +3.1	$^{+4.1}_{+2.0}$	$+2.1 \\ +2.5$	$+2.9 \\ +2.9$

Main Effects and Interactions of Spacing

Spacing		Mean	Sulpha Amm		Additi rolli		Dun	g
		Response	Absent	Present	Absent]		Absent F	
Legeneral	R	OOTS (wash	ned): tons	per acre	$(\pm 0.389. M)$	eans: ±0.	275)	
10 inches	Men.	13.87	12.71	15.03	14.53	13.21	13.34	1 14.40
15 inches		13.68	13.30	14.07	13.81	13.56	13.12	14.24
20 inches		14.54	13.68	15.40	14.43	14.66	13.75	15.34
	E	TOPS: to	ns per acre	$(\pm 0.436.$	Means: ±	0.308)		1215-12
10 inches	2.63	11.95	9.76	14.13	12.35	11.54	10.99	12.90
15 inches		11.30	9.46	13.13	11.69	10.91	10.06	12.53
20 inches		11.60	8.72	14.47	11.58	11.61	10.66	12.53
Total	MARKET IN	SUGAR P	ERCENTA	GE (±0.0	99. Means.	±0.070)		
10 inches		17.00	17.17	16.82	16.91	17.08	17.07	16.92
15 inches		17.21	17.39	17.03	17.04	17.38	17.25	17.17
20 inches		16.93	17.16	16.71	17.02	16.85	16.91	16.96
- Tendong in		1	TOTAL SU	GAR: cv	vt. per acre			
10 inches		47.1	43.6	50.6	49.1	45.2	45.5	48.7
15 inches		47.0	46.3	47.9	47.1	47.1	45.3	48.9
20 inches		49.2	46.9	51.5	49.1	49.4	46.5	52.0
	PLAN	T NUMBE	R: thousa	nds per ac	ere (±1.04.	Means: ±	0.738)	
10 inches		69.0	69.3	68.6	1 66.9	71.0	68.0	69.9
15 inches		42.5	42.8	42.1	41.4	43.5	41.3	43.7
20 inches		32.2	33.9	30.4	30.7	33.6	30.7	33.6

Conclusions

The variation of spacing produced no significant results in roots, tops or sugar percentage.

Sulphate of ammonia significantly increased the yields of roots and tops and significantly decreased the sugar percentage, the net result being an increase in total sugar by 4.4 cwt. per acre or 10 per cent.

The dressing of dung also gave significant increases in roots and tops, but had no appreciable effect on sugar percentage. The increase in total sugar was 4.1 cwt. per acre or 9 per cent.

The additional heavy rolling produced small but not significant decreases in the yields of roots and tops.

Plant number was significantly raised by the dung and the heavy rolling.

There was a significant interaction in roots between sulphate of ammonia and rolling, the increase due to sulphate of ammonia being significantly greater with the additional heavy rolling than without. This effect also shows up strongly in total sugar, the increase due to sulphate of ammonia being 6.8 cwt. per acre with the additional heavy rolling, as against 1.9 cwt. without.

BRUSSEL SPROUTS

Effect of sulphate of ammonia, poultry manure, soot and rape dust

FOSTER'S-RD, 1934

Plan and yields in lb. saleable sprouts

Total of all Pickings.

1 1			10000			1	1	-
50	R ₁ 235	O 213	O 216	M ₁ 273	M ₂ 268	R ₁ 243	R ₂ 240	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{N_1} \\ 243 \end{array}$
***	O 214	S ₁ 238	N ₁ 237	M ₂ 243	S ₂ 240	M ₁ 255	O 204	O 220
\$W ↑	R ₂ 237	S ₂ 245	O 237	N ₂ 251	O 255	O 250	N ₂ 260	S ₁ 246
	R ₂ 257	O 258	M ₁ 254	S ₁ 266	R ₂ 263	O 234	R ₁ 240	M ₁ 253
	N ₂ 266	O 266	M ₂ 256	S ₂ 254	M ₂ 259	O 249	N ₁ 265	O 227
	R ₁ 260	O 248	O 228	N ₁ 235	S ₂ 248	N ₂ 270	S ₁ 250	O 236
41		AUS	75-11		3.00	2 1/2	8.05	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

System of Replication: 4 randomised blocks of 12 plots each.

AREA OF EACH PLOT (after rejecting edge rows): 0.024174 acre. Plots actually 10 yds. × 14 yds.

