Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readible, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



Report 1921-22 With the Supplement to the Guide to the Experimental Plots Containing the Yields per Acre Etc.



Full Table of Content

Rothamsted Experimental Plots

Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1923) *Rothamsted Experimental Plots* ; Report 1921-22 With The Supplement To The Guide To The Experimental Plots Containing The Yields Per Acre Etc., pp 55 - 104 - **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-110

THE CROP RESULTS.

OCTOBER, 1920, TO SEPTEMBER, 1921.

This was perhaps the most remarkable season we have had, almost every month giving some new record.

October, 1920, was a beautiful month; fine, sunny and dry, with gentle N.E. winds. The clock was changed on the night of Sunday, October 17th, thus facilitating morning work. Winter ploughing was pushed well forward and potato work was done in dry and comfortable conditions.

November also was dry (indeed some places were short of water), so that all corn sowing and root carting were readily completed.

After the middle of December there was much rain, but the weather continued mild; the arable land lay wet, but as against this the grain grew well and the bullocks remained out throughout January.

January of 1921 was the warmest January on record; on no less than 23 days in the month the maximum temperature rose to 48° or above. There was no frost that survived the morning sun, and indeed by the end of the month there had been only four or five really cold days since Christmas. On January 25th, at about 10 p.m. an arc of a lunar rainbow was seen in the north by Messrs. Bowden and Seabrook.

February was dry throughout, there being only 0.21 inches of rainfall against the average 2.02 inches. There had been no such dry February since 1895; it was, however, colder than January. The winter was one of the mildest within our recollection, much facilitating work in the gardens.

In March the weather turned cold, but the drought continued; there fell just over one inch of rain. The dry weather favoured the suppression of the black-bent grass in Broadbalk wheat, but it caused some injury to the spring sown corn. April began dry, but nearly half-an-inch of rain fell on the 13th, and the total fall for the month was only 0.55 ins. less than the average.

May, like April, had somewhat less than the average rainfall (.45 ins. less), but was beautifully warm.

June was the driest June for 100 years. The farm well ran dry about May 25th for the first time since it was made in 1913, and water had to be carted to the farm. The weather set in dry and hot, and continued like this all through the summer and autumn, making 1921 a year to be remembered as one of the best by all holiday makers.

The drought and hot weather continued right through August and September; the harvest was probably the earliest and the finest for weather we have had. Broadbalk was cut on July 27th, the earliest date since 1896. Many farmers cut and carted their corn on the same day.

The rapidity with which the harvest was cleared away allowed unusually good facilities for stubble cleaning. Good work was done with a Ransome tractor broadshare, which cut all tap roots of weeds, broke up the surface soil to a depth of 3 inches and left it ridged up. While the dry weather lasted the grass and other weeds were dying, and when rain came the weed seeds germinated and could be killed by cultivation. The hot dry autumn was expected to have a very beneficial effect on the soil, and we looked forward with great confidence to good fertility conditions in 1922.

The effects of this remarkable season on the crops were as follows :---

1.—Wheat promised to be the crop of the year. It looked well throughout the summer and responded to nitrogenous dressings. On our farm the yields did not come up to expectation, but generally the yield was excellent, the average for England and Wales being 35.3 bushels as against the 10 years' average of 30.7 bushels.

2.—Oats yielded satisfactorily.

3.—Barley came very short in the straw, but the yields were better than seemed likely. An increase of 9 bushels resulted from a top-dressing of 1 cwt. of sulphate of ammonia.

4.—Swedes failed entirely.

5.—Potatoes almost failed, giving only 2 or 3 tons per acre; there was much second growth.

6.—Mangolds were hampered by the summer drought, but grew well after harvest and finally yielded well.

7.—Clover sown in 1920 did well, the first cut especially being good. Throughout the country the seeds hay had usually yielded pretty well. The seeds sown in 1921, however, failed, so that we were constrained to keep some of the 1920 ley down till 1922—a practice which does not usually answer and was not successful on this occasion.

8.—The permanent grass, on the other hand, gave poor results.

Of the fertilisers nitrogen gave its usual increase as shown on p. 85.

Phosphates (superphosphate, basic slag, but not bone meal on our farm) produced a very visible effect by the middle of June in hastening the ripening processes in barley, the phosphate treated plants being well headed out, while those without phosphate were not; finally phosphates caused a distinct increase in crop (Little Hoos field).

Basic slag produced no visible effect on the grass land.

Potassic fertilisers had no visible effect on barley up to June. It was remarkable during this season that the barley on the acid plot on Agdell field (No. 2 complete artificials and clover) showed no signs of the failure which had marked the wheat and swede crops.

OCTOBER, 1921, TO SEPTEMBER, 1922.

The drought continued throughout October; in many districts the water supply gave serious trouble. It was not till November that the rainfall began and then it was less than the average.

With the new year, however, conditions became different. January and February were both wet, and April was specially so. In addition the weather was bitterly cold, making everything very backward and causing damage to the winter corn.

In the gardens the bulbs had made a magnificent show and the fruit trees were full of blossom; this was probably associated with the complete ripening of the wood in the autumn of 1921.

May was hot and dry, culminating in a very hot week near the end, and it looked as if we might have another 1921 summer, but June, though dry, was colder and less sunny, and the weather progressively deteriorated as the season advanced. The summer was a byword among farmers and holiday-makers. July was not only cold and sunless, but very wet as well, there being almost double the average rainfall (4.6 ins. instead of 2.4 ins.). August and September remained cold and sunless, and differed only in that August was not wetter than usual, while September had 50% more than the average rainfall. The harvest was much delayed; it had been one of the earliest on record in 1921; it was one of the latest and most protracted in 1922. Old farmers compared it with that of 1879; indeed some said it was worse. The comparison was ominous, for it foreshadowed suffering not only from the weather but from the severe financial crisis which set in, worse than any in the last 30 years. October was much drier and had more sunshine, but the winds were mostly cold; arrears of cultivations were, however, partly overcome.

The yields of crops were far better than might have been expected in view of the wretched weather conditions. Spring growth was poor, but later growth was very marked; indeed the results were so remarkable that we cannot help connecting them with the thorough baking given to the soil by the hot dry autumn of 1921. Taking the crops in detail, grass, while giving a poor yield of hay in June, made better growth afterwards, and the grazing results over the season were considerably more satisfactory than in 1921; thus on the permanent grass plots of Great Field the results were :—

	10%1	1000
Yield of hay, cwt. per acre (end of June)	26.4	20
Live weight increase in sheep, lb. per	60)	116
acre (end of September)	904	

Barley made a splendid start as the March weather allowed an excellent seed-bed to be formed, but the young plants were seriously checked by the drought in May and June; some of them began to turn yellow as if the ripening processes were already beginning. The July rain caused a resumption of growth, but the absence of sun and the continued rain seriously interfered with ripening. In the end the yield of grain was normal,* but the quality was execrable; indeed, experienced barley buyers described the season as one of the worst for many years. Some of the results were :—

ŀ	HOOS FIELD 4A	Lo	ong Hoos
	Barley	Mali	ting Barley
	Complete	No	Complete
	Manure	Manure	Manure
Yield	31	25.8	32.6
Average for last			
10 years	32		
Value per quarter		36/-	31/-

* The average yields of cereals for England and Wales were lower than in 1921, and, in the case of the oats below the ten years' average.

Unfortunately much of our barley heated in the stack, so that the projected experimental scheme could not be carried out.

Wheat suffered much from the cold spring, the May and June drought, the lack of sunshine in July and the wet harvest; it yielded miserably on our farm though the general average throughout the country was not low.

When we turn from these early sown grain crops to the late sown, late growing, big leaved crops which are not required to produce seed, the picture is much brighter.

Swedes and potatoes both gave record crops; mangolds also gave good yields; on the completely manured plots the yields in tons per acre were :---

	1922	1921	1920	1919	1918
Potatoes .	9	3½	4	$5\frac{1}{2}$	5
Swedes	30.4	Nil	17	9	Nil
Mangolds .	30.35	27.75	28.75	18.17	28.30

We can summarise the effects of the season by saying that vegetative growth was poor during the first part, but remarkably good during the second part, and we are disposed to connect this good growth with the hot dry fallowing of the previous autumn. Seed production, on the other hand, was very adversely affected, indeed few seasons of recent years have brought out so clearly the contrast between the two processes.

The effect of manures was interesting. Nitrogenous fertilisers acted on all crops. The increase produced by 1 cwt. sulphate of ammonia in the field experiments was remarkably close to that normally expected :---

INCREASES PRODUCED BY 1 CWT. SULPHATE OF AMMONIA IN THE FIELD EXPERIMENTS OF 1922.

				Usually	Obtained
				expected	in 1922
Barley				$6\frac{1}{2}$ bush.	$6\frac{1}{4}$ bush.*
Wheat				41,	3.7—5.0 bush.†
Potatoes				$2\overline{0}$ cwt.	20 ewt.
Swedes				20 ,,	20 ,,
* 11.	ling	thu	 08 0	11 control the	volue in El/ hushele

* Taking the mean of all centres the value is 5½ bushels. + For early and late dressings respectively. Phosphates were curiously ineffective in 1922, even on the swede and barley crops where one would have expected them to act well. During the early part of the season the usual effects of stimulation of early growth were produced. Barley and swedes receiving phosphates both started earlier into growth, and the swedes were sooner ready for hoeing than where phosphate was withheld.

Potassic fertilisers, on the other hand, proved very effective. Even barley responded (which does not usually happen at Rothamsted), and the response was as marked as that of nitrogen (which is even more unusual). The effect on potatoes was very marked, especially where no dung was applied, and formed one of the most striking demonstrations of the year. Some of the figures were :---

	Barley	Potatoes (KER	R'S PINK)
	bush.	tons per	acre
		No Dung	Dung
Complete manure	32.6	8.3	9.5
No potash	27.0	2.5	8.0
TT TT (* 1.1)	1 .	3.5 1 11	1 1 1

The Barnfield mangolds were in May badly attacked by a small beetle, *Atomaria linearis*, which seriously affected all plots except those receiving rape cake.

EXPENDITURE AND CASH RETURNS PER ACRE.

The classical fields of the farm are used continuously for their appropriate experiments, but the remaining fields are not. After an experiment is completed the land goes back to ordinary cultivation so as to restore uniformity of conditions as far as possible. Usually about 170 acres are thus farmed. The accounts for this farmed land are kept quite separate from those for the experimental areas, and they show approximately what an ordinary farmer might spend and receive.

The figures are worked out by precisely the same method as in the last report. They include only money paid out or brought in; there are no allowances for interest or farmers' remuneration beyond $\pounds 175$ per annum, which is spread over $178\frac{1}{2}$ acres; also no allowance is made for residual manurial values. Depreciation of horses and dead stock is, however, included.

	EXPENDITURE PER ACRE.	CASH RETURNS PER ACRE.			
	Oct. 1920– Sept. 1921 Sept. 1921	Oct. 1919- Sept. 1921 [•] Oct. 1920- Sept. 1921 [•] Sept. 1921 Sept. 1922			
Wheat Dats Barley Roots Potatoes Grass : Temporary hay	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			

							CASH BALANCE (+) OR DEFICIT (-) PER ACRE.				Е.	
							Oct. 1919-Se	pt. 1920	Oct. 1920	-Sept. 1921	Oct. 1921-5	ept. 1922
Wheat Oats Barley Roots	•		•		•		£ + 5 + 4 + .	s. 5 0 5	$ \begin{array}{c} $	s. 2 8 16 9	$ \begin{array}{r} $	s. 12 1 15 12
Potatoes Clover Grass: P T	: erm `emp	anent orary	hay hay	•	•	•	-31 + . - 1	4 16 11	-29 - 3 - 1	15 5 14	- 2	3
Total far	ming	loss					Profi £410 (176 act	it) (res)	£96 (173	0 - 16 acres)	£308 (140 ac	- 11 cres)

* As stated in the 1918-20 Report, the figures there given include the estimated value of unsold material. The sales are now complete and the final figures are given here. + Carried on from 1921: see p. 56.

From 1920 onwards the financial results are deplorable, and they show clearly why many of the arable farmers to-day are in their present position.

DETAILS OF PLOUGHING COSTS.

COST OF PLOUGHING ONE ACRE OF LAND.

Horses.		Tractor.	
$\frac{1921}{21 \text{ hours } (!) 9_{3}^{4}d. = 1}{\frac{9}{4}}$ Ploughman : $1\frac{1}{2}$ days (!) 8.5 = 1 Implements 3	$ \begin{array}{c} $	$\frac{\begin{array}{c} 1921\\ 3 \text{ hours (@ 4/-} = 12/-\\ \text{Driver . 3 ,, (@ 1/2 - 3/7)\\ Implements & 2/6\\ \hline 18/1 \end{array}}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1922 \\ @ 3/6 = 10/6 \\ @ 10d. = 2/6 \\ 2 - \\ 15/- \end{array} $

Approximate Paraffin and Oil Consumption for Ploughing 3 Furrows.

	Austin	Titan
Paraffin per acre .	2 to 3 gals.:	$3\frac{1}{2}-4\frac{3}{4}$ gals. :
	average $2\frac{1}{2}$	average $4\frac{1}{4}$
per hour:		
approx.	1 gal.	$1\frac{1}{2}$ gals.
Oil per acre	0.06 gals.	.66 gals.
Time to plough one		-
acre about	$2\frac{1}{2}$ hrs.	3 hrs.

The farm manager supplies the following notes on the tractors during the season 1921-22.

	Hours of Work.	Paraffin consumed at above rates.	Oil Consumed.*	Petrol Consumed.
Austin Titan	$\frac{835\frac{1}{2}}{247\frac{1}{2}}$	835½ gals. 371½ ,,	17 gals. 31 ,,	54 gals.
Totals .	$135\frac{1}{2}$ days	1207 gals.	48 gals.	54 gals.

* Calculated at average rates for Austin 1 gal. per wk., Titan 1 gal. per day.

The consumption of paraffin per hour seems to be the most constant factor for purposes of calculating. The difference in the cost of various operations is brought about mainly by the width of the implement used and the speed maintained.

The number of hours exclusive of threshing = 870 or about 109 working days, equivalent to 6,090 horse hours, $2\frac{3}{4}$ horses per annum.

While a horse may put in 280 days' work, a good deal of this is of a maintenance type and not strictly seasonal. The tractor hours probably represent the time put into the important work of the farm by $3\frac{1}{2}$ horses.

Types of work done :	
Ploughing	Roller + harrow.
Sub-soiling.	Roller only.
Cultivating.	Cutting and binding
Drag + harrow.	Threshing.
Overhauling at end of seas	on :
Parts£3 11 8	(supplied free).
Labour . $\pounds 11 0 0$	

WOBURN EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

REPORT FOR 1922 by Dr. J. A. VOELCKER.

SEASON.

Beginning with a warm, dry October 1921, autumn cultivation and sowing made good progress. The winter was marked by little rain and only occasional frosts; it was followed by a cold and sunless spring which retarded the growth of winter-sown crops, and by a very wet April which delayed the sowing of spring crops. The early part of May was cold and wet, the latter hot and dry, this continuing throughout June and making the obtaining of a good swede crop difficult. In July rainfall was excessive, and, from then to harvest, cold and wet weather, with absence of sunshine, prevented the proper ripening of corn crops, all being considerably damaged by rain. Mangolds, being put in early, were an excellent crop, as also Potatoes, but Swedes were almost an entire failure, and Hay, though a fairly large crop, was not of good quality.

The rainfall for the season was 25.41 inches, there being 193 days on which rain fell. The rainfall was heaviest in July (4.02 ins.), and in April (3.89 ins.); in August and September, 2.07 ins. and 2.48 ins. of rain fell.

FIELD EXPERIMENTS, 1922.

1. Continuous Growing of Wheat (Stackyard Field), 46th Season.

"Red Standard" wheat (10 pecks to the acre) was drilled on October 10th, 1921. Farmyard manure (plot 11B) was ploughed in on October 5th, Rape Dust (plot 10B) on October 8th, and mineral manures given to the several plots at the time of drilling the wheat. The nitrogenous top-dressings were put on May 17th and June 17th, 1922.

The wheat crop was cut on August 11th, stacked August 29th, and threshed on December 22nd.

The results are given on page 62.

The crop results were very similar to those of 1920.

The main features shown are: — The unmanured produce averaged 8.5 bushels of corn with 7 cwt. of straw per acre; farmyard manure gave only 2 bushels more per acre, Rape Dust doing

Continuous Growing of Wheat, 1922 (46th Season).

(Wheat grown year after year on the same land, the manures being applied every year.)

Stackyard	Field-Produce	per acre.
-----------	---------------	-----------

		Head	l Corn	Tail corn	C 1 -		
Plot.	Manures per acre.	No. of bushels.	Weight per bushel.	Weight	ch 8	aw. aff, c.	
1 2a	Unmanured	8.9	lb. 59.7	1b. 8	cwt 8	. q. 0	lь. 16
2aa	monia)	1.4	60		1	2	24
	repeated 1909, 1910 and 1911 .	8.8	60	12	8	2	0
2b 2bb	As 2a, with 2 tons lime, Dec., 1897 . As 2b, with 2 tons lime (repeated),	10	60.	2	9	1	26
	Jan, 1905	9.4	60	6	8	0	8
3 a	Nitrate of soda $(=50 \text{ lb. ammonia})$.	13.8	58.2	18	12	2	0
3b 4	Nitrate of soda (=25 lb. ammonia) . Mineral manures (superphosphate, 3	13.4	59.7	10	11	1	12
5a	cwt.; sulphate of potash, $\frac{1}{2}$ cwt.) . Mineral manures and sulphate of am-	77	60	6	9	0	16
	monia (= 25 lb. $ammonia$) .	14 1	61	12	14	1	24
5b 6	As 5a, with 1 ton lime, Jan., 1905 . Mineral manures and nitrate of soda	16.7	61	8	16	3	16
	(=25 lb. ammonia)	14.0	60.2	8	13	2	2
7	Unmanured	8.1	60 7	4	6	2	0
8a	years) sulphate of ammonia (= 50 lb. ammonia)	4.8	60	36	7	2	24
8aa	As 8a, with 10 cwt. lime, Jan, 1905, repeated Jan., 1918	9.9	60	12	10	1	12
00	monia (= 50 lb. ammonia) omitted (in alternate years)	3.8	60		4	2	16
8bb	As 8b, with 10 cwt. lime, Jan., 1905, repeated Jan., 1918	9.9	60	16	11	0	0
9a	Mineral manures and (in alternate years) nitrate of soda (= 50 lb. ammonia)	11.3	59 2	4	11	2	14
9b	Mineral manures, nitrate of soda (= 50 lb. ammonia) omitted (in		37.1				
10a	alternate years)	· 8.0	61.2	6	9	1	0
	(=25 lb. ammonia)	18.3	60	12	16	0	0
10b	Rape dust (=25 lb. ammonia)	13.5	61	8	13	0	24
ila	sola (=25 lb, ammonia)	11.8	60	8	14	3	16
11b	Farmyard manure (=100 lb. am-	10.8	59.7	8	13	2	20
		10.0	0.1				

better (5 bushels increase); the highest crop was 18.3 bushels of corn per acre from superphosphate and nitrate of soda, the next best, 16.7 bushels, being from minerals and sulphate of ammonia, with lime.

Apparently the 10 cwt. per acre of lime applied last in 1918 to plots 8aa, 8bb, was nearly worked out, but the 1 ton per acre (plot 5b) continued to show an influence, as did, to a slight extent still, the 2 tons (plot 2b) given as far back as 1897.

2. Continuous Growing of Barley (Stackyard Field), 46th Season.

Owing to the wet state of the land it was not possible to drill the barley until April 18th, 1922, when "Plumage Archer" (10 pecks per acre), was sown, the mineral manures going on at the same time. Farmyard manure had been previously (March 13th) ploughed in on plot 11B, and Rape Dust (plot 10B) applied on April 12th.

The nitrogenous top-dressings were given on June 17th and July 3rd.

The barley, despite an unfavourable season, grew better than usual; this may in no small measure be due to selected seed being used; indeed, the variety ("Plumage Archer") proved, over the farm generally, to answer considerably better than the other varieties, "Bevan's Archer" and "Chevalier," also grown. The newly-limed plots (3aa and 3bb, limed January, 1921,) seemed, from the outset, to be better than the unlimed. The crop was cut on September 11th, stacked October 11th, and threshed on December 21st.

The results are given on page 64.

The crop was the highest recorded since 1917, the unmanured produce being 13.5 bushels of corn and $9\frac{1}{2}$ cwt. of straw per acre. The highest yield was 38.3 bushels of corn per acre, with farmyard manure; the next highest, 33.8 bushels, with minerals and nitrate of soda. Unlike with wheat, rape dust gave but a poor crop. As in previous years, the use of potash (plot 11a) seemed to benefit the barley more than that of phosphate. The most striking results, however, are those showing the influence of lime. Not only have there been notable increases in plots 2B, 2BB, 5AA, 5B, 8AA, and 8BB, as compared with the corresponding unlimed plots, but, where lime was put on plots previously treated for many years with nitrate of soda, there was a marked restoration of the yield, though the lime had only gone on the year previous. It would appear from this that not only where sulphate of ammonia is used continually is lime a necessity, but that lime will also tell where nitrate of soda has been similarly used.

It should be mentioned that some of the barley area was attacked by "gout-fly," and this was investigated on the spot by Mr. Frew, of the Entomological Department. The plots least affected were the ones most highly manured.

Continuous Growing of Barley, 1922 (46th Season).

(Barley grown year after year on the same land, the manures being applied every year.)

Stackyard Field-Produce per acre.

		Head	Corn	Tail corn	Str	3 137	
Plot	Manures per acre	No. of bushels	Weight per bushel	Weight	cha &d	ff, 2.	
-		14.0	lb.	lb.	cwt. q	r. 1	b.
1	Unmanured	14 9	49.5	19	10	2	18
Za	Suphate of animonia (= 25 10. ani-	4.0	54		2	2	12
222	As 2a with 5 cwt lime Mar 1905	4.9	JT		4	J	14
LICOCO	repeated 1909, 1910, and 1912	6.3	56		5	1	8
2b	As 2a, with 2 tons lime, Dec., 1897,						
	repeated 1912	23.6	48.2	40	13	0	24
2bb	As 2a, with 2 tons lime, Dec., 1897,						
	repeated Mar., 1905	24.0	48.2	40	10	3	24
3a	Nitrate of soda (= 50 lb. ammonia) .	11.4	51	28	6	3	12
Jaa	As 3a, with 2 tons lime, Jan., 1921	23.0	47.2	32	16	0	4
3b	Nitrate of soda (=25 lb. ammonia) .	17.3	48.2	32	8	3	8
3DD	As 3D, with 2 tons lime, Jan., 1921	214	47.5	4+	10	0	16
4a	Mineral manures ¹	18.0	49.7	24	10	3	20
4D	As 4a, with 1 ton lime, 1915	19.3	49.7	50	11	T	10
Ja	mmerar manures and surprise of ammonia)	13.6	50	24	0	1	Q
522	As 52 with 1 top lime Mar 1905	13.0	50	27	9	1	0
Jaa	repeated 1916	28.8	49 7	44	14	1	4
5h	As 5a, with 2 tons lime. Dec. 1897.	40.0				-	
02	repeated 1912	26.9	48.4	42	15	3	0
6	Mineral manures and nitrate of soda						
	(=25 lb. ammonia)	30 0	48.5	46	16	0	9
7	Unmanured	12.6	48.7	20	8	2	12
8a	Mineral manures and (in alternate						
	years) sulphate of ammonia						
	(=50 lb. ammonia)	2.0	50	-	0	3	12
8aa	As 8a, with 2 tons lime, Dec., 1897,					2	
01	repeated 1912	26-2	48.7	56	16	3	10
80	Mineral manures, sulphate of am-						
	monia (= 50 ID. animonia) omitted	1.2	50		1	0	0
8hh	(In alternate years)	1.5	50		1	0	0
000	repeated 1012	177	50.5	24	12	3	0
9a	Mineral manures and (in alternate	17.7	50.5		14	5	U
24	vears) nitrate of soda (= 50 lb.						
	ammonia) .	33.8	47.3	76	19	2	6
9b	Mineral manures, nitrate of soda						
	(=50 lb. ammonia) omitted (in						
	alternate years)	27.3	48.5	34	14	1	18
10a	Superphosphate 3 cwt., nitrate of soda						
	(=25 lb. ammonia) .	25.1	47 -	46	14	1	26
10b	Rape dust (=25 lb. ammonia)	10.8	49	26	7	2	4
11a	Sulphate of potash 1 cwt., nitrate of					2	~ .
	soda (=25 lb. ammonia)	29.1	49 .	44	17	3	24
Пр	Farmyard manure (=100 lb. am-	20.2	10.0	70	10	2	20
	inonia)	38.3	49.0	10	19	4	40

* Superphosphate 3 cwt., sulphate of potash ½ cwt.

3. Rotation Experiments.

THE UNEXHAUSTED MANURIAL VALUE OF CAKE AND CORN

(Stackyard Field).

(a) Series C, 1922. Swedes.

The previous rotation being concluded with wheat (1921) following red clover, swedes were put in as the first crop of the new rotation. The drought towards the end of May and throughout June made the swede crop very uncertain; the seed was drilled on June 18th, mineral manures (superphosphate 3 cwt., sulphate of potash 1 cwt., per acre) being applied shortly before (May 26th). A plant was, with difficulty, obtained, and a small crop, though uniform over the area, was grown. A top-dressing of 1 cwt. per acre nitrate of soda was given after singling. The crop was, later on, fed off with sheep, one half with cake, the other half with corn. (b) Series D, 1922. BARLEY after SWEDES.

The swede crop of 1921 being too small to feed off on the land, it was removed, and barley ("Beaven's Archer") drilled on April 11th, superphosphate 2 cwt. per acre and sulphate of potash 1 cwt. per acre having been applied April 7th. 1 cwt. sulphate of ammonia per acre was given later as a top-dressing. Red clover was sown in the barley on May 22nd. The barley was only a moderate crop and was cut on September 30th. It took a long time to cart, owing to bad weather, but was ultimately stacked October 11th, and was threshed December 16th.

The results follow.

Rotation Experiment—the Unexhausted Manurial Value of Cake and Corn. Series D (STACKYARD FIELD), 1922—Barley after Swedes (carted off).

Plot		Head	corn	Tail corn	Straw,
1101		Bushels.	Weight per Bushel.	Weight.	etc.
1 2	Corn-fed Plot Cake fed Plot	22.3 20.3	1b. 47.5 49	lb. 42 52	cwt. qr. lb. 10 1 24 9 3 3

The yield was poor, and not equal to the manured plots of the continuous barley series in the same field, where, however, "Plumage Archer" had been grown as against "Beaven's Archer" here. Moreover, the yield after feeding of corn was somewhat above that after feeding of cake.

4. Green Manuring Experiments, 1922.

(a) STACKYARD FIELD. Series A.

After the growing of green crops (tares and mustard) in 1921 it was decided to make a change in these plots, the whole area of 4 acres being divided into an upper and a lower half, and a rearrangement made by which, while the alternation of green crop and corn crop was kept up, there should be every year one half in

Е

green crop and the other half in corn. Further, it was decided to limit future enquiry to the two green crops, tares and mustard, both in this field and in Lansome Field, and to omit the third crop, rape.

Accordingly, after the green crops of 1921 had been fed off by sheep, wheat was sown over the lower 2 acres, and green crops again on the upper 2 acres. Wheat ("Red Standard") was drilled on October 12th, and winter tares on 1 acre on October 12th. Mustard followed on the remaining 1 acre on May 27th, 1922.

It was very noticeable that the tares were markedly better on that part of the land where in earlier years (since 1911) rape had been grown, than where tares followed tares; a like difference was seen on the lower half with the wheat crop, this being better on the strip that had carried rape than where tares had been the crop. This would seem to open a question as to whether the repetition of the tares crop had not had an injurious effect.

The wheat, following green crops fed on, made little progress, and was a very disappointing crop. It was cut on August 24th, stacked, and threshed December 22nd.

The results follow.

Green Manuring Experiment (STACKYARD FIELD).

			Head	Corn	Tail Corn	Straw.
Plot		-	Bushels.	Weight per Bushel	Weight	Chaff, etc.
1 2	After Tares fed off . After Mustard fed off	· .	6.9 7. 5	1ь. 60 58.6	lb. 5 6	cwt. qr. lb. 7 3 3 8 2 5

Produce of Wheat per acre, 1922-after Green Crops. Series A.

These poor results are quite unaccountable, especially when it is remembered that on land only a few yards off in the same field the unmanured yield after 46 years was higher than here. Moreover, not only had very fair green crops been grown in 1921, but these had been fed off by sheep which had $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwts. of cotton cake per acre as well. This opens up a whole series of problems in relation to green manuring, and which call for careful investigation.

The tares on the upper half grew well, were fed off by sheep, in July, 1922, receiving $\frac{3}{4}$ cwt. cotton cake per acre, and then a second crop of tares was grown, this being similarly fed off along with cake in October. Mustard, sown on May 27th, was fed off with cotton cake, a second crop then grown and this likewise fed off.

(b) LANSOME FIELD.

Green crops of tares and mustard had been grown on the old plots of this experiment in the summer of 1921, and were ploughed in towards the end of July. The area was then extended by the addition of 3 more $\frac{1}{4}$ -acre plots, one of tares, one of mustard, and the third left as a control plot. To all the plots alike (now 5 in

number) basic slag at the rate of 5 cwt. per acre, and sulphate of potash 1 cwt. per acre, were given on October 14th, 1921, and tares and mustard again sown. These did not come to much, and so the land was cleaned and green crops again put in on June 28th, 1922, when they grew much better; the mustard was ploughed in August 28th and the tares October 16th, wheat then being drilled over the whole area.

5. Malting Barley Experiments.

Experiments were carried out, in conjunction with Rothamsted and other centres, on the influence on yield and quality produced with barley by different manures and combinations of these. The variety of barley supplied was "Plumage Archer."

(a) WARREN FIELD.

The field selected at Woburn was the heaviest one on the farm, the soil being a fairly heavy sandy loam, just on the junction of the Lower Greensand and Oxford Clay formations. Previously the land had grown a crop of mangolds which had had 8 tons per acre of farmyard manure. Five plots of $\frac{1}{4}$ -acre each were marked out, and barley—at the rate of 10 pecks per acre—was drilled on April 19th, 1922. Mineral manures were applied at the time of sowing the seed, in accordance with the plan given below, the nitrogenous top-dressings being applied later, *viz.*, on June 20th.

The crops grew well and showed but small differences until nearing harvest, when, owing to the unfavourable weather, they got somewhat "laid," and ripening was much retarded. Plot 2 (complete artificials) was the least "laid," and plots 3 (no nitrogen) and 4 (no potash) were rather before the others in ripening.

The crops were cut September 9th, 1922, and threshed January 24th, 1923.

The results are given in the following table :---

Malting Barley Experiments (WARREN FIELD), 1922.

Produce of Barley per acre, after Mangolds (manured).

			Head	Corn	Tail Corn	Straw,
Plot	Manures per acre		Bushels	Weight per Bushel	Weight	Chaff, etc.
1	No manure		42.5	1b. 49.9	lb. 54	cwt.q. lb. 28 3 18
2	Complete ArtificialsSuperphosphate3 cwt Sul/Potash ½ cwt. Sul/Ammonia 1 cwt.	:.)	44.7	48.9	65 -	26 3 0
3	\int Superphosphate 3 cwt. Sulphate of Potash $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt.) j	45.0	47.1	66	31 2 10
4	Superphosphate 3 cwt. Sulphate of Ammonia 1 cwt.	}	41.8	48.4	62	29 0 4
5	{ Sulphate of Potash 1½ cwt. Sulphate of Ammonia 1 cwt.	}	39.9	49.1	50	29 0 8

The differences between the plots were but small, and, the unmanured produce itself reaching $42\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre, showed that the land was a good deal richer than had been expected, and that it really needed no more manuring.

(b) GREAT HILL.

Simultaneously with the foregoing, an experiment on an adjoining field of light sandy soil, but entirely on the Lower Greensand formation, was carried out. A light crop of swedes had been fed on this land by sheep, receiving also a little cotton cake. It was desired to see whether mineral superphosphate given in addition proved an advantage to the following barley crop.

Two plots of $\frac{1}{2}$ -acre were marked out, and to one of them superphosphate at the rate of 3 cwt. per acre was given previous to the drilling of barley ("Plumage Archer") on April 25th.

The crop was cut on September 16th, 1922, and threshed on January 24th, 1923.

The results were :---

	•	Head	Corn	Tail Corn	Straw,
Plot	Manures	Bushels	Weight per bushel	Weight	Chaff, etc.
1 2	With Superphosphate Without Superphosphate .	34.6 38.4	lb. 51.5 51.1	lь. 99 69	cwt. q. lb, 16 3 15 17 1 11

Malting Barley Experiments (GREAT HILL), 1922. Produce of Barley per acre, after Swedes fed off by Sheep.

On this lighter soil the crop was lower than on Warren Field, but was by no means a bad one for the land. The straw, however, was much shorter, and only about half the yield of Warren Field. The addition of superphosphate did not appear to have increased the yield either of corn or of straw.

7. Experiments with Potassic Fertilisers (Sulphate and Muriate) on Potatoes.

In 1922, experiments were carried out at Woburn, in common with other centres, for the purpose of testing the respective influence of sulphate of potash and muriate of potash, on the yield, quality, etc., of potatoes. The field selected at Woburn was Lansome Field, and the variety "Kerr's Pink," the seed having been obtained direct from Perthshire.

The soil is a light sandy loam, very suitable for the growth of potatoes. Spraying with *Bouillie Bordelaise* was carried out on September 1st and 2nd, and a second time on September 20th, though there was but little appearance of disease. It was noticed during growth that the plots treated with muriate of potash were lighter in colour than those with sulphate of potash, and also that the tops were bigger where no farmyard manure had been given.

The lifting of the crop began on November 15th when the crops were weighed, and the returns are shown on page 69. In this table the weights are recorded as taken when the crop was lifted, whereas the separation into "ware," "seed," and "diseased" was not made until several months later when the potatoes were actually sold. Owing to difficulties in disposing of

Experiments with Potassic Fertilisers on Potatoes (LANSOME FIELD), 1922.

Produce per acre.

Plot.	Manuring per acre.	W	Kerr's 'eight p	Pink. er ac	re.
	Series A with Farmyard Manure 12 tons.	т.	с.	q.	lb.
1	(Superphosphate 4 cwt.	12	2	0	0
3	Sulph. Ammonia $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt. Sulph. Potash	12	10	1	20
2	(Superphosphate 4 cwt.	13	14	0	16
4	$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} + \text{ equivalent in} \\ \text{Sulph. Ammonia } 1\frac{1}{2} \text{ cwt.} & \text{Muriate of Potash} \end{array} \right\}$	12	1	3	16
	Series B without Farmyard Manure.				
5	(Superphosphate 6 cwt.	13	8	2	12
- 7	Sulph. Ammonia 2 cwt.	13	8	1	24
6	(Superphosphate 6 cwt.	13	13	0	12
8	Sulph. Ammonia 2 cwt. Muriate of Potash	13	19	1	12

the crop, the actual removal from the heaps and sale only began in the middle of March, 1922, and continued till the close of May. Hence a division of the crop into the three sections would give no fair comparison, as the shrinkage in weight owing to storage, sprouting, etc., would vary with the time of keeping.

It may, however, be said that there was, on the average, no difference between sulphate of potash and muriate of potash either in respect of "seed"—which worked out at 7%—or of "diseased" —which did not exceed 1%.

The duplicates, with the exception of plots 2 and 4, agreed very fairly. Muriate of potash gave, on the average, 10 cwt. per acre more yield than did the same amount of potash as sulphate. Also the yield was 1 ton per acre more where, in place of farmyard manure, additional superphosphate and sulphate of ammonia were used.

The crop all round was a splendid one; it gave but few diseased tubers, and, after being pitted, it kept well throughout the winter and right on to May, 1923.

POT-CULTURE EXPERIMENTS, 1922.

Though the transference to Cambridge of the work hitherto done at Woburn under the terms of the Hills' bequest, brought to an end my official connection with this, yet the experience I had derived during a period of 25 years, and the interest I felt in the methods of enquiry pursued, determined me to carry on the experiments so far as I found this possible. Similarly, the many enquiries that had been initiated and were still in progress in connection with the Woburn field experiments rendered it desirable that these, too, should be continued. This I have succeeded in doing, and the present is an account of the work carried on in 1921-22.

I. The Hills' Experiments.

These—if 1 may be allowed still to apply the term to them—embraced in 1922 :—

(a) The action of compounds of Lead on wheat.

(b) The action of Chromium compounds on wheat.

(a) LEAD COMPOUNDS.

In previous work in 1912 (Journal R.A.S.E., 1912, pp. 324-5) it was found that lead salts, when present to the extent of .03% of lead in the soil, exerted no harmful influence in the case of the phosphate, nitrate or carbonate. In 1914 (Journal R.A.S.E., 1914, pp. 312-3) the same salts, but in higher amount (up to .10% of lead), and with the sulphate and chloride additionally tried, similarly failed to show any injurious effect. The subject was then left for a time, but I returned to it now, taking still higher amounts of the metal and using the following compounds of lead, the oxide (litharge), carbonate, sulphate and chloride. The quantities now employed were respectively .25%, .50% and 1% of the metal. The salts were mixed with the whole of the soil in each pot, and each experiment was, as usual, in duplicate, the soil being that from Stackyard Field.

Wheat was sown on December 20th, 1921, and nothing was noticeable with regard to germination except in the case of the lead chloride sets. In these .25% slightly retarded germination, .50% still more so, and 1% very markedly. The full number of plants did not come up in any of these.

The only differences between the crops, and only signs of any toxic influence were with the chloride; with this, .25% did not appear to do any harm, but with .50% there were only one or two weakly plants left, while with 1% the few plants that came up at first died away entirely.

Plate I. shows the appearances very clearly, and the comparative weights in the case of the chloride are given below.

Treat	ment				Corn	Straw
Untreated . Lead Chloride Lead Chloride Lead Chloride	• • •	• • •	•	.25% Lead .50% Lead 1% Lead	100 136.3 	100 116.1

Lead Chloride upon Wheat, 1922.