TREATMENTS: No nitrogen (O), sulphate of ammonia (N) half applied in seed bed and remainder as a top dressing, poultry manure (M), soot (S) and rape dust (R), applied at the rate of 0.4 cwt. N per acre (1) or 0.8 cwt. N per acre (2).

Basal Manuring: Superphosphate at the rate of 1.0 cwt. P₂O₅ and muriate of potash at the rate of 1.0 cwt. K₂O per acre. (An allowance was made for the P₂O₅ contained in the organic manures.)

Cultivations, Etc.: Ploughed: Apr. 6 and 7. Cultivated with tractor: May 23. Manures applied (sulphate of ammonia at half-rate): May 23 and 24. Harrowed: May 25 and 26. Rolled: May 26. Brussels planted: June 8. Horse hoed: July 12 and 13. Hand hoed: July 17. Second half of sulphate of ammonia applied: July 28. Horse hoed: Aug. 8, 9 and 13. Hand hoed: Aug. 14. Harvested: First picking: Oct. 24, 25 and 29. Second picking: Dec. 18-20. Third picking: Feb. 13 and 14. Previous crop: Wheat.

STANDARD ERRORS PER PLOT total of all pickings (saleable sprouts): 4.67 cwt. per acre or 5.14%; first picking (saleable sprouts): 4.02 cwt. per acre or 19.4%.

INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS

Saleable sprouts: cwt per acre

Mean yield: 90.8 cwt.

Pickings	0	N ₁	N ₂	M ₁	M ₂	S ₁	S ₂	R ₁	R ₂
1st 2nd 3rd	20.6 47.7 18.3	21.4 50.5 18.6	21.4 55.9 19.5	22.7 53.3 19.6	19.8 55.7 19.3	22.3 50.4 19.6	19.4 52.5 19.3	21.1 51.1 18.1	18.2 55.9 17.9
Total	86.6	90.5	96.8	95.6	• 94.8	92.3	91.2	90.3	92.0

Saleable Sprouts - total of all pickings: cwt. per acre

Nitrogen, cwt. p.a.	Sulph. amm.	Poultry manure.	Soot.	Rape dust.	Mean.	Increase
0.0	136	86.	61	117.5	86.61	Jan .
0.4	90.5^{3}	95.63	92.33	90.33	92.21	+5.62
0.8	96.83	94.83	91.23	92.0^{3}	93.71	$+1.5^{2}$
Mean	93.62	95.22	91.72	91.22	90.8	
Difference		$+1.6^{3}$	-1.9^{3}	-2.4^{3}		

STANDARD ERRORS: (1) ± 1.16 , (2) ± 1.64 , (3) ± 2.33 .

CONCLUSIONS

There was a significant response to nitrogen in the total yield of all three pickings, the double dressing (0.8 cwt. N per acre) giving an increase of 7.1 cwt per acre. The falling-off in response at the higher level of manuring, though striking, was not in itself significant.

There were no significant differences between the effects of the four qualities of nitrogen.

None of the treatments had a significant effect on the yields of the first picking, but it is worth noting that for three of the four types of nitrogen, the yield from the double dressing in the first picking was below that from the single, while for the fourth (sulphate of ammonia) the two were equal.

BEANS

Effect of dung, nitro-chalk and muriate of potash

RE-Long Hoos I, 1934

Plan and yields in lb., grain above, straw below

ī	D ₂ N ₂ K ₁ 31.6 25.6	D ₁ N ₂ K ₂ 40.1 32.6	D ₁ N ₁ K ₀ 39.9 33.1	D ₂ N ₂ K ₂ 43.6 33.6	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{D_2N_0K_1} \\ 37.5 \\ 32.0 \end{array}$	D ₀ N ₀ K ₀ 35.2 29.6	D ₀ N ₀ K ₁ 33.3 27.2	D ₂ N ₂ K ₀ 39.2 32.8	D ₂ N ₀ K ₂ 38.6 33.2	
	D ₁ N ₆ K ₁ 29.2 24.3	D ₂ N ₁ K ₂ 40.3 30.0	D ₂ N ₀ K ₀ 39.5 28.5	D ₀ N ₂ K ₁ 34.6 25.9	D ₂ N ₁ K ₀ 35.2 28.0	D ₀ N ₁ K ₂ 38.5 29.0	D ₀ N ₁ K ₀ 29.0 22.0	D ₁ N ₂ K ₁ 35.4 28.1	D ₁ N ₀ K ₀ 36.4 30.4	
	D ₀ N ₀ K ₂ 21.9			D ₁ N ₀ K ₂			D ₀ N ₂ K ₂	D ₁ N ₁ K ₂		
19	18.4	29.5 23.5	31.0 25.2	34.0 27.0	34.1 27.6	35.0 27.2	35.8 26.7	34.0 27.2	32.1 25.6	2'

System of Replication: 3 randomised blocks of 9 plots each, with two degrees of freedom, representing second order interactions, confounded with block differences. Error estimated from high order interactions,

AREA OF EACH PLOT: 1/60th acre (52.1 lks. × 32 lks.).