From this experiment it would result that lead present as chloride in a soil will produce a toxic effect as soon as the quantity exceeds .25% of lead, but that in the forms of the oxide, carbonate and sulphate, no harmful influence is exercised up to 1% of lead.

(b) CHROMIUM COMPOUNDS ON WHEAT.

1.—The experiments of 1920 and 1921 with chromate and bichromate of potash were continued for a third year, the same pots without alteration or addition being used again for a third corn crop which was sown on October 27th, 1921.

By way of recapitulation, it may be said that in the first year



.025%, .01% and .005% of chromium were shown to be fatal to barley, whether chromate or bichromate was used, and that in the second year only the .025% proved still harmful to wheat, any injurious effect from .01% and .005% having passed off. Now in the third year, wheat being again sown, the .025% also lost its ill effect, and exercised, as did the lower amounts, a slightly stimulating influence.

2.—The fresh experiments started in 1921 with chromate and bichromate of potash, and also with chromic acid, were continued in 1922 with a second wheat crop. In 1921 it had been found that .005% of chromium was not a safe amount to use, whether as chromate or bichromate of potash or as chromic acid, but that smaller amounts of .0025% and .001% exercised a decidedly stimulating influence. On continuing, without further additions, for a second wheat crop in 1922, the results showed that a marked increase of crop was obtained from the .005% application (which the year before had been destructive), and a like, but decreasing, benefit from the smaller applications.

Putting together the results of 1 and 2 as here described, the general conclusion is reached that, while .005% of chromium is not a safe amount to have in a soil for the first year of growth of a corn crop, smaller quantities will not prove harmful, but rather stimulating, and that .005%, and even .01%, will lose its injurious effect in a second year, and .025% in a third year, a stimulating influence taking then the place of a previously harmful one.

The changes shown in the first 2 years may be illustrated by the accompanying curves obtained with potassium bichromate.

II. The Relative Effects of Lime and Chalk, 1922.

This experiment, a duplicate, in pot-culture, of the field experiment in Stackyard Field (Series B) started in 1919, was continued for a fourth year, no further additions being given, and wheat being sown again on October 26th, 1921.

Lime, it may be recalled, was given at the rates of 10 cwt., 1 ton, 2 tons, 3 tons, and 4 tons per acre respectively, and chalk to supply the same amounts of lime (CaO). The results obtained were very similar to those of 1920, and in the following table the figures for the 4 years are collected.

	Tr	eatment	:		19 Bai	19 :ley	19 Wł	20 neat	19 Wł	21 neat	19 Wł	22 neat	Avera 4 Ye	age of ears
					Corn	Straw	Corn	Straw	Corn	Straw	Corn	Straw	Corn	Straw
No Lin Lime (ne . (CaO)	10 cwt.	per	acre	100 120	100 116	100 117	100 107	1 0 0 128	100 108	100 98	100 113	100 116	100
**	* *	1 ton 2 tons	**	* *	144 233	165 245	124 131	112 112	161 195	138 150	129 133	118 119	140 173	133 156
No T is	**	3 4	9 B 9 B	9 + 9 +	293	292 314	150 149	132 126	217	151	133 149	119 129	198 215	173 186
Chalk:	=CaO	10 cwt. 1 ton	per	acre	98 113	100	100	96	100	99 101	100	100	100	100
	• •	2 tons 3	**	**	113 124	114 114	116 106	105 107	148 153	123 145	132 111	123 112	127 123	116
• •		4		5.9	106	111	119	92	153	124	119	122	124	112

Lime and Chalk upon Wheat.



With lime—as caustic lime—there was thus a progressive increase as more lime was used, right up to 4 tons per acre, the increase being shown most the first and third years; with chalk, however, though there was a slight increase, it was a much smaller one and not a regularly increasing one with the amount applied. It can, therefore, be hardly maintained that lime and chalk act similarly in the soil, or that it is immaterial whether one or the other be used, so long as the same amount of lime (CaO) is applied. In the present instance the soil was one notably deficient in lime, and here, at all events, the caustic lime has proved markedly more effective. As noted in the last report (Journal R.A.S.E., 1921, pp. 290-1) this experiment raises several important questions, e.g., whether lime retains its causticity longer than is generally believed to be the case, or whether it becomes converted into silicate of lime or other forms in which it continues to have a marked effect. That it does not merely become changed straightway into carbonate of lime (as is generally supposed), and acts in the same way as chalk, would seem to be abundantly disproved by this 4 years' work. Were this the case, there is no reason why the results with chalk should not have been equal to those of caustic lime. As the outcome of this enquiry, I am convinced that the method commonly adopted of estimating the lime requirements of a soil by determining only the amount of lime present as carbonate of lime is incorrect.

III. The Influence of Fluorides on Wheat, 1922 (2nd Year).

The experiments of 1921 were continued for a second year, no further additions being given, but wheat was again sown on October 27th, 1921.

It may be repeated here that the 1921 experiments showed a decidedly stimulating influence exercised by potassium fluoride used in quantity containing .05 and .1% of fluorine respectively, but that with sodium fluoride a complete alteration of the condition of the soil took place, this becoming hard and caked on the surface, very impervious to water, and dark in colour. Further, while the smaller amount of sodium fluoride (.05% fluorine) affected germination and killed a number of the plants, the few that survived grew most vigorously. With the higher amount (.1% fluorine) though a few plants came up, they were all eventually killed off. Potassium fluoride showed none of these changes in the soil, nor harm to the crop.

In the second year the germination with sodium fluoride was hardly affected by the smaller amount (.05% fluorine), but was markedly so with the higher quantity (.10%). Much the same general results were obtained as in 1921, except that the lower quantity of sodium fluoride did not kill off the plants, but produced a stimulating effect on them. The higher amount (.10% fluorine), however, as in 1921, killed everything off.

The appearances are shown in Plate 11, and the comparative results are given in the following table :—



https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-110

					, <u> </u>				
	Tı	reatment.						Corn	Straw
Untreated Calcium fluoride 5 Potassium fluoride Sodium fluoride	cwt. per containi	acre . ng .1 pe .05 .1 .05	er cent.	. F1.		•	•	100 170.3 470 451 292	100 139.5 262 244 202
Calcium-silico-fluo	ride 5 cw	it. per ac	cre	••	•		•	55.4	76

Fluorides on Wheat, 1922.

IV. The Influence of Silicates on Wheat, 1922 (3rd Year).

The experiments of 1920 and 1921 were carried a further stage, no further additions being given, but wheat being sown again in the pots on December 21st, 1921. The previous years had shown calcium silicate to give an increase in the crop as the amount of it was increased, and this up to an application of 4 tons per acre, the increase being more marked the second than the first year. On the other hand, kaolin produced no effect, and magnesium silicate a less marked one than calcium silicate.

The 1922 results were of similar nature, showing a continued benefit from calcium silicate, increasing as more was used, while that of magnesium silicate was, on the whole, less.

The three years' results follow :---

	19	20	19	21	19	122
Ireatment	Corn	Straw	Corn	Straw	Corn	Straw
Untreated	100 113.4 124.4 150.1 111.9 109.5 113.5 83.8 96.5	100 104.1 116.8 139.0 115.1 124.5 135 4 104.3 100.3	100 146 187 226 96 149 172 68.5 ?	100 126 136 159 115 135 139 83 77.5	100 128 150 197 97 168 179 70 76	100 107 117 140 101 110 123 81.5 71
., 4 ,, ,, ,,	103.0	96.8	108	98.5	98	102

Silicates upon Wheat, 1920-2.

From this it would appear to be clearly established that calcium silicate is a far from inactive form of lime, and that this may have a bearing upon the experiments recorded under II. in this section, as regards the relative efficiency of lime and chalk. DATES OF SOWING AND HARVESTING (Harvest 1921).

ting Yield per Acre	/ 23 44 bush. e 23 21 ^{·5} cwt.	r 1 17°0 cwt.	7 25 41°7 bush	7. 5 33 bush	e 15 31.3 cwt.	(6 .)	uend 2 0c (6 .	. 3 22 bush.		. 4 30'2 bush	7. 12 35 ^{.5} bush	. 10 1 1'5tons war	7. 5∫ 1'1 smal	5. 10 see p. 85	 12 90 	š. 11 89	3. 10 see pp. 87 and 88	5. 2 see p. 81	;. 12 79	•	00 000 00
rting Carl	ly 21 July are 21 June	ne 30 July	ly 25 July	g. 5 Aug	ie 15 June	g. 6 Aug	g. 6 Aug	a 2 And	0. 1 · 0	g. 4 Aug	g. 12 Aug	pt. 26 Oct.	t. 30 Nov	g.9 Aug	g. 12 Aug	g. 11 Aug	g. 10 Aug	ov. 15 Dec	g. 12 Aug	• • • •	3
Cutting Ca	uly 14 Jul une 13 Jur	une 28 Jui	uly 15 Jul	uly 29 Au	une 9 Jur	uly 28 Au	aly 30 Au	ulv 26 An	117 07 AT	uly 27 Au	ug. 3 Au	Sel	0c	uly 27 Au	ug. 4 Au	uly 30 Au	uly 28 Au	No	ug. 5 Au	•••••	
Sowing 6 finished.	Oct. 9, '20] Apr. 27, '20]	Apr. 10, '18 J	Oct. 14, '20 J	Mar 14, '21 J	Apr. 26, '20 J	Nov. 11, '20 J	Oct. 23, '20 J	Oct 20, 20 I	Oct. 40, 40 J	Nov. 9, '20]	Mar. 30, '21 A	Apr. 11, '21	Apr. 13, '21	Nov. 5, '20 J	Mar. 9, '21 A	Feb. 21, '21 J	Nov. 5, 20' J	Apr. 27, '21	Feb. 23, '21 A	•	-
Sowing began.	Oct. 6, '20 Apr. 26,'20	Apr. 8, '18	Oct. 9, '20	Mar. 14, '21	Apr. 26, '20	Nov. 9, '20	Oct. 21, '20	Oct 15 120	OCI. 17, 40	Nov. 6, 20	Mar. 11, '21	Apr. 8, '21	Apr. 12, '21	Nov. 4, '20	Mar. 9, '21	Feb. 19, '21	Nov. 5, '20	Apr. 27, '21	Feb. 23, '21		
Variety.	Grey Winter Broad Red) Mixture	Grey Winter	Grey Winter mended with Plumage Archer	Broad Red	{ Red StandardDanish Svalof	Red Standard Swedish Iron	Ded Condend	Ked Standard	Red Standard	Plumage Archer	(Arran Chief	Kerr's Pink	Red Standard	Plumage Archer	Plumage Archer	Red Standard	Prizewinner Yellow Globe	Plumage Archer		
Crop.	Oats	Grass Ley (3rd yr.	Oats	Oats	Clover	Wheat	Wheat		Wheat	Wheat	Barley		r'otatoes	Wheat	Barley	Barley	Wheat	Mangolds	Barley	Pasture	
Field.	Great Knott, east west	Little Knott	Fosters, east	., west	West Barnfield	Long Hoos, east	,, west		Great Harpenden	New Zealand	Stackyard		Sawpit	Broadbalk	Little Hoos		Hoos	Barnfield	Agdell	Great Field	

77

DATES OF SOWING AND HARVESTING (Harvest 1922)

19³ tons. see pp, 94 33 bush. 73 bush. 271 tons see p. 86 48 bush 33 bush 28 bush 90 89 79 95 82 82 per Acre. 18 bush 16 bush and 98 24 bush 8 bush 87 81 11 cwt. 12 cwt. Yield -• Carting finished. 18 0 1223 5 22 30 2226 Sept. 26 16 20 26 Sept. 26 6 10 17 Nov. 14 23 21 \sim -Sept. June Sept. Sept. June Sept. Nov. Sept. Sept. Sept. Nov. Sept. Aug. Oct. July July July Oct. carted Oct. Carting 16 50 9 29 25 10 22 \sim ∞ 12 30 16 Sept. 11 not Sept. 18 Sept. 25 Sept. 26 June 21 21 Sept. 21 4 began. Sept. Sept. June Sept. Sept. June Oct. Nor. July Aug. Sept. Oct, Oct. Sept July Oct. Cutting 0 June 16 12 : : ŝ ŝ 39 5 9 9 26 S began. 29 σ 17 24 •••• 4 23 : 21 22 Sept. June Sept. Sept. June Sept. Sept. une lune lune Sept. Aug. Aug. Aug. Aug. Aug. Aug. Oct. • • • . : : ,18 20 ,20 21 1, '22 ,22 ,22 28, 22 8, 21 1, '22 21 Mar. 25, '22 Mar. 18, '22 21 ,22 Sept. 19, '21 ,21 21 Nov. 11, '21 ,21 : Sowing finished. 27, Apr. 10, Sept. 26, May 12, 29, 26, 24, May 26, 28, 26, 26, 22. Mar. Apr. Apr. May Apr. Oct. Dec. Oct. Oct. Oct. Apr. Apr. ,18 30, '22 ,22 25, '22 20 21 ,22 21 Sept. 24, '21 ,22 '21 21 ,21 Mar. 18, '22 1, '22 ,20 21 21 21 22 Sowing began. 17, 29, 19, Nov. 10, Mar. 25, 26, 2 22 ' 18, 21. 24, ŝ 24, 26, 28, Sept. Mar. May May Mar. May Oct. Apr. Apr. Oct. Apr. Oct. Apr. Oct. Apr. Oct. ··· : : : : : ; : : ; Globe Prizewinner Yellow Globe * • • • • • • ••••• • : • : -• : -• Prizewinner Yellow Variety Grey Winter Oats • Hurst's Monarch Standard ... Red Standard ... Red Standard : Plumage Archer Plumage Archer Plumage Archer Plumage Archer Winter Vetches Red Standard Standard Red Standard Red Standard Grey Winter Kerr's Pink • • 2nd Crop 1st Crop Mixed Red Red S Red Red Red Grass Ley (4th vr.) : : : : • • : : : : : * Vetches and Crop. Oats mixed * * • Potatoes ... • . : • Mangolds Mangolds Barley Swedes Barley Wheat Barlev Wheat Barley Clover Wheat Clover Wheat Wheat Clover Wheat Wheat Oats Hay Hav : : : : : : • • • • • * • west * west Great Harpenden Great Knott, east Long Hoos, east West Barnfield Field. west Foster's, east New Zealand Little Knott Little Hoos Great Field . Broadbalk Stackyard Barnfield Sawpit Sawyers Agdell Hoos Park

CROP YIELDS ON THE EXPERIMENTAL PLOTS

NOTES.—In each case the year refers to the harvest, e.g., Wheat harvested in 1921. In the tables, total straw includes straw, cavings and chaff. In previous reports the figures for total straw only have been given.

CONVERSION TABLE

1 acre =	0 [.] 404 Hectare	0 [.] 963 Feddan.
1 bushel (Imperial) =	0.346 Hectolitre (36.346 litres)	0'184 Ardeb.
1 lb. (pound avoirdupois) =	0 [.] 453 Kilogramme	1'009 Rotls.
1 cwt. (hundredweight) =	50°8 Kilogrammes	(113 [.] 0 Rotls. 1 [.] 366 Maunds
1 metric quintal =	(100 [°] 0 Kilogrammes (220 [°] 46 lb	
1 bushel per acre =	0'9 Hectolitre per Hectare	0 [.] 191 Ardeb per Feddan.
1 lb. per acre =	1.12 Kilogramme per Hectare	1.049 Rotls per Feddan.
1 cwt. per acre =	125.60 Kilogrammes per Hectare or	117'4 Rotls per Feddan.
	1'256 metric Quintals per Hectare	

In America the Winchester bushel is used = 35'236 litres. 1 English bushel = 1'032 American bushels.

CROPS GROWN IN ROTATION. AGDELL FIELD. PRODUCE PER ACRE.

Veen	CROD	C Unma). nured.	Min Mar	A. neral nure.	Com Miner Nitrog Mar	C. oplete cal and genous oure.
rear.	CKOP.	5.	6.	3.	4.	1.	2.
		Fallow.	Clover or Beans.	Fallow.	Clover or Beans.	Fallow.	Clover or Beans.
	AVERAGE OF THE FIR	ST EIG	HTEEN	COURS	ES, 184	8-1919.	
	Roots (Swedes) cwt.* Barley—	33.4	11.8	176.4	191.3	360.7	317.4
	Dressed Grain bush. Total Straw cwt.	23°3 14°1	21 [.] 9 14 [.] 0	24°4 14°3	24 [.] 4 16 [.] 1	33 ⁴ 20 ²	37 [.] 5 22 [.] 9
	Dressed Grain bush. Total Straw cwt. Clover Hay		13°1 9°2 30°7		18°2 13°2 58°6		22·3 15·3 60·2
	Wheat— Dressed Grain bush. Total Straw cwt.	24 [.] 6 23 [.] 9	22 [.] 7 21 [.] 4	29°0 29°1	31 [.] 4 30 [.] 3	30°1 31°8	31 [.] 6 30 [.] 7
	PRESENT	COURS	E (19th)	1920-2	2.	<u>II</u>	
1920	Roots (Swedes) cwt.	20.5	2.1	163 9	270.0	262.1	56.4;
1921	Barley— Dressed Grain bush. Offal Grain lb. Straw lb. Total Straw cwt.	13 ^{.0} 57 ^{.0} 891 ^{.0} 10 ^{.9}	2·4† 42·0 601·0 7·8	12 [.] 8 45 [.] 0 596 [.] 0 7 [.] 9	26°3. 58.0 1124°0 14°2	10 [.] 9 39 0 414 [.] 0 6 [.] 3	25 [.] 7 65 [.] 0 1444 [.] 0 17 [.] 7
	Wght. of Dressed Grain per bush. blb.	55.1	51.0	56.2	56.8	56.4	56.7
	Grain to 100 of Total Straw	63.0	19.0	86.3	97.5	92.2	77.1
1922	Clover Hay cwt. (1 crop only)		4.4		9.7		3.2
	 * Plots 1, 3 and 5 based upon † Plot 6 was more badly atta * The roots on this plot wer In 1920 Rape Cake was on 	n 17 years. Icked by G e badly att nitted from	Plots 2, 4 out Fly th acked by f plots 1 an	and 6 base an the othe inger and t id 2.	ed upon 16 er plots. oe dicease	years. in 1920.	

METEOROLOGICAL RECORDS, 1921 and 1922.