TREATMENTS: All combinations of:

- (a) No dung (D₀), and dung at the rate of $7\frac{1}{2}$ tons per acre (D₁) and 15 tons per acre (D₂).
- (b) No nitro-chalk (N₀), and nitro-chalk at the rates of 0.2 cwt. N per acre (N₁) and 0.4 cwt. N per acre (N₂).
- (c) No muriate of potash (K₀), and muriate of potash at the rates of 0.5 cwt. K₂O per acre (K₁) and 1.0 cwt. K₂O per acre (K₂).

BASAL MANURING: Superphosphate at the rate of 0.5 cwt. P2O5 per acre.

Cultivations, etc.: Dung applied: October 18. Ploughed: October 18-19. Rolled: October 20. Harrowed: October 24. Seed sown: October 24. Muriate of potash applied: October 25. Harrowed: October 25. Crop failed. Harrowed: February 9. Seed resown: February 9. Harrowed: February 10. Nitro-chalk applied: April 10. Horse-hoed: May 7, 8, 14, 25, 29 and 30. Hand-hoed: May 25, 29 and 30. Harvested: August 3. Previous crop: Oats.

STANDARD ERRORS PER PLOT: Grain: 1.94 cwt. per acre, or 10.4%. Straw: 1.65 cwt. per acre, or 11.0%.

Main effects. Interactions of nitro-chalk with dung and potash

	0.00	Dung	: tons pe	er acre	Potash:	cwt. K20	Mean	Increase	
Nitro-chalk		0	71/2	15	0.0	0.5	1.0	Mean	Increase
	GRAI	N: cwt. 1	per acre (-	+1.12. M	eans: ±	0.647. In	creases : -	0.915)	,
0.0 cwt. N	1	16.1	17.8	20.6	19.8	17.8	16.9	18.2	f
0.2 cwt. N		17.6	19.4	19.2	18.6	17.5	20.1	18.7	+0.5
0.4 cwt. N		17.8	19.6	20.4	18.4	18.1	21.3	19.3	+0.6
Mean		17.2	18.9	20.1	18.9	17.8	19.4	18.7	
Increase		+	1.7 +	1.2	-	1.1 +	1.6		
S	TRAW	: cwt. p	er acre (-	+0.951. A	Ieans: ±	0.549. In	ncreases :	±0.776)	
0.0 cwt. N		13.4	14.6	16.7	15.8	14.9	14.0	14.9	1
0.2 cwt. N		13.6	15.6	14.9	14.8	13.9	15.4	14.7	-0.2
0.4 cwt. N		13.6	15.8	16.4	15.0	14.2	16.6	15.3	+0.6
Mean		13.5	15.3	16.0	15.2	14.3	15.3	15.0	
Increase		+	1.8 +	0.7	-	0.9 +	1.0		

Interaction of potash and dung

	GRA	IN : cwt. pe	er acre	STRAW : cwt. per acre			
Potash	Dun	g: tons per	acre				
	0	$7\frac{1}{2}$	15	0	$7\frac{1}{2}$	15	
0.0 cwt. K ₂ O	16.7	19.7	20.3	13.4	16.3	15.9	
0.5 cwt. K,O	17.7	17.8	18.1	14.0	14.2	14.8	
1.0 cwt. K,O	17.2	19.3	21.9	13.2	15.5	17.3	

Conclusions

There is a significant response to dung both in grain and in straw, the response, in grain to the first $7\frac{1}{2}$ tons of dung being 1.7 cwt., or 10.0 %, and to the second $7\frac{1}{2}$ tons 1.2 cwt., or 6.3%. The responses to the second dressing are smaller than the responses to the first, but not significantly so. The response to nitro-chalk is not significant, and there is no sign of response to potash. There is, however, a positive interaction, significant in the case of grain, between potash and nitro-chalk.