	Ra	.in.	Draina	ge throu	gh soil.			Temper	rature (Mean).	
	Total Fall. Totoo Acre Gauge.	No. of Rainy Days. (0'01 inch or more) Toto Acre Gauge.	20 ins. deep.	40 ins. deep.	60 ins. deep.	Bright Sun- shine.	Max.	Min.	1 ft. in ground.	Solar Max.	Grass Min.
1921 Jan Feb Mar April May June July Aug Sept Oct Dec Total or	Inches. 2'452 0'214 1'065 1'568 1'445 0'194 0'194 0'194 1'113 2'733 0 787 2'435 1'908	No. 18 7 12 10 14 2 5 10 6 8 11 16	Inches. 2'103 0'016 0'005 0'114 0'065 	Inches. 2'202 0'068 0'028 0'120 0'113 0'005 0'003 	Inches. 2'087 0'053 0'028 0'110 0'120 0'009 0'006 0'850 0'796 1'420	Hours. 42.9 77.9 132.1 195.7 228.8 216.0 240.0 145.2 174.0 154.2 68.9 47.3	°F. 48'8 45'2 51'8 55'2 62'0 67'4 76'8 69'2 67'6 63'6 43'9 47'9	°F. 39.7 34.0 36.4 37.3 43.3 47.5 53.4 52.7 49.0 46.4 33.3 36.7	°F. 42.8 39.6 43.0 46.1 53.7 59.1 64.9 61.9 58.4 58.4 58.4 42.6 41.8	°F. 69.7 78.9 99.5 111.1 122.7 125.4 132.1 122.8 114.8 106.6 69.2 67.1	°F. 35 ⁵ 5 27 ⁸ 8 29 ⁶ 6 30 ⁷ 7 36 ⁰ 0 41 ⁶ 6 47 ¹ 1 48 ⁵ 5 43 ⁵ 5 40 ⁵ 5 28 ³ 3 32 ⁸
Mean	16.033	119	5766	5'984	5.479	1723.0	58.3	42.5	50.7	101.7	36.8
1922 Jan. Feb. Mar. April June June July Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	3.148 2.507 2.285 3.520 1.579 1.038 4.605 2.930 2.882 0.764 1.433 3.091	21 16 14 19 7 8 19 16 15 13 8 18	2.811 1.734 1.349 1.458 0.144 1.661 0.675 1.085 0.175 0.813 2.719	2.862 1.718 1.477 1.535 0.224 0.016 1.748 0.698 1.111 0.194 0.854 2.741	2.638 1.612 1.406 1.390 0.235 0.022 1.599 0.651 1.010 0.159 0.751 2.572	53.7 104.9 113.5 149.8 280.2 228.8 149.5 127.3 102.6 140.0 56.8 55.5	43.5 44.9 45.2 48.7 65.4 65.9 63.7 63.2 60.5 52.8 47.0 45.4	32.7 33.6 34.8 34.7 45.0 48.1 49.7 49.2 46.3 40.0 34.7 36.3	38.5 38.2 40.9 41.8 53.1 59.8 57.8 57.9 54.8 48.4 41.5 40.5	65 ^{.7} 76 ^{.1} 89 ^{.8} 105 ^{.7} 120 ^{.8} 121 ^{.6} 120 ^{.4} 117 ^{.8} 110 ^{.2} 99 ^{.7} 71 ^{.3} 66 ^{.6}	28.6 28.6 30.1 29.2 37.2 41.2 43.6 42.8 40.5 33.5 28.4 30.9
Total or Mean	29.782	174	14.624	15 [.] 178	14.045	1562.6	53 [.] 9	40.4	47.8	97 [.] 1	34.6

RAIN AND DRAINAGE. MONTHLY MEAN FOR 52 HARVEST YEARS, 1870-1-1921-2.

	ıfall.	D	rainage		Dra I	linage % Rainfall	6 of	Ev	vaporat	ion.
	Rair	20-in. Gauge	40-in. Gauge	60-in. Gauge	20-in. Gauge	40-in. G au ge	60-in. Gauge	20-in. Gauge	40-in. Gauge	60-in Gauge
	Ins.	Ins.	Ins.	Ins.				Ins.	Ins.	Ins.
September	2.334	0.751	0 [.] 714	0 [.] 655	32.2	30.6	28.1	1.283	1.620	1.679
October	3.123	1.788	1.742	1.617	56 [.] 7	55.2	51.3	1.365	1'411	1.536
November	2.769	2.092	2.127	2.006	75.7	76.8	72.4	0.674	0.642	0.763
December	2.845	2.417	2.205	2.393	84.9	88.0	84.1	0.438	0.340	0.422
January	2.381	1.914	2.096	2.012	80.4	88.0	84.6	0.467	0 285	0.366
February	1.983	1'457	1.528	1.487	73.5	78.6	75.0	0.226	0.422	0.496
March	2.086	1.130	1.264	1.195	54.2	60.6	57.3	0.926	0.855	0 891
April	2.032	0.628	0 [.] 731	0.692	32.4	36.0	34.3	1.374	1.301	1.335
May	2.006	0.461	0.23	0.489	23.0	26.1	24.4	1.545	1.483	1.217
June	2.302	0.22	0.292	0.572	24.8	25.7	24.8	1.735	1.715	1.735
July	2.656	0.685	0.210	0.629	25.8	26.7	24.8	1.971	1.946	1.997
August	2.693	0.725	0.726	0.683	26.9	27.0	25'4	1.968	1.967	2.010
Year	29.245	14.653	15.288	14.468	50.1	52'3	49.5	14.592	13.957	14 777
	<u> </u>		Area of	each ga	uge ioootl	h acre.				

MANGOLDS, BARN FIELD, 1921 and 1922.

Roots since 1856.

Mangolds since 1876.

Produce per Acre.

			Cros	ss Dressing	gs.	
rip.	Strip Manures	Ο.	N.	Α.	A.C.	C.
Str	Surp Manures.	None.	Nitrate of Soda	Ammon. Salts.	Ammon. Salts and Rape Cake.	Rape Cake.
1	1921. Dung only	Tons. (R. 16 [.] 25	Tons. 24 82	Tons. 15 ⁻ 50	Tons. 13 [.] 71	Tons. 17 44
2	Dung, Super., Potash	L. 2'46 R. 22'60 L. 3'42	3 56 31 01 4 99	2°49 25°44 4°95	2.62 25.20 5.33	25 75 4.68
4	Complete Minerals	(R. 6 [.] 07 (L. 1 [.] 11	$ \begin{array}{c} a \\ a \\ b \\ b \\ b \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c$	14 62 3 41	23 27 5 03	16⁻69 3 ⁻ 50
5	Superphosphate only	(R. 5 ' 36 (L. 1'07	12.35 3.14	3.57 1.69	3 19 1 54	4 · 43 1·66
6	Super. and Potash	R. 5.46	4:03	3:54	4.38	3.31
7	Super., Sulphate of Mag.,	[R. 5.74	18.33	13.94	14.37	13 24
	and Sodium Chloride	(L. 1.33)	4·29 7·53	3·20 2·57	4·45 2·87	3°56 1°20
8	None	L. 1'07	3.02	1.63	1.23	1.34
9	Sodium Chloride, Nit. Soda, Sulph. Potash, and Sulph. Mag	{R. 20.15 L. 4.53				
1	1922†. Dung only	{R. 14.90 L. 3.35	18 54 3 98	14 25 3 52	26·37 5·57	26 11 5 46
2	Dung, Super., Potash	$ \begin{cases} R. 18.15 \\ L. 3.51 \end{cases} $	12.46 2.67	9·29 2·20	31 · 55 6 · 34	30·35 5·40
4	Complete Minerals	{ R 3 [·] 32	IL. 0'80	0.24	28 46	21.89
		(L. 0.95	L. 0.83	} 0.52	5.34	3.49
5	Superphosphate only	I.L. 0.66	3.38	0.35	10.53	4.00
6	Super, and Potash	(R. 2.28	3.64	0.62	21.96	19 56
7	Super., Sulphate of Mag.	(L. 0'80 (R. 2.13	1·13 2·65	0.30	5.55	3·73 18·97
	and Sodium Chloride	L. 0.79	0.85	0.33	5.12	3.81
8	None	R. 1.72	0.49	0.40	6 98 2 95	3.13
9	Sodium Chloride, Nit. Soda, Sulph. Potash and Sulph. Mag	$\begin{cases} R. 2.89 \\ L. 1.04 \end{cases}$		0 44	4.55	

R = roots. L = leaves.

* From 1904 onwards plot 4 N has been divided, 4a receiving Sulphate of Potash, Sulphate of Magnesia, Sodium Chloride and Nitrate of Soda; 4b receiving Calcium Chloride, Potassium Nitrate and Calcium Nitrate.

⁺ In 1922 the top dressings of Nitrate of Soda and Sulphate of Ammonia were omitted from plots 4-8 on series N and A as the plant had failed. The plant on Series A, N, O and plot 9, was badly attacked by Atomaria (pigmy mangold beetle),

2	2	
0	4	

						(54	_				_												
	Plot.				~	N.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		T-+ _	- 4-2		5-1	5-2		9	~		~	0	-	10	11-1		11-2
	er	Total.	lb.	2793	2325	2225	1962	2303	1905	993	3729	934	1730		3101	3125	2403	2130	2832	5521	2700 4268	2907	6470	5006 7161
	y Mati er acre	2nd Crop.	lb.	2143	1213	1047	1010	1058	910	839	1924	638	919		1681	1350	1194	1074	2349	1869	2028 1543	1935	2398	2174 2636
2.	DI	lst Crop.	lb.	1255	1112	1178	952	1245	995	154	1805	296	811		1420	1520	1209	1056	483	3652	672	972	4072	2832 4525
192	ay	Total.	cwt.	38.9	33.2	33.5	27.9	33.5	28.1	14.2	20.2	12.8	23.3	1	42.5	38.6	35.2	28.9	41.4	68.7	35.4 51.8	43.7	82.9	74.2 89.6
	d of H er acre.	2nd Crop.	cwt.	2.62	16.5	15.2	13.1	13.9	13.1	11.6	24.8	8.2	12.0		21.6	23.4	16.0	14.1	6.88	21.8	25.5	28.7	27.6	30.1
	Yiel	lst Crop.	cwt.	10.01	1.9.L	18.3	14.8	19.6	15.0		25.9	4.6	11.3		6.07	23.4	10.2	14.8	2.2	46.9	6.6	15.0	55.3	42.3 59.5
	Dry Iatter	acre.	lb.	1474	166	1227	727	1398	1199	1866	2081	1125	1955		2534	2376	1822	1269	3887	4692	3510	5301	5494	5402 5220
1921	Yield of Hay N	acre.	cwt.	18.5	11.4	14.7	8.8	1.21	14.6	23.4	23.7	14.3	21.5		27.9	25.7	0.12	14.0	43.8	52.7	35.2	6.59	64.5	63 ^{.6}
			:	not limed	not limed	limed	f not limed	not limed	Ì limed	not limed	(limed	not limed	not limed	:	not limed	not limed	(not limed	limed	f not limed	limed	not limed	not limed	limed	not limed limed
	Manuring per acre.			Single dressing Amm. Salts (=43 lb. N.); (with Dung also 8 years		Unmanured; (atter Dung 8 years, 1820-03)	Unmanured	C	Superpinospinate of Linne	Superphosphate of Lime and double dressing Amm. Salts	(= 86 lb. N.)	(= 86 lb. N.) 1856-97	(S. half) Super., Sulphate of Potash; following double dressing Amm. Salts (= 86 lb. N.) 1856-97	Complete Mineral Manure as plot 7; following double dressing	Amm. Salts (= 86 lb. N.) 1856-68	Complete Mineral Manure		Mineral Manure without Potash	Complete Mineral Manure and double dressing Amm. Salts	(= 86 lb. N.)	Mineral Manure (without Potash) and double dressing Amm. Salts $(= 86 \text{ lb} \text{ N})$	Complete Mineral Manure and treble dressing Amm. Salts	(=129 lb. N.)	As plot 11-1 and Silicate of Soda
	lot.				(27	ŝ		I-+	4-2	5-1		2-2	9		2		x	6		10	1-1		11-2

1021 1022 THE PARK GRASS PLOTS HAV

12 13	14	15	1	10	17	1		18			19		_	20	
1962 4624 3370	5383 4014	3058	3972	3090	2784	2692	2542	3548	3026	3392	2078	2604	3980	4076	4204
843 1835 1361	1745 1086	1539	1577	1317	1602	1352	2141	2210	1893	1723	1395	1462	1824	1575	1713
1119 2789 2009	3638 2928	1519	2395	1773	1182	1340	401	1338	1133	1669	683	1142	2156	2501	2491
29°8 64°6 45°2	75.2	6.44	52 0 61 2	47.1	45.0	42.6	41.2	51.2	43.4	54.4	32.3	39.5	51.4	52.9	2.95
12°1 23°1 18°5	25.4	22.7	24.2	21.6	22.8	22.2	36.0	32.3	27.1	31.1	23.6	22.9	25 1	21.4	23.1
17 ^{.7} 41 ^{.5} 26 ^{.7}	39.7	22.2	37.0	25.2	22.2	20.4	5.2	18.9	16.3	23.3	8.7	16 6	26'3	31.5	33.6
1355 3408 2994	4348 4061	2218	3061	2432	1590	1629	2349	2682	2746	3040	2128	2218	2468	2382	2842
15 1 37 ^{.6} 34 ^{.3}	52.9	23.6	31.3	26.6	19.1	20.2	25.7	29.7	30.3	33.8	23.3	25.7	27.2	24.7	0.18
t limed t limed ned	ot limed ned	ot limed	mea ot limed	med	ot limed	med	ot limed	med 5788 lb.)	med 3951 lb.)	ot limed	med [3150 lb.)	med (1011)	ot limed	med (772 lb.)	med [570 lb.)
on no lin) lin	n		- ii	ũ	li	u)	II		a		- II	ū	[] · ·	
Unmanured no Dung in 1905, and every fourth year since (omitted in 1917). Fish { no Guano in 1907 and every fourth year since	Complete Mineral Manure and double dressing Nitrate of Soda no (=86 lb N)	Complete Mineral Manure as plot 7; following double dressing and	Nitrate of Soda (= 80 lb, N.) (II) Complete Mineral Manure and single dressing Nitrate of Soda (no	(= 43 lb. N.)	Circle durning Mittanto of Codo (= 42 lb NI)	Single dressing initiate of Soua (= +3 10, 11.)	(n	Potash, Sulphate of Soda, Magnesia, and double dressing Sulphate li of Amm. (= 86 lb. N.) 1905 and since; following Minerals and - ((Amm. Salts, supplying the constituents of 1 ton of Hay, 1865-1904 []		Farmyard Dung in 1905 and every 4th year since (omitted in 1917);	Tollowing initiate of Soua (= $+3$ ID. IN.) and minicials, 10/2-1907 []	Farmward Dung in 1005 and every 4th year since (omitted 1917) : (D	each intervening year, plot 20 receives Sulphate of Potash, 10	Nitrate of Potash and Superphosphate, 1872-1904 ((.

Ground lime was applied to the Southern portion (limed) of the plots at the rate of 2,000 lb. to the acre in the Winter of 1903, 1907, 1915, and at the rate of 2,500 lb. to the acre in the Winter of 1920, except where otherwise stated.

Up to 1914 the limed and unlimed plot results were not separately given in the Annual Report, but the mean of the two was given. From 1915 onwards the separate figures are given.

1st and 2nd Hay Crops, 1922, were carted in very bad condition owing to the wet weather, some s being much wetter than others. The Dry Matter figures give a truer indication of the relative plots being much wetter than others, yields of the different plots.

In 1921 there was no second crop.

83

The Park Grass Plots-contd. BOTANICAL COMPOSITION, PER CENT. 1920 1st Crop.

										_														
Plot.	ŝ		5-1	5-2	2	00		6	10		14		15		16		17	0	10		19		20	
"Other Orders" consist largely of	Centaurea nigra		Centaurea nigra	Luzula campestris (noticeable)	Centaurea nigra Achillea millefolium	Centaurea nigra Plantago lanceolata, Achillea mille-	folium and Centaurea nigra) Rumex acetosa	Rumex acetosa	Rumex acetosa	Kumex acetosa		Taraxacum vulgare	olata	Achillea millefolium, Centaurea nigra)	Achillea millefolium	l araxacum vulgare	Centaurea nigra	Rumex acetosa	Rumex acetosa	Achillea millefolium, Ranunculus spp.)	Centaurea nigra, Kanunculus spp. Ranunculus snp.	Centaurea nigra, Achillea mille-)	folium, Anthriscus sylvestris	Achillea millefolium, Centaurea nigra)
Other. Orders.	27 ^{.06} 38 ^{.14}		25.20	29.62	12.98 28.79	29.25 34.33	3.30	4.97	0.37	3.07	66.0	2.13	00 11	20.84	2.98	10 02	37.26	21.95	12.20	5.63	8.36		0.6	02.8
.seonimugə.l	11.75		1.36	9.64	44.21 28.37	13.80	69.0]	08.0	5.84	11.40	11 17	18.20	1.54	86.0	0.29		0.14	9.38	15.25		4.66	4.51
Gramineæ.	61.20		73.43	60.45	42.82	56.96 48.46	10.96	95.04	99.63	01 66 00	93.16	97:88	10 7/	26.09	92.47	67.73	0/ 23 62.46	78.05	07 10	84.98	/1 4/		86.32	86.80
Liming.	Limed Not limed	-	Not limed	Not limed	Limed Not limed	Limed Not limed	Limed	Not limed	Limed	I imed (sun)	., (shade)	Not limed		Not limed	Limed	T :mod	Not limed	limed 6788 lb.	Not limed	limed 3150 lb.	Not limed		11med 2772 lb.	Not limed
Manuring.	Unmanured	Unmanured, following double Amm.	Salts, 1856-97 Super. and Sulph. Potash following	double Amm. Salts, 1856-97	Complete Mineral Manure	Mineral Manure (without Potash)	Complete Mineral Manure and double	Amm. Salts	Mineral Manure (without Potash) (and double Amm Salts		Complete Mineral Manureand double Nitrate of Soda		As plot 7 following double Nitrate		As plot 7 and single Nitrate of Soda		Single Nitrate of Soda	Potash, Sulphate Soda, Magnesia, and double Sulphate of Amm 1005	and since	Farmyard Dung in 1905 and every	4th year since, omitted in 1917	Farmyard Dung in 1905 and every	4tn year since (omitted 1917), each intervening year Sulnhafe Potash	Super., and Nitrate of Soda
Plot.	ŝ	5-1	5-2		2	00	6		10		+		15		16		17	18		19	_	20		

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</u>.

84

-	Straw.	Total	ju I	00	0.	2.	5	0.	9.	6.	4		5.	2			5.	e.	õ		e.	 `
ł.	Jo no	troporti	4 	54	47	77	79	61	49	46	50	59	34	0 †	33	43	46	41	69	55	53	
	Total	per Acre.	cwt.	31.4	37.5	6.9	6.5	13.5	23.5	30.5	18.1	14.3	15.7	19.8	24.0	19.8	21.3	29.5	6.6	24.7	20.1	
Portion	Straw	per Acre.	lb.	2587	2853	462	484	966	1833	2242	1574	1130	1090	1500	1710	1460	1472	2300	772	2068	1554	ļ
ottom	Offal Grain	per Acre.	lh.	200	229	97	91	138	258	311	135	186	247	237	201	249	197	246	135	210	216	
B	ssed ain.	Weight per Bushel.	Ib.	65.8	0.99	63.3	63.5	64.3	64.8	65.3	63.5	63.5	62.0	63.3	6.29	63.5	64.3	64.8	63.1	64.8	64.1	
	Dres Gra	Yield per Acre.	Bush.	26.2	26.4	0.8	2.2	12.2	16.1	19.8	14.0	12.1	8.5	10.4	1.11	1.11	14.2	17.2	10.1	20'3	15.4	
	ion of n to 100 Straw.	Proport tal Grai f Total 2	oT to	56.4	47.8	78.5	76.4	20.2	46.6	38.1	6.05	9.19	40.3	48.2	48.3	52.4	52.0	48.0	6.22	57.4	5.65	39.1
	Total	per Acre.	cwt.	29.1	37.4	8.7	8.9	17.6	28.8	33.4	20.5	6.41	18.4	23.6	27-8	24.5	29.9	1.+2	2.2	26.7	19.3	20.7
tion.	Straw	Acre.	lb.	2457	2811	712	518	1418	2302	2422	1756	1584	1488	2024	2382	2020	2408	2942	524	2252	1538	1627
op Poi	Offal	per Acre.	Ib.	215	252	103	83	162	232	251	145	184	239	259	205	301	277	248	78	246	244	210
	ed n.	Veight per ushel.	1b.	65.4	64.8	64.0	63.3	64.3	65.3	9.59	64.3	6.29	62.8	63.5	64.4	64.1	64.8	0.59	62.9	64.8	64.1	63.6
	Dress Grai	Vield V per Acre. B	Bush.	24.8	27.0	10.4	6.1	14.9	19.5	6.41	6.51	16.5	9.4	16.0	20.2	17.8	22.6	24.4	9.8	22.8	16'3	10.9
	Ð			:	:	:	:	:	:	:				:		:			in	:	:	ts
			1	:	•	:	:	•	:	•		:	:	2	ush .	nesia.	Minerals	:	ults alone	:	•	Amm. Sal
	nent.			:	:	:	•	:	:	:	la	:	:	ı. Sod	1. Pota	n. Mag	n and	:	ım. Sa	••••	• • •	.) and
	l Treatr			:	:	:	nure	. Salts	n. Salts	. Salts	e of Soc	one	ohate	d Sulpl	d Sulph	d Sulph	Autum	inerals	uble Am	:	:	ut Super
	Ianuria			ure	ure	:	ral Ma	e Amm	ole Amr	le Amm	e Nitrat	Salts al	erphosi	per. an	per. an	per. an	Salts in	and M	or dot	ars	le	e (witho
	N			d Man	rd Man	Ired	e Mine	d Singl	d Doul	d Trebl	d Single	Amm.	nd Sup	nd Su	ud Sul	and Suj	Amm.	Nitrate	s alone,	nate yea	tke alor	Manure
			-	Farmyaı	Farmyaı	Unmanu	Complet	As 5, an	As 5, an	As 5, an	As 5, and	Double.	As 10, a	As 10, a	As 10, a	As 10, a	Double	Double .	Minerals	alteri	Rape Ca	Mineral.
	Plot.		-	2A .	2B	ŝ	5	9	2	~	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17)	18)	19	20

WHEAT. BROADBALK FIELD, 1921.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licen	This work is licensed under	a Creative	Commons	Attribution	4.0	International	Licens
--	-----------------------------	------------	---------	-------------	-----	---------------	--------

		WHEA	T. B	ROA	VDB/	ALK	FIEI	CD,	1922.							
					rop Po	rtion.				B	ottom	Portion			71 y Aver 1852—	ear age 1922.
Plot		Manurial Treatment.	Dress Grair	ed 1.	Offal Grain	Straw	Total	ion of n to 100 Straw.	Dres Gra	sed in.	Offal Grain	Straw	Total Straw	lon of In to 100 Straw.	Dressed Grain	Total Straw
			Yield W per Acre. B	/eight per ushel.	per Acre.	per Acre.	per Acre.	Proporti tal Grai 7 Total	Yield per Acre.	Weight per 3ushel.	per Acre.	per Acre.	per Acre.	Propoté tal Grai f Total	per Acre.	per Acre.
_			Bush.	lb.	lb.	Jh.	cwt.	oT o	Bush.	lb.	lb.	lb.	cwt.	oT	Bush.	cwt.
24	Far	myard Manure	32.9	61.2	241	2204	31.8	63.2	24.7	62.0	727	2010	32.0	0.29	28.4*	32.8*
2H	Far	myard Manure	36.0	61.3	255	2296	35.2	62.4	29.5	61.7	603	2070	35.9	60.2	34.3	34.6
3	Uni	manured	0.6	2.09	98	704	8.8	65'3	6.2	2.09	101	476	9.9	64.8	12.1	6.6
5	Cor	nplete Mineral Manure	10.5	. 1.19	94	820	10'2	64.4	8.3	8.09	106	598	1.6	60.1	13.9	11.7
9	As	5, and Single Amm. Salts	17.3	8.09	132	1386	17.4	60.5	11.7	61.2	132	858	11.7	64.3	22.3	20.7
7	As	5, and Double Amm. Salts	29.0	8.09	246	2290	30.1	9.65	13.1	1.19	470	1702	23.7	47.8	6.08	32.2
00	As	5, and Treble Amm. Salts	25.4	8.09	439	1954	37.4	47.3	16.5	6.65	339	1416	29.4	40.4	35.1	40.2
6	As	5, and Single Nitrate of Soda	24.8	6.85	180	1878	23.4	62.5	13.7	59.2	142	920	14.3	59.4	24.5+	24.7+
10	Dot	uble Amm. Salts alone	9.2	59.4	305	850	15.1	50.3	4.3	58.8	306	634	6.11	41.7	16.1	18.0
11	As	10, and Superphosphate	4.2	57.6	327	974	18.9	26.7	1.3	57.3	189	478	13.3	17.7	21.5	21.7
12	As	10, and Super. and Sulph. Soda	7.4	0.65	371	1114	20.4	35.4	3.3	57.5	307	756	17.6	25.3	27.6	27.2
13	As	10, and Super. and Sulph. Potash	24.4	2.09	232	1968	26'9	6.95	14.5	61.1	300	1456	21.2	49 7	29.8	31.0
14	As	10, and Super. and Sulph. Magnesia	4.7	57.4	318	716	16'4	6.18	9.2	58.0	358	762	19.4	36.8	27.3	27.2
15	Dot	able Amm. Salts in Autumn and Minerals	14.3	60.4	277	1420	23.1	44.0	8 1	60.2	300	1220	20.8	33.8	28.4	28.7
16	Dot	uble Nitrate and Minerals	27.0	2.09	405	2147	33.1	55.2	18.0	61.0	441	1868	31.0	44.2	30.7+	35.8+
17)	Min	nerals alone, or Double Amm. Salts alone in	21.1	59.8	242	1786	23.5	57.2	17.1	59.8	280	1568	22.6	51.4	28.6	28.6
18	aj	lternate years	13.3	6.65	101	995	13.4	2.65	9.4	60.3	259	0/6	14.1	52.1	14.3	12.4
19	Rap	be Cake alone	14.5	6.85	377	1212	21.1	22.0	5.6	58.3	338	1326	20.9	38.0	$22.0 \ddagger$	22.74
20	Min	ieral Manure (without Super.) and Amm. Salts	20.8	60.3	302	1419	21.6	64.3	1	1		1		1	18.6§	19.88
		* 23 years only, 1900-1922. + 38 years only, 1885	-1922.	[‡] 30	years or	ily, 1893-1	922.	\$ 15	years of	ily, 1906-	922 (no	crop in 1	912 and 1	(914).		

RED CLOVER grown year after year on rich Garden Soil, Rothamsted Garden.

Year.	No. of Cuttings.	As Hay.	Dry Matter.	Nitrogen.	Seed Sown.
1921 1922	2 2	1b. 307 2399	lb. 256 1999	^{1b.} 7 61	1921, March 31st, re-sown 1922, May 12th, mended
Averag 25 years, 18 25 years, 18 50 years, 18 15 years, 19 4 years, 19	es: 54—1878 79—1903 54—1903 04—1918 19—1922	7664 3924 5794 2888 2001	6387 3270 4829 2407 1668	179 101 140 70 51	

Hay, Dry Matter, and Nitrogen per Acre, 1921 and 1922.

WHEAT AFTER FALLOW (without Manure 1851, and since).

Hoos Field, 1921 and 1922.

	1921.	1922.	Average 67 years 1856-1922.
Dressed Grain {Yield per Acre—Bushels Weight per Bushel—Ib. Offal Grain per Acre—Ib Straw per Acre—Ib Total Straw per Acre—cwt Proportion of Total Grain to 100 of Total Straw	15°20 64°5 110 1082 13°2 73°5	6.93 60.4 189 686 10.3 52.5	15 [.] 22 59 [.] 6 52 13 [.] 1

AVERAGE WHEAT YIELDS of VARIOUS COUNTRIES

Country.		Mean Yield per Acre 1901-10. Bushels.	Country.	Mean Yield per Acre 1901-10. Bushels.
Great Britain	···· ···	31.6	Denmark	41 ^{·3}
England		31.7	Argentine	10 ^{·6}
Hertfordshire		30.5	Australia	10 ^{·1}
France		20.2	Canada	19 ^{·5}
Germany		29.1	United States	14 ^{·3}
Belgium		35.1	Russia—European	10 ^{·0}

NOTE.-Figures for Great Britain, England and Hertfordshire are taken from the Board of Agriculture's "Agricultural Statistics," Vol. 46. Other figures from "Annuaire International de Statistique Agricole," 1910-12, and converted at the rate of 60 lb. per bushel.

https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-11	0
--------------------------------------	---

	Propor- tion of Total	Grain to 100 of Total Straw.		0.28	78°3 92°0 83°9		94.3	93.5	100.3
	Total	ber Acre.		cwt. 7.4	10.1 11.3 12.6		. 2.6	0.6	8.2
ARLEY.	Sîraw	per Acre.		1b. 588	816 933 1044		795 816	738	681 645
1922. B.	Offal	per Acre.		1b. 73	94 89 95		95 93	70	84 72
	sed in.	Weight per Bush.	4	1b. 49'8	50°0 49°9 50°1	1904 ; 905 ; 915 ;	50'3	50'6 50'6	50.6
	Dres Gra	Yield per Acre.	nd 1903 and 191	Bush. 13.0	15°8 21°6 21°8	; Oats, lover, 1 Oats, 1	17 ^{.4} 17 ^{.8}	17.1	16.6
	Propor- tion of total	Grain to 100 of Total Straw.	y, 1902 a ley. 1913 , 1920.	1.18	73.3 70.2 67.7	902-1903 0, Red C nd 1914; 0.	88°3 76°6	71.2	76.5
	Total	Straw per Acre.	l; Barle 12; Barl Fallow	cwt. 9.1	16.5 24.6 26.8	3arley, 1 ts 6, 8, 1 ty, 1913 a low, 192	15 ³ 20 ³	23.3	23.3
/HEAT.	Straw	per Acre.	1876-190 Oats, 19 1916-19	1b. 752	1365 2061 2196	5-1901; 1 05; Plot 2; Barle 919; Fal	1188 1629	1929 1998	2001 1953
1921. W	Offal	Grain per Acre.	otatoes,)5-1911; Barley,	lb. 147	219 354 276	oes, 1876 ailed), 19 ats, 1912 7, 1916-1	298 343	226 270	232 216
	sed in.	Weight per Bushel.	oing : Pc rley, 190 :s, 1915;	lb. 64°8	65°1 65°2 65°3	;: Potat Peas (f: 1911; O Barley	65°5 65°7	65°5 65°7	65 [.] 8
	Dres Gra	Yield per Acre.	us Cropi 904; Ba Oat	Bush. 10.5	17.4 24.3 26.9	Cropping 9, Cow er, 1906-	18 ^{.6} 21 ^{.3}	24.1	26.9
	Manuring given prior to	.1001	Previo Oats, 1	Unmanured	Unmanured 1882 to 1901, previously Dung only Dung 1883 to 1901 Dung 1883 to 1901	Previous (Plots 5, 7, Red Clov	Ammonium Salts Nitrate of Soda	Ammonium Salts and Mixed Minerals Nitrate of Soda and Mixed Minerals	Superphosphate Mixed Minerals
	:101	¥	-			-	20	N 00	60

	Pla	וכות	aw e.																											
	V orono	-1922.+	Total Stu per Acr	cwt.	6.6	8.8	1.11	0	14.1	5.07	24.0	22.2	15.6*	23.5*	16.8*	23.9*	10.7*	101	20.4*	26.0*	0.06	22.3	6.02	22.9	14.1	28.5	0.8	5.6	18.38	20.48
	70 wears A	1852-1852-	Dressed Grain per Acre:	Bush. 14 O	19.6	15.0	16.7	7 01	24.8	0.46	40.6	6.+6	25.3*	*6.68	25.9*	39.2*	21.6*	01 0 41 · 0*	32.9*	41.5*	3.95	38.8	35.0	38.5	24.0	46.0	15.4	16.3	30.08	\$\$8.55
	-	noi rain of .w.6	Proport of Total G to 100 to 100 Total Str	104.6	88.7	6.12	85.4	TOOT	110.7	0.52	114.2	8.601	6.78	109.7	53.0	9.16	0.10	1.001	6.09	6.18	9.501	6.611	106 9	109.6	1.86	9.44	01.1	70.4	26.3	100.3
1922.		re. Traw	Total Si Per Aci	cwt. 5.7	.6.9	0.8	9.0		r.0	0.11	13.1	14.4	00 50	13.7	12.9	17.1	10.5	14.5	15.4	22.3	13.0	13.6	12.5	15.1	0.6	19.9	4.6	4.6	6.3	12.4
21,]	22.	v.	Strav Der Ac	lb. 396	187	459	608 310	100	402	765	921	1205	517	957	704	1260	108	1100	1161	1342	844	974	960	1152	631	1403	343	314	616	903
d, 19	19	rain re,	Der Ac	lb. 66	74	55	74	5 6	130	114	60	64	109	88	113	93	116	68	111	87	109	74	74	0/	72	99	5	49	95	90
Fiel		Grain.	Weight Weight	lb. 50°7	51.0	49.8	52.0	2 C	1 64	50.5	51.5	21.2	50.3	6.15	20.2	52.4	8.05	52.7	51.1	53.2	52.6	8.15	51.6	2.70	52.1	52.0	51.4	6.64	0.12	0.10
Hoos 3.		Dressed	Yield Per Acre.	Bush. 11.8	16.6	6.11	9.0		5.0C	16.0	30.7	33.0	14.1	9.08	12.8	32.9	1.00	32.0	18.4	36.8	27.1	33.8	27.5	34.2	17.7	31.4	8.1	6.3	13.7	0 07
TS. 1 R ACRI		tion of .wer	Propor of Total G to 100 to 100 Total St	100.4	122.7	101.3	9.66	000	100.1	71.2	92.1	6.06	2.69	105.2	58.7	93.2	6.10	6.56	60.4	6.18	101.5	109.2	93.3	C. 56	85.6	2.92	8.88	87.2	73.2	7.76
PLO'E PE		traw traw	Z latoT per Ac	cwt. 4.6	8.2	7.5	9.5	0.6	16.5	8.6	18.4	13.8	8.2	17.9	6.5	19.7	9.0	19.3	12.1	19.6	12.9	15.0	4.6	0 NT	2.2	20.4	2.2	0.9	2.6	CCT
EY I	21.	ste. M	Strav Per Ac	1b 253	561	440	374	151	1229	547	1411	1023	457	1441	484	1546	600	1430	644	1342	954	1139	633	c/0	394	1509	314	398	490	6/6
RLH	192	rain Sre.	Dffal G Dffal G	95 95	128	114	C21	1 20	396	191	188	85	215	267	157	171	231	243	160	133	189	158	85	c/	107	94	129	129	184	700
r ba		d Grain	Neigh Der Bushel	1b. 55 [.] 8	9.55	56.4	57.6	53.5	54.8	26.0	20.2	6.25	53.3	54.8	54.3	9.95	0.55	55.3	55.6	57.8	54.9	55.7	56.3	T /C	0.95	58.2	55.6	54.0	52.5	+ 00
IENJ		Dressed	Yield Per Acre.	Bush. 7.6	17.9	13.0	10 / 11.2	1.11	27.1	10.6	30.3	22.7	6.4	33.7	8.5	33.2	13.7	33.0	11.8	28.9	23.3	30.1	10.0	1 01	11.0	28.6	6.2	5.8	8.4	H 17
PERMAN							 ohate		mm. Salts	Salts	l Amm. Salts	ım. Salts	••••	Soda	e of Soda	I Nitrate of	ate of Soda	1				ape Cake	Cake	I Mape Cake	g 20 years,	::	:	r furnace	••••	•
		Manurine	0	ured	hosphate only	Salts only	and Superphosi	ninm Salts only	hosphate and A	Salts and Amm.	ete Minerals and	, Super. and An	e of Soda only	and Nitrate of	Salts and Nitrat	ete Minerals and	t 1 AA and Silic	2 AA ,,	3 AA ,,	4 AA ,,	Cake only	hosphate and R	Salts and Rape (nin and and and	ured (after.dung	ard Manure	ured	from Laboratory	s of Soda only	11 11 11 11
	-			Unmat	Supert	Alkali	Potash	Ammo	Superp	Alkali	Compl	Potash	Nitrate	Super.	Alkalı	Soda	As Plo				Rape (Super	Compl	Idmino	Unmai	Farmy	Unmar	Ashes	Nitrate	-
		Plot.		1 0	20		205	1 A	2 A	3 A	4 A	5 A	1 AA	2 AA	3 AA	4 AA	1 AAS	2 AAS	3 AAS	4 AAS	1 C	2 C	n 4)	71	72	61	6-2	NN C	1
					_																						_			

ROTATION PLOTS.

Little Hoos Field, 1921 and 1922.

Arranged to test the RESIDUAL VALUE of VARIOUS MANURES in year of application and one, two, and three years after.

Produce per acre.

		90											
	Pronor-	Total Total Grain to Total Straw.	1.90	[98.9]	105.9	106.7	9.66	9.101	[97.5]	102.0	8.98	96.1	9.46
Barley.		Total Straw per Acre.	cwt. 10.6	[19.2]	17.8	15.3	18.8	13.1	[20.2]	17.3	6.6	11.8	14.5
ason),		Straw per Acre.	lb. 820	1284	1372	1232	1384	1001	1484 1660	1364	696 816	918	1132
9th Se		Offal Grain per Acre.	lb. 63	94	00	75	84	76	97 109	86	95 79	72	86
1922 (1	d Grain.	Weight per Bush.	1b. 51.6	52.9	52.7	53.1	52.7	52.8	52.8 53.2	53.0	51.9	52.5	52.9
	Dresse	Yield per Acre.	Bush. 2019	[38.4]	38.2	32.9	38.1	26.9	[39.7]	35.7	16 ^{.6}	22.8	28.4
	Propor-	tion of Total Grain to 100 of Total Straw.	107.7	107.4	103.8	101.2	107.7	97.3	108.9 106.2	105.8	6.66	96.9	95.4
Barley.		Total Straw per Acre.	cwt. 10:0	19.9	13.5	16.6	21.3	10.4	20.0 16.3	15.7	12.1 16.0	11.2	13.2
cason),		Straw per Acre.	1b. 640	1512 1388	844	1020	1804	688	1628 1236	1196	940 1276	880 1164	1068
18th Se		Offal Grain Per Acre.	lb. 88	76 57	67	85	95	11	66 93	72	118 166	113	102
1921 (ed Grain.	Weight per Bush.	1b. 57·1	58.9 58.9	58.0	57.4	58.2	26.6	58.6 57.4	57.8	56°0	56.0	56.4
	Dresse	Yield per Acre.	Bush. 196	39.4 37 -7	25.9	21.2	42.5	18.8	40.4 32.2	6.08	30.8	19.7	23.2
	•ទ្វប	Year of Last Dressin		1920 1921	1922	CIUI	1920		1921	1915	1920 1921	1922	1919
1			:		:	-	•	:		-	sh {		· (
			:		:		•	•	•		f Pota	····	L L OTAS
		ġ	:		: •		:	•	:		hate o		liate u
		er Acre onward	:		:		:	*	:		; Sulp		dune '
		fanure p m 1919	•	0404	10115		tons	••••	tons		sphate	···	puato
		frc	:	na 16	01 'Sm		ng, 16	•	ng, 16		perphc		her privo
			ol	uU vie	חרד ל דש		fed du	01	fed du		ly; Su	ol Iv · S.,	, y
			Contr	Ordin			Cake	Contr	Cake		Shode	Contr	
		Plot.	A 1	~ ~ ~	4 v	2	B 1	7	υ4 u	((C 1]		5)
		-											

			9	91
85.0 86.9 74.5 78.0	86.6 93.1 79.9 84.2	77.8 96.9 92.5 94.5 81.3	86°3 88°6 88°3 88°3	97.1 94.4 90.8 100.7 85.6
10.7 12.1 19.0 12.2 11.9	1110 1378 1770 1178	13.1 121 128 19 .7 120	14.3 15.1 75.3 20.6 14.6	14.4 15.6 22 .0 151 12.5
732 924 1408 788 824	872 1068 1328 764 824	1048 960 992 1616 892	1076 1228 1228 1292 1696	1148 1292 1844 1252 1020
80 71 87 87 84	83 75 63 63	87 73 68 97 70	96 69 1 03 79	87 82 64 82 82
5 2°5 52°6 53°0 51°8 52°1	50.6 53.6 50.9 53.1	52.5 52.6 52.5 52.6 52.5	53.7 52.8 52.8 53.8 53.8	52.7 53.2 53.8 53.4
17°9 21°0 35°6 17°9 18°4	19.4 25.5 34.8 16.5 19.7	20.3 23.6 23.4 37 .8 19.6	22.6 29.2 36.2 25.5	28'1 29'5 40'3 30'4 20'8
82.75 83.7 83.7	102°5 109°8 97°6 93°3 99°8	78.1 98.5 102.9 89.5 78.7	93°5 90°8 94°1 82°3	11112 91.0 94.9 104.5 90.3
15.4 17.1 11.6 9.9 12.6	13.4 17.3 7.8 9.4 11.9	7.7 11.9 17.8 10.5 11.7	11.6 14.1 13.9 12.6 13.2	14.8 20 .1 13.9 15.2 15.2
1252 1348 888 772 992	1092 1524 588 688 872	476 896 1480 803 896	844 1208 1068 944	1184 1672 1040 1236 1304
118 188 112 722 131	111 152 123 127 134	84 94 177 115 122	115 123 93 91 100	88 150 91 88 106
560 563 545 549 549	55.8 54.7 55.0 55.8 55.9	552.5 553.9 553.0 533.0	54.6 54.6 54.9 54.5 53.8	55:9 55:4 55:4
28°4 27°9 18°7 14°7 19°1	36 1 36 1 131 154 274	10.3 22.1 33.5 17.6 16.4	20.4 24.1 22.9 22.8 22.8 22.8 20.8	30.8 34.1 24.6 30.3 27.8 27.8
1920 1921 1922 	1920 1921 1922 1919 	1920 1921 1922 1919	1920 1921 	1920 1921 1922 1919
Guano; Sulphate of Ammonia; Sulphate of Potash	Rape Dust ; Superphosphate ; Sulphate of Potash Control	Control <t< td=""><td>Bone Meal; Sulphate of Ammonia; Sulphate of Potash Control Bone Meal; Sulphate of Ammonia; Sulphate of Potash</td><td>Basic Slag; Sulphate of Ammonia; Sulphate of Potash</td></t<>	Bone Meal; Sulphate of Ammonia; Sulphate of Potash Control Bone Meal; Sulphate of Ammonia; Sulphate of Potash	Basic Slag; Sulphate of Ammonia; Sulphate of Potash
D 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	E 1 2 2 7 2 1 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1004 m	G 1 5 4 3 2 1	H 1 2 3 5

Notes.—Since 1919 the manure for each plot (except of series A and B) has been rationed at 401b. Nitrogen, 100 1b. Calcium Phosphate, and 50 1b. Potash per acte. Each plot has been supplied with as much of its particular manure (shoddy, guano, &c.) as possible without exceeding the receipt in any of the three rationed ingredients. Any deficit in either of these three has then been made good by adding the necessary quantity of Sulphate of Ammonia, Superphosphate, or Sulphate of Potash. Figures in italics denote unmanured plots. Theyields on the plots to which the manure was applied in a given year are printed in heavy type. Figures in square brackets are estimated yields.

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</u>.

STRAW EXPERIMENT, 1921. Potatoes (Arran Chief). Sawpit Field.

		Yi	eld per Ac	re.
Manure per Acre.		1st Plot	2nd Plot	3rd Plot
8 tons Rotted Straw Manure-Single Nitrog	gen	Tons 2°30	Tons 2.18	Tons 1'96
16 ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,		2.48	2.63	2.16
32 ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,		1.73	2.39	2.39
2 cwt. Sulphate of Ammonia		1.20	1.48	1.13
4 ,, ,, ,,		1.66	1.57	1.48
8 ,, ,, ,,	••• •••	1.22	1.71	1.38
16		1.41	1.55	1.27
8 tons Rotted Straw Manure-Double Nitro	ogen	2.09	2.30	1.86
16		3.32	2.29	2.20
32		2.16	2.68	2.04
Control—No Manure		1.39	1.61	1.41
,, ,, .,		1.52	1.42	1.39

Single Nitrogen represents 1 cwt. Sulphate of Ammonia added to 1 ton of straw. Double Nitrogen represents 2 cwt. Sulphate of Ammonia added to 1 ton of straw.

RESIDUAL VALUE OF SLUDGE, 1921. Long Hoos Field.

											-	
	1	Dresse	d Grain	n.	Offal	Grain	5	Straw p	er Acr	e.	Prop	ortion
Treatment of Plots in 1920.	Yiel Ac Bu	d per re. sh.	We per E	ight Bushel. lb.	per l	Acre.	Str 11	aw. b.	To Str cw	otal aw. vt.	Total to 1 Total	Grain 00 of Straw.
Manure per Acre.	lst Plot.	2nd Plot.	lst Plot.	2nd Plot.	lst Plot.	2nd Plot.	lst Plot.	2nd Plot.	lst Plot.	2nd Plot.	lst Plot.	2nd Plot.
1 921 , Whe	at (Red	Sta	anda	rd)	afte	er Po	otat	oes	(192	?0).*	· · ·
Activated Sewage Sludge, 13'3 tons	29.8	27 [.] 9	64.0	64.1	371	406	2925	2624	32.7	30.8	62 [.] 2	63.6
15 tons Control	34 [.] 8 26 [.] 0	31.6 26.9	64°0 63°3	64 [.] 1 63 [.] 0	296 342	371 325	2461 2299	2600 1997	30 [.] 3 26 [.] 2	29 [.] 7 26 [.] 6	74 [•] 5 67:6	72 ° 0 67°7
1921 , Wh	eat	(Re	d S	tand	lard) aft	er H	Barl	ey (1920)).†	
Sulph. of Ammonia 1'45 cwt Activated Sewage	2	4.1	6	3.0	3	87	273	38	31	. 1	54	ŀ.6
Sludge, 2'7 tons Control Control	3 2 2	0°1 7°2 7°4	6 6 6	3°0 2°5 3°0	3. 4(4.	51 05 35	285 273 233	57 38 33	31 29 30) 4) 4) 3	64 63 63	F1 319 317
* In 1920 this	* In 1920 this set received a basal dressing of 6 cwt. Super. and 1 cwt.											

Nitrate of Ammonia per acre. No manure was given in 1921.

† In 1921 this set was manured as farm, viz., 1 cwt. Sulphate of Ammonia and 1 cwt. Superphosphate per acre.

I NIS WORK IS licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licen</u>	This work is licensed under a	Creative Commons	Attribution 4.0	International	License.
--	-------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	---------------	----------

									93						
	n of ain	of aw.	Plot 3		63 54 60 67		111	6	0		68 66 67 65 65 61 61 61				
	portion tal Gra	al Str	Plot 2		71 61 59 65		58 49 52	53 56 62 62	54 54		70 65 62 62 66 66 66		89 82 82 82 82	86 83 75	
	To	To	Plot 1		76 66 62 71		53 60 60	560 57 58 58	63 53		67 65 65 67 67 67		81 83 73 77	83 77 77	
		w.	Plot 3		40°2 37°9 36°4 33°4				28.1		19.9 17.6 17.9 18.0 21.7 22.1 19.0 20.1 14.7 16.5				
	-	tal Stra cwt.	Plot 2		37 ^{.5} 35 ^{.5} 47 ^{.0} 36 ^{.1}		22°9 25°6 20°7	22.8 24.0 21.9 22.6			$\begin{array}{c} 19^{\circ}2\\ 23^{\circ}0\\ 22^{\circ}8\\ 22^{\circ}8\\ 25^{\circ}2\\ 25^{\circ}2\\ 25^{\circ}3\\ 25^{\circ}3\\ 17^{\circ}4\\ 18^{\circ}5\\ 18^{\circ$	•	18°0 23°0 21°4 23°0	22°5 17°2 22°1	
	r Acre	To	Plot 1		31.8 31.6 37.1 31.1		30°0 27°1 27°2	24.4 21.4 30.4 30.6 31.3	24.0		17.0 19.4 19.2 19.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 15.2 15.6		22.5 21.4 20.5 18.5	21.0 16.3 16.5	
	traw pe		Plot 3		2540 2620 2480 22480			1 .	2540		1650 1475 1475 1375 1375 1800 1725 1475 1525 1225 1350				plots.
	S	traw. lb.	Plot 2		2600 1920 2340 2340		1660 1900 1450	1700 1980 1980 1900			1650 1850 1850 1855 1875 1650 1950 1775 1325 1325 1325		1325 1775 1500	1675 1675 1250	n these cre.
		S	Plot 1	921.	1900 2020 2280 2060	1921.	2350 2060 2080	1960 1750 2660 2620	1780 1320 1830 	922.	1425 1750 1550 1550 1700 1700 1700 1700 1250 1325	922.	1650 1600 1475	1175	rields of t. per A
			Plot 3	eld, 1	460 380 485 420	ield, 1		465	525	eld, 1	400 353 353 353 369 369 369 369 369 369 331 331	00S, 1			e high y
TS.	al Grair	r Acre. lb.	Plot	tt Fi	490 485 590 475	len F	430 443 350	370 415 385 485 405	368	's Fi	391 394 409 409 409 503 503 391 328	ng H	238 287 263 350	356 200 244	ence th
MEN	ÛĤ	pe	Plot . 1	t Kno	420 380 435 410	rpenc	565 540 495	430 355 500 500	365 375 415 	ostei	425 394 372 350 438 350 438 350 378 378	Lo	281 369 256 250	287 287 184 200	Oats; h t. Super
ERIN		Ishel	Plot 3	Grea	43°6 43°0 44°6 43°5	ut Ha		0.89	62.5		60°0 60°0 60°3 60°3 60°3 60°3 60°3 60°3				of the
EXP		t per Bu lb.	Plot 2		43°0 45°0 44°0 44°0 Grea	62°5 62°5 62°5	62.0 62.0 62.5 62.5	61.5 62°5 61°0		60.0 60.0 60.0 60.0 60.0 60.0 60.0 60.0		51.8 51.6 51.3 51.3	52°3 52°3 51°3	sowing	
NG I	Grain	Weigh	Plot 1	44.1 43.3 43.6 44.0 43.6	62°5 62°5 62°5	62°5 62°5 62°5 62°5	62°5 63°5 62°5		59.5 59.5 59.5 60.0 59.8 60.0 59.8 60.0 59.8		51'3 51'8 51'0 51'0	51.8 51.0 52.0	s to the basal di		
SSIN	Dressed	cre	Plot 3		54.7 44.2 44.0 47.9			93:56	22.0	+	18.5 15.8 16.4 16.4 16.4 18.5 116.4 116.4 113.2 111.9 113.2	r).			previou
RE		per Austrels.	Plot 2	*. (57.4 43.6 57.3 48.6	ard).	16'9 15'4 13'8	15.7 16.9 16.1 17.4	17.6 17.6 12.2 16.6	ard).	18.4 21.3 21.9 19.6 21.2 21.2 20.8 18.4 17.9 15.0 15.0	rchei	30°1 35°3 30°4	34.7 34.7 31.2 31.2	ned in
P L		Yield Bu	Plot 1	Vinter	52.1 45.0 48.4 47.1	Stand	19.4 20.3 21.3	17.7 15.2 23.1 23.8 23.8	17.1 13.5 19.0	Stand	14.4 118.3 117.2 117.8 119.7 119.7 119.4 113.4 113.4	A age	34·5 31·2 27·7	23.6 23.6 23.6	s ploug
TC	****			rey W	· · · · ·	Red	::	· · · · ·	: : : : :	Red :	:::::::::	Pluma	: : :	: : : :	tard wa
		Treatment of Plots and Quantities per Acre.		Oats (Gr	Super. 1 [§] cwt Sul./Amm. 1 [§] cwt., applied March 4 Super. 1 [§] cwt.; Sul./Amm. 1 [§] cwt., applied March 4 Super. 1 [§] cwt.; Mur./Amm. 144 lb., applied March 4 No Manure	Wheat (Super. 200 lb.; Sul./Amm. 100 lb., applied March 2-3 Super. 200 lb.; Sul./Amm. 200 lb., applied March 2-3 Super. 200 lb.; Sul./Amm. 100 lb., applied March 2-3	and Sull/Amm. 100 lb., applied May 2 Super. 200 lb.; Mur./Amm. 86 lb., applied March 2-3 Super. 200 lb.; N./Soda 140 lb., applied March 2-3 Super. 200 lb.; Sull/Amm. 100 lb., applied May 2 Super. 200 lb.; Sull/Amm. 200 lb., applied May 2	Super. 200 lb.; Sul./Amm. 100 lb., applied April 4 Super. 200 lb.; Sul./Amm. 100 lb., applied April 4 No Manure Super. 200 lb	Wheat (cwt. Sul./Amm. applied March 18 cwt. Sul./Amm., applied April 20 cwt. Sul./Amm., applied May 18 cwt. Sul./Amm., applied March 18 180 hb. Mur./Amm., applied March 18 cwt. Sul./Amm., applied May 18 	Barley (F	2 cwt. Super.; 1 cwt. Sul./Amm., applied May 9 2 cwt. Super.; 2 cwt. Sul./Amm., applied May 9 2 cwt. Super.; 98 lb. Mur./Amm., applied May 9	2 cwt. Super., 1 cwt. Mut./Amm., appueu May 2 2 cwt. Super.; 51 <u>3</u> lb. Urea, applied May 9 2 cwt. Super	* A luxuriant crop of must + All nlots (excent

Top Dressing Experiments—contd.Root Crops.Great Harpenden Field, 1922.

	Yield pe	r Acre.
Manuring per Acre.	1st Plot.	2nd Plot.
(Tons.	Tons.
Potatoes (Kerr's Pink).		
Dunged Series: 15 tons Farmyard Dung per Acre-		
Super. 4 cwt., Sul./Pot. $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt	6.73	5.41
Super. 4 cwt., Sul./Pot. 13 cwt., Sul./Amm. 3 cwt.		
(half as Top Dressing)	7.92	9.17
Super. 4 cwt., Sul./Pot. $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt., Sul./Amm. $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt	7.91	8.06
Super. 4 cwt., Sul./Pot. $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt., Sul. Amm. $4\frac{1}{2}$ cwt.	10:54	0.62
Super. 4 cwt., Sul./Pot. 1 ¹ / ₂ cwt., Sul./Amm. 3 cwt	10.08	0.37
Super 4 cwt Sul /Pot 14 cwt Mur /Amm 290 lb	10.66	10.74
Super. 1 ewt., Sut./1 ot. 12 ewt., Mut./Amm. 200 lb.	10 00	10 / 1
Undunged Series:		
Super. 6 cwt., Sul./Pot. 2 cwt	6.10	4.90
Super. 6 cwt., Sul./Pot. 2 cwt., Sul./Amm. 3 cwt. (half as Top Dressing)	7:99	7.80
Super, 6 cwt., Sul./Pot. 2 cwt., Sul./Amm. 12 cwt	6.98	7.75
Super, 6 cwt., Sul/Pot. 2 cwt., Sul/Amm, 4 cwt.	0.20	, , , ,
$(1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt. as Top Dressing)	9.60	8.36
Super. 6 cwt Sul./Pot. 2 cwt., Sul./Amm. 3 cwt	8.72	9.22
Super. 6 cwt., Sul./Pot. 2 cwt., Mur./Amm. 290 lb	9 21	8 ⁻ 50
Swedes (Hurst's Monarc	h).	
589 lb Slag * 1 cwt Sul /Pot	25 [.] 13	28 [.] 24
	3.04	4.29
589 lb. Slag,* 1 cwt. Sul./Pot., 2 cwt. Sul./Amm. (R	27.48	30 [.] 65
(as Top Dressing)	3.82	. 4.87
580 lb Slag * 1 cwt Sul /Pot 10 tons Farmword /P	28.75	39.37
Dung	4:00	4:10
. (L	4 44	414
589 lb. Slag,* 1 cwt. Sul./Pot., 10 tons Farmyard (R)	32.61	32.43
L ang, 4 out outprimin. (as rop Dressing) (L	4.60	4.71

* Equivalent to 5 cwt. Super.

R = Roots.

L = Leaves.

0	21
У	
-	-

SLAG EXPERIMENTS

(Details of the Slags used are given on p. 97.)

			1921			19	22	
No. of Plot.	Treatment of Plot and Quantities per Acre.	Yield per Acr cwt.	e. Dry per	Matter Acre.	Yie per A cw	eld cre. vt.	Dry M per A lb	latte .cre.
		Series Se	ries Serie B A	s Series B	Series A	Series B	Series A	Ser I
	Hay. Great F	Tield,	1921	and	1922			
1	High Grade Slag No. 12, 1170 lb	23.6 24	9 1981	2108	17.9	16.8	1154	113
2	Open Hearth Slag No. 13, 1925 lb. (High Soluble)	20.1 27	8 1669	2262	13.2	20 [.] 0	876	135
3	Open Hearth Slag No. 14, 1930 lb. (Low Soluble)	25.5 27	.5 2024	2304	15.8	26.4	1064	16
4	Gafsa Phosphate, 750 lb	25.7 25	1 2016	2149	19 [.] 1	26 [.] 0	1268	16
0		4 Die	1.1 10	01 01	nd 1	000	1110	1150
1	Hay. Little Knot	tt Fie	10, 19	21 a		922	1	
3	No. 15, 536 lb Low Grade, High Soluble Slag	13.9	13	342	14	• 3	11	39
4	No. 16, 1113 lb	16 8	10	502	17	1.1	13	378
6	High Soluble Slag No. 17, 522 10	15.7	1.	508	15	5.0	11	17
7	Low Soluble Slag No. 19, 1104 lb. Control No Manure	14.5	1.	386 509	14	*8 *8	11	84 808
5	Control. No Manure	16.1	1.	542	15	5.4	12	233
	Hay. Little	Knot	t Fiel	d, 19	922			
1	High Grade, High Soluble Slag No	. 15, 536	b lb.		13	5	10)47
4	High Grade Slag No. 17, 522 lb.		, iD.		12	18	10)07
6	High Soluble Slag No, 18, 1113 lb.	•••			13	2	10)20
2	Control. No Manure.		•••	•••	13	12	10)70)86
5	Control. No Manure				13	.8	10)83
8	Gafsa Phosphate, 422 lb	• •••	•••		22	.6	18	367
91	Have Cr			022	1 20	.9	1 10	040
HL 1	High Soluble Low Grade Slag N	o 1 87	$\frac{1}{2}$ lb	.944	11	6.7	11	13
9	High Soluble, Low Grade Slag N	0. 1, 87	2 lb		. 2	9.1	17	41
3	B Low Soluble, Low Grade Slag No	0. 2, 872	lb	•••	. 1	7.7	11	.97
4	5 Low Soluble, Low Grade Slag No 4° Gafsa Phosphate 347 lb	5. 2, 122	5 ID	•••	$\cdot 2 \\ 2$	3°0 0°4	16	000
1	7 Gafsa Phosphate 174 lb, Low S	oluble,	Low Gr	ade	• 4	01	1.1	199
	Slag No. 2, 612 lb		•• •••		. 2	2.3	14	99
2	2 Control. No Manure	••••	•• •••	• ••	. 1	2.7	11	.19 324
- 8	3 , , , , ,	••••			2	2.6	15	534
	Hay. Gro	eat Fi	ield, 1	922				
1C	High Soluble Slag No. 1, 872 lb	• •••	•••		16	5	10	95
3C	Gafsa Phosphate, 347 lb.	• •••	•••	•••	18	.8	12	284
4C	Tunisian Phosphate, 336 lb				16	0	10	86
5C	Florida Phosphate, 292 lb	• •••	•••	•••	15	.8	10	42
7D	mati u i nospitate, 205 ID.	• •••	•••		15	•5	10	20 85
8C	Nauru Phosphate Low Grade Slag	No. 8, 4	11 lb.		15	•4	10)12
8D	Control No Monune	1.1	2.8	•••	20	.0	12	87
D	u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u	• •••	•••	•••	10	.7	10	50

.

						_			192	1						1922		
No. of	Treatment of Plots and Q	uantit	ies per	Acre.			Yield p	er Acre		Dry M	atter per	Acre.	Y	ield per	Acre.	Dr	y Matter	t per Ac
Plot.						S	eries A	Ser	ies	Series	<i></i>	eries B	Ser	ies	Series B	Ň	eries A	Seri B
-0.004	High Grade Slag No. 12, 1170 l Open Hearth, High Soluble Sla Open Hearth, Low Soluble Slag Gafsa Phosnhate, 750 lb	b. g No.	13, 19 14, 19	 25 lb. 30 lb.	: : : :		ewt. 10.8 13.0 12.4	40 38 40 30 30	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	1b. 3521 3629 3720		11. 3567 3567 3567	10 cv		cwt. 16'1 13'7 15'6 18'2		lb. 941 644 679	11 13 13 13
00	No Manure	: : :		: : :	• • •	4	13.6	65 8.1	- 4	3812	3563	3593	16	.3	18.3		1486	90 16 90
	. Ba	rley	(Plu	amag	ge A	rche	cr).	Lo	ng F	Ioos	Fiel	d, 19	322.					
			Π	Tesse	d Grai	n.			ffal Gr	ain		S	traw p	er Acr	.e.	-	Prope	ortion
	Treatment of Plots.	Yi	eld per u Bushe	Acre ls.	Weig	ht per in lb.	Bushel	H	ber Aci Ib.	.e.		Straw. Ib.		To	tal Stra cwt.		Total 1(Tota]	Grain 00 of 1 Stray
		Slag No. 20	Slaf No. 2.	Slag No. 1.	Slag No. 20.	Slag No. 2.	Slag No. 1.	Slag No.20	Slag No. 2.	Slag No. 1.	Slag No.20.	Slag No. 2.	Slag No. 1.	Slag No. 20.	Slag No. 2.	Slag. No. 1.	Slag Slag Slag	Slag Io. 2.
Basal Basal Gaf	Manuring, Slag, full quantity { Manuring, Slag, half quantity } sa Phosphate, 87 lb	36°0 35°1 29°9 26°2	26.0 31.7 25.5 36.4	28°7 25°5 32°5 29°2	51 .3 52 .8 51 .9 51 .3	51.4 52.0 51.8 51.4	.51.8 51.0 51.5 51.3	197 200 194 163	162 172 169 181	231 213 241 200	1375 1375 1375 1238 *875	$ \begin{array}{c} 1175\\ 1238\\ 1113\\ 1500\\ 1500 \end{array} $	1250 1238 1438 1275	18°5 19 3 18°5 *11°7	16'9 18'0 19'6	19°3 19°1 20°3 18.3 *	99 95 84 115	80 91 79 93
Basal 174 Basal No M:	Manuring, Gafsa Phosphate,) lb Mauuring only	34.8 25.7 30.1	25 ² 33 ⁷ 24 ⁷	27.5 34 1 27.0	51.6 52.0 51.8	52 ^{.3} 52 ^{.0} 50 ^{.8}	52°0 51°5 51°1	231 178 188	162 178 203	20) 203 228	1488 1063 1363	1088 1363 1100	1213 1388 1263	19 ^{.6} 15 ^{.8} 19 ^{.2}	16 ^{.5} 18 ^{.3} 15 ^{.9}	18°2 18°9 18°8	92 85 81	80 94 82

96

Slag Experiments—contd.

Swedes (Hurst's Monarch) Produce per Acre. Great Harpenden Field, 1922.

Manuring per Acre.		Roots.			Leaves.	
9	Slag No. 20.	Slag No. 2.	Slag No. 1.	Slag No. 20.	Slag No. 2.	Slag No. 1.
Sulphate Ammonia 2 aut Sulphate	Tons.	Tons.	Tons.	Tons.	Tons.	Tons.
Potash 1 cwt., Slag full quantity Sulphate Ammonia 2 cwt., Sulphate	25.92	27.92	30.40	4.89	3.82	4.16
l'otash 1 cwt., Slag full quantity Sulphate Ammonia 2 cwt. Sulphate	32.08	30.31	30.40	4.01	5.04	4.20
Potash 1 cwt., Slag half quantity, Gafsa Phosphate, 175 lb Sulphate Ammonia 2 cwt., Sulphate	27 [.] 19	28 [.] 04	31.88	4.18	3.23	4 [.] 10
Potash 1 cwt., Slag half quantity, Gafsa Phosphate, 175 lb	28 [.] 21	29.78	28.82	4.28	4.16	4.27
Sulphate Ammonia 2 cwt Sulphate		1		1		
Potash 1 cwt., No. 7 Nauru Phos- phate, 262½ lb Sulphate Ammonia 2 cwt., Sulphate	30.96	26.43	26 °50	4.49	4.00	3.98
phate, 350 lb	27.83	31.12	28.46	3.95	4.28	4.66
Sulphate Ammonia 2 cwt., Sulphate Potash 1 cwt No Manure	27 [.] 21 25 [.] 67	31 [.] 45 27 [.] 23	25 [.] 74 22 [.] 70	4·16 3·54	5°02 3°67	3 [.] 99 3 [.] 19

Noтe.—"Full Quantity" Slag is No. 20, 1275 lb. per Acre. No. 2, 1225,,,,,, No. 1, 875,,,,,,

Description of Slags Used.

No.	Type.		Total Phosphate as $Ca_3 (PO_4)_2$	Solubility %
1	Open Hearth, L.G., H.S.	 	25.0	90.4
2	,, ,, L.G., L.S.	 	18.0	35.7
8	Phosphate, Slag Mixture	 	53.1	25.5
12	Talbot Process, H.G., H.S.	 	37.3	80.7
13	Open Hearth, L.G., H.S.	 	22.7	91.5
14	,, ,, L.G., L.S.	 	22.6	29.0
15 .	Talbot Process, H.G., H.S.	 	40.0	72.5
16	Open Hearth, L.G., H.S.	 	21.3	88.3
17	Bessemer, H.G., H.S.	 	42.5	77.2
18	Open Hearth, L.G., H.S.	 	20.8	67.0
19	,, ,, L.G., L.S.	 	20.2	21.0
20	,, ,, L.G., H.S.	 	17.2	78.8

 $L.G. = Low Grade. \quad L.S. = Low Soluble. \\ H.G. = High Grade. \quad H.S. = High Soluble.$

POTASH EXPERIMENTS.

		Dry M	latter pe	r Acre.	Yi	eld per a	cre.
Manuring per Acre.		lst Plot	2nd Plot	3rd Plot	lst Plot	2nd Plot	3rd Plot
Clover. West I	Bari	n Fie	eld, 1	922.	87 7 8 autor		
Control Sulphate of Potash, 210 lb Cement Works' Dust, 511 lb		lb. 1369 1533 1381	lь. 1273 1929 1710	lb. 1507 2123 1729	cwt. 15 [•] 2 18 [•] 6 17 [•] 5	cwt. 15 [.] 7 25 [.] 0 21 [.] 8	cwt. 18 [°] 6 26 [°] 4 21 [°] 4
Potatoes (Arran Chief).	Sawj	pit F	ield,	1921	•	
3 cwt. Super., 1 ¹ / ₂ cwt. Sulphate Ammonia, 47 3 cwt. Super., 1 ¹ / ₂ cwt. Sulphate Ammonia, 3 cwt. Super., 1 ¹ / ₂ cwt. Sulphate Ammonia, 1	70 lb. $\frac{1}{2}$ cw	Sylver t. Sulp	nite hate P	 otash	Tons. 3°57 3°55 3°67	Tons. *3 [·] 15 *3 [·] 18 4 [·] 27	Tons. 3 [.] 71 3 [.] 72 3 [.] 88
95 lb. Sulphate Magnesium No Manure. Control 3 cwt. Super., $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt. Sul. Amm., $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt. Mu 3 cwt. Super., $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt. Sul. Amm., $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt. Mu	uriate	e Potash	h	 . Sul.	*3·07 *2·28 *2·31	3·92 3·48 4·24	3 [.] 87 3 [.] 18 3 [.] 97
Magnesium		- • •	•••		*2.43	3.90	4.12
Withou	t Dur	ng.				1	
4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sul. Amm., 625 lb. Syl- 4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sul. Amm., 2 cwt. Sul. 4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sul. Amm., 2 cwt. Sul. 4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sul. Amm., 2 cwt. Sul. No Manure. Control 4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sul. Amm., 2 cwt. Mur. 4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sul. Amm., 2 cwt. Mur. 4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sul. Amm., 2 cwt. Mur. 4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sul. Amm., 2 cwt. Mur. 4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sul. Amm., 2 cwt. Mur.	venito Pota . Pot . Pot iate I iate I	e nsh ., 127 ll Potash Potash,	b. Sul.	 Mag. . Sul.	3.49 1.43 3.48 3.85 1.24 4.15	4.04 1.48 4.28 4.26 1.72 4.20	3.11 1.15 3.52 3.25 1.65 4.00
Magnesium	•••	•••	•••	••••	4.27	3.95	3.63
Potatoes (Arran Chief)).	Sawp	oit F	ield,	1921	•	
4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sulphate Ammonia, 232 4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sulphate Ammonia 4 cwt. Super., 2 cwt. Sulphate Ammonia, 5 [•] 4 Control. No Manure	2 lb. cwt	Sul. Po Sylve	otash nite 	···· ··· ···	3.00 1.16 *1.93 *0.73	2 [.] 46 0 [.] 98 3 [.] 36 1 10	2 [.] 82 0 [.] 89 3 [.] 04 1 [.] 16
Potatoes (Kerr's Pink). Gr With Dung 15	reat	Har per Acr	penc e.	len F	`ield,	1922	
Basal Manuring (=Super. 4 cwt., Sul. Amm. Sulphate Potash 183 lb. + Basal Manuring Muriate Potash 148 lb. + Basal Manuring Muriate Potash 148 lb. + Salt 497 lb. + Basa	1.5 c al Ma	cwt. pe anuring	r Acre))	8 [.] 78 9 [.] 49 9 [.] 22 9 [.] 84	7·72 9·72 9·60 9·49	7.60 9.45 8.82 9.14
Withou	t Dui	lg.					
Basal (= Super. 6 cwt., Sulphate Ammonia 2 Sulphate Potash 244 lb. + Basal Muriate Potash 197 lb. + Basal Muriate Potash 197 lb. + Salt 662 lb. + Basa Muriate Potash 197 lb. Sulphate Magnesium, Muriate Potash 197 lb. Salt 662 lb. + Basal No Manure Sulphate Potash 244 lb. Sulphate Magnesium Cement Works' Dust 614 lb. + Basal Sylvenite 541 lb. + Basal	cwt. sal , 344 1 344 	per Ac	Basal Basal Basal	···· ···· ··· ···	2.11 7.88 8.62 8.65 8.66 3.23 9.25 7.47 8.38	2:75 8:96 8:73 8:27 8:90 8:02 2:87 8:79 6:66 7:92	2 57 8 06 7 62 8 43 7 62 7 51 2 83 7 11 6 38 6 90
On these plots the bouts were badly broken down due Mangolds (Prizewin Great Harpend	to ext ner en	Yell Field	s on acc OW (1, 19 2	ount of t Globe 22.	he grown	th of Wh	eatbind
Produce p	per A	cre.	1 .	Post-			-
Manusing per Acre				coots.		Leav	es.

					KO	ots.	Lea	ives.
	Manuring p	er Acre.			1st Plot Tons.	2nd Plot Tons.	1si Plot Tons.	2nd Plot Tons.
No. 9 Slag 4 cw	t., Sulphate	Ammonia 2	2 cwt.,	Sul-				
phate Potash 2	cwt	••• •••			17.64	14.12	5.57	5.13
No. 9 Slag 4 cwt.,	Sulphate Am	monia 2 cwt			10.45	11.01	4.73	4.94
No. 9 Slag 4 cwt.	, Sulphate A	mmonia 2 c	wt., Ce	ment				
Works' Dust		•••			18.75	18.25	5.61	5.96
No Manure					10	88	4.	25

https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-110

POTATOES.

Relative Effects of Sulphates and Chlorides on different varieties. Great Harpenden Field, 1922.

the second second		Di	inged	Serie	s.			Un	dunge	ed Ser	ies.	
Variety.	Actu P	al We of otatoe	eight es.	A V pe	verag Veigh r Plai	e t nt.	Actu P	al Wo of otatoe	eight es.	A V pe	verag Veigh er Pla	ge t nt.
	Sulphate Row.	Chloride Row.	Basal Row.	Sulphate Row.	Chloride Row.	Basal Row.	Sulphate Row.	Chloride Row.	Basal Row.	Sulphate Row.	Chloride Row.	Basal Row.
	<u>l. 1b.</u>	lb.	<u>lb.</u>	lb.	lb.	lb.	<u> 1b.</u>	lb,	<u>lb.</u>	<u>lb.</u>	l 1b.	<u>lb.</u>
Ajax{	16 24 27	$12\frac{3}{4}$ $21\frac{1}{4}$ $16\frac{1}{2}$	19 3 12 <u>1</u> 33	3·20 4·00 3·86	2 [.] 55 3 [.] 04 4 [.] 13	2·82 1·75 4·71	$13\frac{1}{2}$ $17\frac{1}{4}$ $7\frac{1}{4}$	$17\frac{3}{4}$ 18 23	4 4 <u>1</u> 4	2 [.] 25 2 [.] 46 2 [.] 42	2·54 3·00 3·29	1.00 0.64 0.67
Arran Comrade {	$15\frac{3}{4}$ $10\frac{1}{4}$ $15\frac{1}{2}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 11\frac{3}{4} \\ 10\frac{3}{4} \\ 10\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array} $	$7\frac{1}{4}$ 13 13	2 [.] 25 2 [.] 56 2 [.] 58	1 [.] 96 2 [.] 15 2 [.] 10	2 [.] 42 2 [.] 17 2 [.] 17	$11\frac{1}{4}$ $11\frac{1}{4}$ 15	$8\frac{3}{4}$ 11 $\frac{1}{4}$ 18	$3\frac{1}{12}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{3}{4}$	2 [.] 25 1 [.] 88 2 14	1'46 2'25 2'57	0 [.] 65 0 [.] 30 0 [.] 29
British Queen	$ \begin{array}{r} 19\frac{1}{4} \\ 19\frac{3}{4} \\ 26\frac{3}{4} \\ \end{array} $	19 184 25	$19\frac{1}{4}$ $19\frac{1}{4}$ $23\frac{1}{4}$	3 [.] 21 2 [.] 82 3 [.] 82	2 [.] 71 2 [.] 68 4 [.] 17	2·75 2·75 3·32	$ \begin{array}{c c} 101 \\ 161 \\ 111 \\ 113 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 13\frac{3}{4} \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \\ 15\frac{3}{4} \\ \end{array} $	7 4 843 34	1'46 2'36 1'96	1`96 1`92 2`63	1°11 1°18 0°75
Duke of York {	$ \begin{array}{r} 7\frac{3}{4} \\ 8\frac{3}{4} \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	$11\frac{1}{4}$ 14 14 $\frac{3}{4}$	1·11 1·25 2·25	1.57 2.00 1.75	1.61 2.00 2.46	9 9 1 6 1	8 6 1 10 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2\frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{4} \end{array} $	1°80 1°54 1°04	1.60 0.93 1.46	0 [.] 33 0 [.] 63 0 [.] 42
Epicure {	$16\frac{1}{2}$ $11\frac{1}{2}$ 16	$ \begin{array}{r} 14\frac{3}{4} \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	10 13 <u>1</u> 19 <u>1</u>	2°36 1°64 2°29	2·11 1·93 2·64	1`43 2`25 2`79	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\frac{1}{4} \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \\ 11\frac{3}{4} \\ \end{array} $	9 ³ 13 ³ 12 ⁴	13 33 1	2 [.] 04 1 [.] 93 1 [.] 68	1.63 1.96 1.79	0 [.] 35 0 [.] 54 0 [.] 25
Great Scott]	$13\frac{1}{2}$ $21\frac{1}{2}$ $27\frac{1}{4}$	19 1 244 29	21 1 19 1 24 <u>1</u> 24 <u>1</u>	3·38 3·07 3·89	2·79 3·54 4·14	3.07 3.25 3.50	$21\frac{1}{21}$ $11\frac{1}{2}$ $14\frac{1}{4}$	17 <u>1</u> 12 <u>3</u> 13 <u>1</u>	$4\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{4}$ 1	3 [.] 07 1 [.] 96 2 [.] 38	2·46 2·13 2·65	0 [.] 75 0 [.] 42 0 [.] 50
Iron Duke {	24 21 ⁺ 23≩	20 $18\frac{1}{2}$ $23\frac{1}{4}$	21 16 1 23	3·43 3·00 3·96	3·33 3·08 3·32	3·50 2·32 3·29	$16\frac{3}{4}$ $10\frac{3}{4}$ 20	19 1 20 1 13	4 3 4 4 <u>1</u> 4 <u>2</u>	2·79 1·79 2·86	2·75 2·89 3·25	0°68 1°00 0°64
K. of K	26 28] 29]	23 ³ 27 ³ 29 <u>1</u>	201 21 301 301	3·71 4·07 4·21	3·39 4·63 4·21	2·89 4·20 4·32	$21\frac{1}{2}$ $19\frac{1}{4}$	$18\frac{1}{2}$ $15\frac{1}{2}$	7 <u>1</u> 5 <u>3</u>	3 [.] 07 2 [.] 75	3 [.] 08 3 [.] 10	1.04 0.82
Kerr's Pink	$18\frac{1}{2}$ 25 26 $\frac{3}{4}$	203 221 301	12 15 15 1	3.04 3.57 3.82	2·96 3·18 +·32	2°00 3°00 3°88	$18\frac{3}{11}$ $11\frac{1}{2}$ $24\frac{1}{4}$	20 ³ / ₁ 19 ¹ / ₂ 22	6 1 3 1 5	2.68 1.92 3.46	2 [.] 96 3 [.] 90 3 [.] 14	0 [.] 93 0 [.] 46 0 [.] 71
Nithsdale {	$ 18 15\frac{1}{2} 21\frac{1}{2} $	14 <u>1</u> 20 <u>1</u> 26	30 ³ 20 14 1	2·57 2·21 3·58	2.04 2.93 3.71	1'96 2'86 3'56	9 12 14 <u>1</u>	$9\frac{1}{2}$ 15 14 $\frac{1}{2}$	$1\frac{1}{4}$ 1 $2\frac{1}{2}$	1 [.] 29 2 [.] 00 2 [.] 04	1`58 2`14 2`07	0 [.] 42 0 [.] 33 0 [.] 63
Tin Perfection	20 ³ 21 ³ 17 <u>1</u>	17 20 ³ 19 ¹ / ₄	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\frac{3}{4} \\ 23\frac{3}{2} \\ 23\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	3·46 3·11 2·50	2·83 2·96 3·21	2·55 3·39 3·36	20 18 ³ 21 ³ 21 ³	19 1 17 1 17	7 1 81 7	2 [.] 86 2 [.] 68 3 [.] 11	2·79 2·54 2·83	1.07 1.21 1.00
Up-to-Date {	25 ³ 20 1 29 ³ 29 ³	$ \begin{array}{r} 23\frac{3}{4} \\ 25\frac{3}{4} \\ 28\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	$25\frac{1}{2}$ $25\frac{1}{2}$ $28\frac{3}{4}$	4 [.] 29 2 [.] 93 4 [.] 25	3·39 3·68 4·07	4·21 3·64 4·11	26 ³ 20 <u>1</u> 21 ³	20 1 14 <u>1</u> 21	9 1 8 1 11	3 [.] 82 2 [.] 93 3 [.] 11	2·89 2·38 3·00	1.32 1.18 1.83

Note.-7 Plants were set in each Row.

Manures were:-Dunged Series: Basal Row: Super. 4 cwt.; Sulphate of Ammonia 1¹/₂ cwt.; Dung 15 tons per Acre. Sulphate Row: Basal Manuring; Sulphate of Potash 184 lb. per Acre. Chloride Row: Basal Manuring; Muriate of Potash 147 lb. Undunged Series: Basal Row: Super. 6 cwt.; Sulphate of Aminonia 2 cwt. per Acre. Sulphate Row: Basal Manuring; Sulphate of Potash 244 lb. per Acre. Chloride Row: Basal Manuring; Muriate of Potash 197 lb. per Acre.

1	n	C
1	U	U

Potatoes. Great Harpenden Field, 1922. Comparison of Varieties.

-	Ajax.	Arran Comrade.	British Queen.	Duke of York.	Epicure.	Great Scott.	Iron Duke.	K. of K.	Kerr's Pink.	Nithsdale.	Tin Perfection.	Up-to-Date
Average weight)	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.
of Potatoes lifted per row	16 [.] 21	10 [.] 54	16 [.] 08	8.86	11.88	16.28	16.89	21.62	17 [.] 63	13.49	17.49	21.47
Average weight per plant)	2.20	1.90	2.43	1.20	1.81	2.79	2.67	3.28	2.73	2.23	2.62	3.17

Comparison of Manurial Treatment.

	D	unged Serie	es.	Un	dunged Ser	ies.
	Sulphate Row.	Chloride Row.	Basal Row.	Sulphate Row.	Chloride Row.	Basal Row.
	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.	lb.
Average weight of Potatoes	20.12	19.82	18.62	15.17	15.41	4.40
Average weight per plant)	3.08	3.03	2.94	2.36	2.37	0.80

PROFESSOR BLACKMAN'S ELECTRO CULTURE EXPERIMENTS.

Clover. Great Knott Field, 1921.

	Plots.	Yield per Acre
Electro-Culture Control		 cwt. 42 [.] 0 41 [.] 2

Cereal Crops.

				CCI	car crop	0.			
				Dressed	l Grain.	Offal	Straw p	er Acre.	Proportion of Total
	Plots.			Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bush.	Grain per Acre.	Straw.	Total Straw.	Grain to 100 of Total
				Bushels.	1b.	lb.	1b.	cwt.	Straw.
	Oats	(Gre	ey V	Vinter). Fo	ster's I	Field, I	1921.	
Electro Contro Contro	o-Culture 1 I 1 II	•••	••••	40°7 33°1 31°6	43 [.] 4 42 [.] 0 42 [.] 2	241 298 234	1543 1220 1102	19 [.] 3 14 [.] 9 14 [.] 6	93 [.] 0 101 [.] 4 96 [.] 0
	Wheat	(Re	d S	tandar	d). F	oster's	Field,	1922	
Electro Contro Contro	-Culture l, North Ea l, South Ea	 ast ast	••••	15 [.] 4 16 [.] 5 17 [.] 2	61'4 60'6 61'8	234 249 231	1229 1272 1196	15 [.] 8 15 [.] 5 14 [.] 2	66 [.] 9 72 [.] 1 81 [.] 5
Ba	arley (P	luma	age	Archei	. Gr	eat Kr	nott Fi	eld, 1 9	22.
Electro Contro	o-Culture	•••	•••	34°1 32°4	49 [.] 1 48 [.] 6	273 244	1808 1840	22°2 22°3	78 [.] 2 72 [.] 8
-									

BORON EXPERIMENT

Barley (Plumage Archer). Little Hoos, 1922.

		D	ressed	l Grai	in.		Off	al G	rain		St	raw	per A	cre.		Pro	portio	n of
Treatment of Plots.	p E	'Yıeld er Acre Bushels	B.	pe	Weigh r Bush lb.	t nel.	pe	er Ac	re.		Straw lb.			Total Straw cwt.	. ·	To t To	otal Gra o 100 o tal Stra	ain f ıw.
	Series 1	Series	Series 3	Series 1	Series	Series 3	Series 1	Series 2	Series 3	Series 1	Series	Series	Series 1	Series	Series 3	Series 1	Series	Series 3
Boric Acid 20 lb. per acre Boric Acid 8 lb. per acre Control	37 [.] 9 36 [.] 5 34 [.] 9	40°8 40°0 40°8	30 [.] 8 41 [.] 3 38 [.] 6	51·1 51·5 50·9	51°8 52°0 52°4	52°0 52°0 52°5	191 169 156	138 113 134	84 150 119	2025 1825 1725	1875 1800 1775	1850 1850 1850	24 [.] 6 23 [.] 4 21 [.] 4	23·2 22·8 22·5	22 [.] 1 23 [.] 0 23 [.] 4	77 [.] 4 78 [.] 1 80 [.] 5	86 [.] 5 86 [.] 0 89 [.] 9	68 [.] 2 89 [.] 2 81 [.] 7

All plots received a basal dressing of Superphosphate 3 cwt.; Sulphate of Potash 1 cwt.; Sulphate of Ammonia 12 cwt.

EXPERIMENTS WITH NITROGENOUS MANURES Potatoes (Arran Chief). Sawpit Field, 1921.

	Yiel	d per A	.cre.
Manure per Acre.	lst Plot.	2nd Plot.	3rd Plot.
	Tons.	Tons.	Tons.
4 cwt. Super., 1 cwt. Sulphate Potash, 2 cwt. Sulphate Ammonia	2 [.] 27	2.24	2.43
4 cwt. Super., 1 cwt. Sulphate Potash, 193 lb. Muriate Ammonia	2.18	2.67	2.61
4 cwt. Super., 1 cwt. Sulphate Potash, 102 lb. Urea	1.33 *1.72	1·41 2·69	1·49 2·57

* The bouts on this plot were badly broken down due to extra hoeing on account of growth of Wheatbind.

Barley (Plumage Archer). Stackyard Field, 1921.

		Di	ressed	Grai	n.		Off	al Gr	ain		St	raw	per A	cre.		Pro	oport	ion
Manures per Acre.	p I	Yield er Acro Bushels	e.	pe	Weight r Bush Ib.	el	pe	r Ac 1b.	re.		Straw. lb.			Total Straw. cwt.	,	Tot to Tot	al Gi 100 c al St	rain of raw.
	Plot 1	Plot 2	Plot 3	Plot 1	Plot 2	Plot 3	Plot 1	Plot 2	Plot 3	Plot 1	Plot 2	Plot 3	Plot 1	Plot 2	Plot 3	Plot 1	Plot 2	Plot 3
11 cwt. Super., 1451b. M./Amm.	40.4	34.8		54.7	55.5		197	135		2000	2000		23.5	25.9		91	71	
11/2 cwt. Super 11/2 cwt. Super.,	27.2	27.1	24.1	56.0	55 [.] 5	55°0	153	103	109	1325	1475	1350	17.1	18.4	17.2	88	78	75
11 cwt. S./Amm. 11 cwt. Super.,	38.3	36.2	30.5	55.7	55.2	54.2	144	175	194	1900	2050	1825	23.6	24.9	22.1	87	79	74
76 [‡] lb. Urea No Manure	38 [.] 2 27 [.] 5	34 [.] 6 24 [.] 7	29.2	55.0 55.0	54 5 54 5	54.2	150 103	150 97	169 —	2000 1400	2025 1450	1775	24 [.] 2 17 [.] 3	24 [.] 7 17 [.] 9	21.3	83 83	74 72	73
															•			

MALTING BARLEY EXPERIMENT.

Plumage Archer. Long Hoos Field, 1922.

	Dressee	d Grain.		Straw p	er Acre	Propor-
Manures per Acre.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Offal Grain per Acre.	Straw.	Total Straw	tion of Total Grain to 100 of Total
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bushels	Ib.	lb.	1b.	cwt.	Straw.
Super. 3 cwt., Sul./Pot. 1 ¹ / ₂ cwt., Sul./Amm. 1 cwt Super. 3 cwt., Sul./Pot. 1 ¹ / ₂ cwt., Mur./Amm. 93 lb	36 [.] 0 35 [.] 7	50 [.] 8 51 [.] 0	163 169	1213 1388	17 [.] 1 18 [.] 5	104 96
Super. 3 cwt., Sul./Pot. $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt	31.0	50.8	188	1263	17.0	93
Super. 3 cwt., Sul./Amm. 1 cwt	30.0	50.3	175	975	14.1	107
Super. 3 cwt., Sul./Amm. 1 cwt.,						
Mur./ Pot. $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt.*	34.8	50 [°] 0	206	not	recor	ded.
Sul./Amm. 1 cwt., Sul./Pot. $1\frac{1}{2}$ cwt.	36.8	50.3	191	1438	19.9	92
No Manure	28.6	50°5	184	1125	15.2	94

*Muriate of Potash applied on April 3rd. Other Manures on March 24th.

MISCELLANEOUS EXPERIMENTS.

Clover. Hoos Field, 1921 and 1922.

(Formerly Barley after Alsike).

		Yield p	er Acre.
Plot.	Manures per Acre.	1921.	1922.
		cwt.	cwt.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Slag 8 cwt., Lime 10 cwtFarmyard Manure 14 tons, Super. 5 cwt., Lime 10 cwt.Lime 10 cwtSuper. 5 cwt., Lime 10 cwt., Sulph. Potash 1½ cwt.Super. 5 cwt., Lime 10 cwt.Super. 5 cwt., Lime 10 cwt.Lime 10 cwt.Sammyard Manure 14 tons, Lime 10 cwt.Slag 8 cwt.Farmyard Manure 14 tons, Super. 5 cwt.Super. 5 cwt., Sulph. Potash 1½ cwt.Super. 5 cwt., Sulph. Potash 1½ cwt.Super. 5 cwt.Super. 14 tons, Lime 10 cwt.Super. 14 tons, Lime 10 cwt.Super. 5 cwt. </td <td>cwt. 45³ 53⁸ 35⁹ 40⁶ 45³ 41¹ 54⁵ 42⁹ 50⁵ 36⁸ 45¹ 49¹ 36⁶ 46² 35³ 35⁵ 54⁹ 39⁷</td> <td>cwt. 17[.]4 17[.]9 17[.]6 19[.]6 13[.]0 13[.]0 16[.]7 11[.]4 17[.]2 14[.]1 20[.]3 14[.]3 9[.]4 10[.]7 6[.]7 7[.]1 11[.]6 6[.]3</td>	cwt. 45 ³ 53 ⁸ 35 ⁹ 40 ⁶ 45 ³ 41 ¹ 54 ⁵ 42 ⁹ 50 ⁵ 36 ⁸ 45 ¹ 49 ¹ 36 ⁶ 46 ² 35 ³ 35 ⁵ 54 ⁹ 39 ⁷	cwt. 17 [.] 4 17 [.] 9 17 [.] 6 19 [.] 6 13 [.] 0 13 [.] 0 16 [.] 7 11 [.] 4 17 [.] 2 14 [.] 1 20 [.] 3 14 [.] 3 9 [.] 4 10 [.] 7 6 [.] 7 7 [.] 1 11 [.] 6 6 [.] 3
19	Cattle Dung 14 tons, Lime 10 cwt,	50.5	13.0
20 21	Control	<i>33[.]3</i> 41 ^{.5}	3.6
24 A		11.5	50

Manures applied and Clover sown in 1920.

https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-110



	oi ui jo ui	roportio tal Gra 100 of	ro ToT		62.3	92.6	2.69	0.95	74.8	9.66	
	Total	Straw per Acre.	cwt.		22.7	22.2	18.1	18.0	17.2	16.5	
)22.	Straw	per Acre.	lb.		1921	1884	1556	1579	1481	1421	
16	Offal	Grain per Acre.	lb.		188	161	134	109	125	92	
	essed ain.	Weight per Bush.	lb.		51.4	52.0	20.6	51.5	6.05	52.0	
	Gre	Yield per Acre.	Bush.		27.2	41.2	25.2	35.4	25.9	33.6	r 1011
	rain of of we:	roportic Fotal G to 100 to 100 to 100	L		85.5	115.4	85.2	109.0	277.6	105.4	hand
	Total	ber Acre.	cwt.		10.2	18.0	8.4	15.7	8.3	15.2	in N
1.	Straw	per Acre.	lb.		688	1310	555	1037	557	871	parlono
192	Offal	per Acre.	lb.		134	154	122	134	100	137	la suc
	ssed tin.	Weight per Bushel	lb.		2.95	57.3	56.4	57.4	56.3	57.8	ous cro
	Dree Gra	Yield per Acre.	Rush.		14.8	37.8	12.1	1.12	11.0	28.7	eumin
	Manurial	Treatment		(Sulphate Amm.	. 13 cwt.	Super. 3 cwt.	Sulphate Amm. 13 cwt.	S.Amm. 13 cwt.; Super. 3 cwt.	(Sulphate Amm. 15 cwt.	S.Amm.1 [§] cwt.; Super. 3 cwt.	
	Description	Plot.		After	Lucerne		After Pod Clause	Wed Clovel	After		

103

	walnation anitta
EXPERIMENTS.	more another and have a the average
BARLEY	a shilling ai
CENTRES-MALTING	culor contract the true of the
OUTSIDE	

ບໍ່ມ	
0	
lat	
all	
Ia	
dx	
ມ	
Ē	
S	
au l	
210	
asi	
er	
IL	
inf	
-	
pe	
Se	
III	
S	
1	
Ine	
Va	
•	
G	
L.	
latt	
matt	
Iry matt	
I dry matt	
. of dry matt	
ent. of dry matt	
cent. of dry matt	
er cent. of dry matt	
s per cent. of dry matt	
as per cent. of dry matt	
sed as per cent. of dry matt	
essed as per cent. of dry matt	
cpressed as per cent. of dry matt	
expressed as per cent. of dry matt	
en expressed as per cent. of dry matt	
ogen expressed as per cent. of dry matt	
utrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matt	
; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matt	
re; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matt	
acre; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matt	
er acre; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matt	
s per acre; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matt	
lels per acre; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matt	
ushels per acre; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matti-	
bushels per acre; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matte	
in bushels per acre; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matti-	
aid in bushels per acre; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry math	
Yield in bushels per acre; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matt	
Yield in bushels per acre; nitrogen expressed as per cent. of dry matt	

Dereham.	ue.				
	. Val	^ທ ີ ເກີ ເກີ ເກີ ເຕີ	Eye.	° ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞	
	Per cent Nitroger	1.62 1.67 1.67 1.69 1.69		2.11 2.13 2.13 2.13 2.02	
	Bushels.	36.7 41.0 42.0 36.0 30.0		39°5 43°5 44°1 50°9 59°1	
Milverton.	Value.	s. 45 18 18 55	Dunmow.	* 4 4 4 4 4 %	* Superphosphate only given in this case.
	Per cent. Nitrogen.	1:53 1.64 1:48		1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.78	
	Bushels.	26°0 		51.0 49.5 47.0 45.0	
Barneyhill.	Value.	53 53 53 53	Eyton.	°°. 322 322 40 40	
	Per cent. Nitrogen.	1.36 1.47 1.50 1.47 1.47 1.38		1.85 1.90 2.10 1.87 1.90	
	Bushels.	78°5 89°0 84°0 85°0 75°5		36°0 28°0 45°2 48°5 48°0	
Wellingore.	Value.	^{s.} 36 36 36 36 40	Walcott.	s. 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	
	Per cent. Nitrogen.	1.76 1.80 1.78 1.78 1.72		1.70 1.88 1.79 1.79 1.72 1.72	
	Bushels.	36'1 39'0 43'5 40'5 37'3		56'9 60'3 58'7 61'3 60'8	
Cawkwell.	Value.	30 30 30 ^{s.}	Orwell Park.	s. 65 64 64 60 60	
	Per cent. Nitrogen.	1.56 1.57 1.49 1.49 1.50		1.43 1.52 1.53 1.55 1.55 1.51	
	Bushels.	25.2 30 ^{.9} 22 ^{.6} 23 ^{.1}		16°2 21°6 24°6 27°9 18°2	
Rothamsted.	Value.	s. 31 31 32 31 31 31	Woburn.	s. 27 27 27	
	Per cent. Nitrogen.	1.60 1.64 1.69 1.63 1.58		1.78 2.09 2.10 1.91 1.87	
	Bushels.	25.8 32.6 33.0 3300 2822		42°5 44°7 41°8 39°9 45°0	
Treatment.		: : : : :			
		:::::		::::	
		No Manure Complete Manure No Potash Vo Phosphate No Nitrogen		No Manure Complete Manure No Potash No Phosphate No Nitrogen	
		AUAAA		ACAAA	